AGENDA
The National Collegiate Athletic Association
Division III Presidents Council

White River Ballroom A-B
JW Marriott
January 18, 2018
9:15 to 11:15 a.m.

1. Welcome and introductions. (Jeff Docking)

2. General Information. (Docking)
   a. Roster. [Supplement No. 1]
   b. 2018 Committee assignments. [Supplement Nos. 2a and 2b]

3. Minutes and summaries. (Docking)
   a. Summary of fall 2017 Quarterly Meeting. [Supplement No. 3]
   b. Administrative Committee actions. [Supplement Nos. 4a, 4b and 4c]

4. Division III Philosophy Statement and Strategic Positioning Platform. [Supplement Nos. 5a and 5b] (Dan Dutcher)

5. Report of the Division III Strategic Planning and Finance Committee. [Supplement No. 6a] (Al Cureton/Jeff O’Barr)
   a. 2017-18 Budget-to-actual report. [Supplement No. 6b]
   b. Future projections. [Supplement No. 6c]

6. Management Council report. [Supplement No. 7 will be distributed at the meeting] (Brit Katz/Shantey Hill)

7. 2018 NCAA Division III Convention legislation. (Jeff Myers/Chris Brown)
   a. 2018 NCAA Convention Notice and Program.
   b. Review of proposed legislation:
      • Review of proposals and speaker assignments. [Supplement No. 8]
      • Review of parliamentary and voting issues. [Supplement No. 9]
      • Legislative question and answer guide. [Supplement No. 10]

8. Review 2018 Convention logistics. (Louise McCleary)
   a. Presidents/Chancellors schedule. [Supplement No. 11]
   b. Joint PC/MC/SAAC meeting. [Supplement No. 12]
   c. Division III Issues Forum. [Supplement No. 13]
   d. Presidents/Chancellors Luncheon. [Supplement No. 14]
   e. Division III Business Session. [Supplement No. 15]
9. Board of Governors update. [Supplement No. 16a] (Mark Emmert/Donald Remy/Jackie Campbell)
   a. Board of Governors agenda – January 17. [Supplement No. 16b will be distributed at a later date.]
   b. Basketball Commission.
   c. Student-Athlete Engagement Committee.
   d. Governmental relations update. [Supplement No. 16c] (Information Only)

10. Sports Science Institute updates. (Brian Hainline/John Parsons)
    • SSI Strategic Priorities Timeline. [Supplement No. 17]
      (1) Mental Health Summit.
      (2) Task Force on Football Data.
      (3) NATA – NCAA Interassociation Guidelines.
      (4) Sleep and Wellness Educational Tools.

11. Litigation update. (Naima Stevenson/Scott Bearby)

12. Future meetings.
    a. April 24-25, 2018 – Indianapolis.
       • April 24 – 5:45 to 9 p.m. – Presidents Council dinner/meeting.
       • April 25 – 7:30 to 9 a.m. – Joint breakfast with Divisions I and II.
       • April 25 – 9 a.m. to noon – Presidents Council meeting.
    b. August 7-8, 2018 – Indianapolis.
       • August 7 – 5:45 to 9 p.m. – meet with Presidents/Chancellors Advisory Group.
       • August 8 – 7:30 to 9 a.m. – Joint breakfast with Divisions I and II.
       • August 8 – 9 a.m. to noon – Presidents Council meeting.
    c. October 23-24, 2018 – Metropolitan State University of Denver (TBD).
       • Schedule to be determined.
       • January 24 – 9:15 to 11:15 a.m. (tentative).

13. Other Business.
    • Recognition of departing members.


@ Denotes key action items.
* Denotes key discussion topics.
Teresa Amott  
President  
Knox College [Midwest Conference]  
640 N. Prairie Street  
Galesburg, Illinois 61401  
Phone: 309/341-7211  
FAX: 309/341-7856  
Cell Phone: 309/335-2546  
Email: tamott@knox.edu  
Assistant: Peggy Ware  
Email: pjware@knox.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2018

Javier Cevallos  
President  
Framingham State University [Massachusetts State Collegiate Athletic Conference]  
100 State Street  
Framingham, Massachusetts 01701  
Phone: 508/626-4575  
Cell Phone: 610/780-5438  
Email: jcevallos@framingham.edu  
Assistant: Katie Hebert  
Email: khebert@framingham.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2021

