

Does the legislation, documented intent of the legislation or official interpretation clearly address the issue?

If not, staff considers the following questions to arrive at an interpretive decision:

Was the rule intended to apply to these circumstances?

Is there a SA well-being component?

What will benefit the SA?

Is another rule compromised by this action?

Are there mitigating factors that place this action outside the scope?

Is the issue one of national significance?

Was the rule intended to apply to this individual/class of individuals?

Is there a significant advantage gained?



Division II AMA Team Interpretive Analysis