1. **Review of Post-Enrollment Academic Misconduct.** The NCAA Division I Committee on Academics approved a final post-enrollment academic misconduct legislative draft proposal for the 2015-16 legislative cycle, signifying the close of a nearly two year legislative drafting process. The final legislative draft recommended by the committee incorporated significant feedback from the membership and a working group of members of the committee and the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions. The membership will have an opportunity to formally review the proposal before the Council's vote in April 2016. If the legislation is adopted by the membership in April 2016, the legislation could be effective as soon as August 2016.

2. **Expiration of NCAA Division I Academic Performance Program (APP) Filters for Limited-Resource Institutions (LRIs).** The committee discussed the expiration of the longer transition period and current temporary filters designed for LRIs in light of the number of LRIs that fail to reach the 930 multiyear NCAA Division I Academic Progress Rate (APR) benchmark. The committee also received feedback from the HBCU and Limited-Resource Academic Advisory Group, which discussed the issues prior to the meeting. While recognizing the unique challenges LRIs encounter compared with non-LRI institutions and balancing this with the importance of holding all institutions accountable in meeting the APR benchmark, the committee agreed to extend improvement filters for LRIs that expire with the submission of the 2014-15 data in the fall 2015 by one year. The short-term extension of the filters will provide the committee one year to develop long-term solutions regarding LRIs and the APP penalty structure that are not time-based and do not have an expiration date. It is anticipated that the committee could present its long-term solutions to the NCAA Division I Board of Directors for reaction during the Board's January 2016 meeting.

3. **Time Demands – Academic Opportunities.** The committee discussed academic-specific measures that could both best prepare student-athletes for life and better prepare academically at-risk student-athletes for college academics, focusing on beginning to respond to the charge by the Board that the governance structure identify and address the challenges to better balancing student-athlete time commitments devoted to athletics participation. The committee recognized that the NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Experience Committee will serve as the divisional governance body charged with making any ultimate legislative recommendations/package on time demands.

The committee noted that academic opportunities related to time demands will be addressed at the Strategic Summit. The committee will incorporate any feedback provided by Strategic Summit participants in its October meeting discussion. The NCAA Division I Committee on Academics Subcommittee on Student-Athlete Academics will continue to develop academic-specific concepts and potential models. It is anticipated that the committee could provide recommendations this academic year.
4. **Committee on Academics Authority to Sponsor Legislation Pertaining to Academic Matters.** The committee discussed the Council's decision to decline to sponsor a legislative proposal recommended by the committee for the 2015-16 legislative cycle that would provide the committee the authority to sponsor legislation pertaining to academic matters. The committee acknowledged the Council's rationale, noting that the governance structure is new and time may be required before making changes. The committee maintained interest in pursuing a legislative recommendation.

5. **NCAA Division I Board of Directors Subcommittee on Appeals.** The committee discussed the composition of the Board of Directors APP Appeals Subcommittee following the change in the governance structure. Since the adoption of the APP in 2004, institutions could appeal Level-Three penalties to a subcommittee of the Board. In establishing the framework for the APP that was ultimately adopted as legislation, the NCAA Division I Management Council intended that appeals of decisions of Level Three penalties—as the most severe penalties that could be issued—be heard by a second appellate body and that a subcommittee of the Board be established to hear these appeals to "ensure CEO oversight and involvement." In the new governance structure, however, the Board is no longer comprised entirely of chancellors and presidents. The committee recommended that the Board adopt a policy that the subcommittee be comprised only of chancellors and presidents in order to ensure that the intent of the legislation is fulfilled and true presidential oversight of Level-Three appeals is provided.

6. **Two-Year Strategic Priority List.** The committee reviewed and enhanced its two-year strategic priority list. The priorities and timelines were developed in an effort to provide more transparency and accountability to the membership. A number of committee priorities originated with the Board.

For additional detail, the committee's full report may be accessed on ncaa.org by clicking [here](http://ncaa.org).