Mary Beth Cooper  
President  
Springfield College [New England Women’s and Men’s Athletic Conference]  
263 Alden Street  
Springfield, MA 01109  
Phone: 413/748-3241  
FAX: 413/748-3746  
Email: mbcooper@springfieldcollege.edu  
Assistant: Lorie Pieterse  
Phone: 413/748-3214  
Email: lpieterse@springfieldcollege.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2019

Alan Cureton [Vice Chair]  
President  
University of Northwestern [Upper Midwest Athletic Conference]  
3003 Snelling Avenue North  
St. Paul, MN 55113  
Phone: 651/631-5250  
FAX: 651/631-5129  
Cell: 612/281-0094  
Email: alancureton@unwsp.edu  
Assistant: Rachel Morgan  
Phone: 651/631-5249  
Email: ramorgan@unwsp.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2018

Jeffrey Docking [Chair]  
President  
Adrian College [Michigan Intercollegiate Athletic Association]  
Administration Building  
110 South Madison Street  
Adrian, MI 49221-2575  
Phone: 517/264-3167  
Email: jdocking@adrian.edu  
Assistant: Andrea Burt  
Email: aburt@adrian.edu  
Phone: 517/264-3100  
Term Expiration: January 2019

Stuart Dorsey  
President  
Texas Lutheran University [Southern Collegiate Athletic Conference]  
1000 W. Court Street  
Seguin, Texas 78155-5978  
Phone: 830/372-8001  
FAX: 830/372-8008  
Email: sdorsey@tlu.edu  
Assistant: Susan Rinn  
Email: srinn@tlu.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2018
Margaret Drugovich  
President  
Hartwick College [Empire 8]  
P.O. Box 4020  
1 Hartwick Drive  
Oneonta, New York 13820-4020  
Phone: 607/431-4990  
FAX: 607/431-4206  
Email: drugovichm@hartwick.edu  
Assistant: Kerri Green  
Phone: 607/431-4162  
Email: greenk2@hartwick.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2020

Sue Henderson  
President  
New Jersey City University [New Jersey Athletic Conference]  
2039 Kennedy Boulevard  
Jersey City, New Jersey 07305  
Phone: 201/200-3111  
FAX: 201/200-2353  
Email: shenderson@njcu.edu  
Assistant: Virginia Melendez  
Email: vmelendez@njcu.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2019

Thomas P. Foley  
President  
Mount Aloysius College [Allegheny Mountain Collegiate Conference]  
7373 Admiral Peary Highway  
Cresson, PA 16630  
Phone: 814/886-6411  
FAX: 814/886-2978  
Email: tfoley@mtaloy.edu  
Assistant: Carla Nelen  
Email: cnelen@mtaloy.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2020

Sharon Hirsh  
President  
Rosemont College [Colonial States Athletic Conference]  
1400 Montgomery Avenue  
Rosemont, PA 19010  
Phone: 610/527-0200  
FAX: 610/527-1041  
Email: shirsh@rosemont.edu  
Assistant: Barb Walsh  
Email: bwalsh@rosemont.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2019

William J. Fritz  
President  
College of Staten Island [City University of New York Athletic Conference]  
2800 Victory Boulevard  
Staten Island, New York 10314  
Phone: 718/982-2400  
FAX: 718/982-2404  
Email: William.Fritz@csi.cuny.edu  
Assistant: Janet Arata  
Email: Janet.Arata@csi.cuny.edu  
Assistant: Debbie Mahoney  
Email: Debbie.Mahoney@csi.cuny.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2020

Robert Huntington  
President  
Heidelberg University [Ohio Athletic Conference]  
310 East Market Street  
Tiffin, Ohio 44883  
Phone: 419-448-2202  
FAX: 419-448-2126  
Email: president@heidelberg.edu  
Assistant: Monica Verhoff  
Email: mverhoff@heidelberg.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2019
Robert Lindgren  
President  
Randolph-Macon College [Old Dominion Athletic Conference]  
Peele Hall  
204 Henry Street  
Ashland, Virginia 23005  
Phone: 804/752-7211  
Cell Phone: 804/291-8283  
Email: rnlindgren@rmc.edu  
Assistant: Emily Harrison  
Email: emilyharrison@rmc.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2019

Tori Murden McClure  
President  
Spalding University [St. Louis Intercollegiate Athletic Conference]  
845 South Third Street  
Louisville, Kentucky 40203  
Phone: 502/588-7164  
FAX: 502/992-2404  
Email: tmclure@spalding.edu  
Assistant: Jackie Howard  
Email: jhoward@spalding.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2021

