STANDARDIZATION OF CONTEST EXEMPTIONS
Survey Item

• Standardize contest exemptions across all sports.
# Consider Standardizing Contest Exemptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coach</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO/ADR</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exemptions

• An exempted contest or date of competition is one that does not count toward an institution’s maximum contest or date of competition limitations.

• Types of exemptions:
  – Standard exemptions – apply to all sports.
  – Sport-specific exemptions.
Examples of Standard Exemptions

• Conference championship.
• Season-ending tournament (NCAA championship).
• Alumni contest.
Examples of Sport Specific Exemptions

- Basketball – two scrimmages, exhibitions or joint practices.
- Soccer – one preseason joint practice, scrimmage or exhibition.
- Water polo – one competition against the U.S. national team.
- Field hockey – none.
## Basketball vs Field Hockey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basketball</th>
<th>Field Hockey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contest Limit</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Specific Exemptions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference Championship</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAA Championship</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Team in US</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumni Game</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising Activity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>40 (15 above max)</strong></td>
<td><strong>24 (4 above max)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concepts for Consideration – Concept 1

1. Count all conference championship participation as one contest/date of competition.
Concepts 2-4 for Consideration

2. Eliminate all sport specific exemptions.

3. Eliminate all existing sport specific exemptions, but allow each sport one discretionary exemption.

4. Eliminate all sport specific exemptions, but allow each sport two discretionary exemptions.
Concept for Consideration – Concept 5

• Maintain existing conference championship exemption and NCAA postseason exemption;

• Eliminate all other standard exemptions; AND

• Allow each sport two discretionary exemptions.
Straw Poll Preview

Which concepts should the subcommittee continue to discuss?

Concept No. 1: counting all conference championship as one contest.

Concept No. 2: eliminating sport specific exemptions

Concept No. 3: eliminating sport specific exemptions and allowing one discretionary exemption.
Straw Poll Preview

Concept No. 4: eliminating sport specific exemptions and allowing two discretionary exemptions.

Concept No. 5: maintaining conference and NCAA championship exemptions along with two discretionary exemptions, but eliminating all other exemptions.

Other.
ROUND TABLE DISCUSSION
COUNTDOWN CLOCK – 20 MINUTES
Straw Poll Question

Should the subcommittee continue to discuss the concept of counting all conference championship participation as a single contest?

No. 1 = Yes
No. 2 = No
Straw Poll Question

Should the subcommittee continue to discuss eliminating all sport specific exemptions?

No. 1 = Yes
No. 2 = No
Straw Poll Question

Should the subcommittee continue to discuss eliminating all sport specific exemptions and allowing one discretionary exemption?

No. 1 = Yes
No. 2 = No
Straw Poll Question

Should the subcommittee continue to discuss eliminating all sport specific exemptions and allowing two discretionary exemptions?

No. 1 = Yes
No. 2 = No
Straw Poll Question

Should the subcommittee continue to discuss maintaining conference and NCAA championship exemptions along with two discretionary exemptions, but eliminating all other exemptions?

No. 1 = Yes
No. 2 = No
Straw Poll Question

Should the subcommittee continue to discuss other models that were not presented today?

No. 1 = Yes
No. 2 = No