Kathleen Murray  
President  
Whitman College [Northwest Conference]  
345 Boyer Avenue  
Walla Walla, WA 99362  
Phone: 509/527-5132  
Email: kmurray@whitman.edu  
Assistant: Jennifer Casper  
Email: casperja@whitman.edu  
Assistant: Joanie Lucarelli  
Email: lucarej@whitman.edu  
Phone: 509/527-5134  
Term Expiration: January 2019

Elsa Nunez  
President  
Eastern Connecticut State University [Little East Conference]  
83 Windham Street  
Willimantic, CT 06226  
Phone: 860/465-5222  
FAX: 860/465-4690  
Email: nunez@easternct.edu  
Assistant: Katherine Atkinson  
Email: atkinsonk@easternct.edu  
Phone: 860/465-4484  
Term Expiration: January 2020

Zorica Pantic  
President  
Wentworth Institute of Technology [The Commonwealth Coast Conference]  
550 Huntington Avenue  
Boston, MA 02115  
Phone: 617/989-4476  
FAX: 617/989-4480  
Cell Phone: 857/991-3695  
Email: panticz@wit.edu  
Assistant: Rebecca Coakley  
Phone: 617/989-4476  
Email: coakleyr1@wit.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2018

Matthew Shank  
President  
Marymount University (Virginia) [Capital Athletic Conference]  
2807 North Glebe Rd.  
Arlington, Virginia 22207  
Phone: 703/284-1598  
FAX: 703/284-1595  
Email: mshank@marymount.edu  
Assistant: Hilary Phillips  
Email: Hilary.phillips@marymount.edu  
Term Expiration: January 2021
Management Council

Brit Katz [Chair]
Vice President and Dean of Student Life
Millsaps College [Southern Athletic Association]
1701 N. State Street
Jackson, Mississippi 39210
Phone: 601/974-1206
FAX: 601/974-1229
Cell Phone: 601/842-7064
Email: brit.katz@millsaps.edu
Assistant: Larcie Burnett
Email: burneld@millsaps.edu
Term Expiration: January 2018

Shantey Hill [Vice Chair]
Assistant Vice President, Sr. Director of Athletics
St. Joseph’s College (Long Island) [Skyline Conference]
155 West Roe Boulevard
Patachouque, New York 11772
Phone: 631/687-1445
FAX: 631/447-3347
Email: shill4@sjcny.edu
Assistant: Danielle Wilson
Email: dwilson4@sjcny.edu
Term Expiration: January 2019

Division III Governance Staff:

Dan Dutcher
Vice President for Division III
E-Mail: ddutcher@ncaa.org
317/917-6942

Louise McCleary
Managing Director of Division III
E-Mail: lmccleary@ncaa.org
317/917-6637

Jay Jones
Associate Director of Division III
Email: jkjones@ncaa.org
317/917-6004

Adam Skaggs
Assistant Director for Division III Governance Communications
Email: askaggs@ncaa.org

Jeff Myers
Director of Academic and Membership Affairs for Division III
E-Mail: jmyers@ncaa.org
317/917-6870

Chris Brown
Associate Director of Academic and Membership Affairs for Division III
Email: cbrown@ncaa.org
317/917-6159

Brian Burnsed
Assistant Director of Membership Communications
E-Mail: bburnsed@ncaa.org
317/917-6685

Eric Hartung
Associate Director of Research for Division III
E-Mail: ehartung@ncaa.org
317/917-6306

Debbie Kresge
Executive Assistant for Division III
E-Mail: dkresge@ncaa.org
317/917-6907

US MAIL ADDRESS
NCAA, P.O. Box 6222
Indianapolis, IN 46206-6222

OVERNIGHT SHIPPING ADDRESS
NCAA Distribution Center
1802 Alonzo Watford Sr. Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46202
Telephone: 317/917-NCAA (6222)
Facsimile: 317/917-6972

2018 PRESIDENTS COUNCIL COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

Amott, Teresa [January 2022]
- Nominations Subcommittee
- BOG Commission to Combat Campus Sexual Violence

Cevallos, Javier [January 2021]
- Diversity and Inclusion Working Group

Cooper, Mary Beth [January 2019]
- Nominations Subcommittee

Docking, Jeffrey, chair [January 2019]
- Administrative Committee
- Board of Governors
- PC/MC Joint Legislative Steering Committee
- Board of Governors’ Executive Committee

Dorsey, Stuart [January 2022]
- Nominations Subcommittee

Drugovich, Margaret [January 2020]
- Strategic Planning and Finance Committee
- LGBTQ Working Group

Franks, Tiffany [January 2022]
- Convention-Planning Subcommittee

Fritz, William [January 2020]
- PC/MC Joint Legislative Steering Committee – NEW
- Infractions Appeals - NEW

Henderson, Sue, vice chair [January 2020]
- Administrative Committee - NEW
- Board of Governors - NEW
- Strategic Planning and Finance Committee, chair
- Board of Governors Finance and Audit - NEW

Hirsh, Sharon [January 2019]
- Strategic Planning and Finance Committee - NEW

Huntington, Robert [January 2019]
- Strategic Planning and Finance Committee

Lindgren, Robert [January 2019]
- Nominations Subcommittee

Miller, Fayneese [January 2022]
- Nominations Subcommittee

Murden McClure, Tori [January 2021]
- Nominations Subcommittee

Murray, Kathleen [January 2022]
- Nominations Subcommittee

Nunez, Elsa [January 2020]
- Nominations Subcommittee

Shank, Matthew [June 2018]
- Convention-Planning Subcommittee
- PC/MC Joint Legislative Steering Committee

Trachte, Kent [January 2022]
- Convention-Planning Subcommittee
## ASSOCIATION-WIDE COMMITTEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Chair(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Governors</td>
<td>1MC/2PC</td>
<td>Docking, Jeff [PC chair]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Henderson, Sue [PC vice chair]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hill, Shantey [MC chair]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Baker-Watson, Stevie [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honors</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Benning, Heather [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Opportunities and Interests</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Bryant, Gerard [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympic Sports Liaison</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Walsh, Joe [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate Scholarship</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Cummings-Danson, Gail [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Millerick, Tim [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Tompston-Wolfe, Karen [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Byers Scholarship</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Millerick, Tim [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Athletics</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Udelhofen, Denise [MC]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DIVISION III COMMITTEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Chair(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Championships</td>
<td>3MC</td>
<td>Hill, Shantey [MC chair]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vienna, Michael [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bankston, Brad [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Aid</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Schram, Kandis [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infractions</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Cummings-Danson, Gail [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infractions Appeals</td>
<td>1MC/1PC</td>
<td>Fritz, Bill [PC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roy, Kate [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretations and Legislation</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Fein, Jason [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Mooney, Laura [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominating</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Mazza, Lori [MC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student-Athlete Advisory</td>
<td>4MC</td>
<td>Udelhofen, Denise [MC] EX OFFICIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bryant, Gerard [MC] EX OFFICIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Burns, Madison [SAAC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cain, Sean [SAAC]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student-Athlete Reinstatement</td>
<td>1MC</td>
<td>Benning, Heather [MC]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MC=Management Council                       PC=Presidents Council   ME=Membership Committee   AL=At-Large
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MANAGEMENT COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEES

Subcommittee for Legislative Relief

Composition: 6MC
- Baker-Watson, Stevie [MC]
- Fein, Jason [MC]
- Mazza, Lori [MC]
- Roy, Kate [MC]
- Udelhofen, Denise [MC]
- Tompson-Wolfe, Karen [MC]

Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee

Composition: 7MC/1SAAC
- Bankston, Brad [MC]
- Burns, Madison [MC, SAAC]
- Davis, Robert [MC]
- Mooney, Laura [MC]
- Schram, Kandis [MC]
- Vienna, Michael [MC]
- Walsh, Joe [MC]

PRESIDENTS COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEES

Nominations Subcommittee

Composition: 7 PC
- Amott, Teresa [PC]
- Cooper, Mary Beth [PC]
- Dorsey, Stuart [PC]
- Lindgren, Robert [PC]
- Miller, Fayneese [PC]
- Murden-McClure, Tori [PC]
- Nunez, Elsa [PC]

JOINT MC/PC COMMITTEES AND SUBCOMMITTEES AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Administrative Committee

Composition: 3MC/2PC
- Docking, Jeff [PC chair]
- Henderson, Sue [PC vice chair]
- Hill, Shantey [MC chair]
- Roy, Kate [MC vice chair]
- Kimball, Chris [MC president]

Convention-Planning Subcommittee

Composition: 3MC/3PC/1ME/1INDEPENDENT/1COMMISSIONER/1NADIIAA/1SAAC/5AL
- Benning, Heather [MC chair]
- Franks, Tiffany [PC]
- Freed, Karen [At-Large]
- Granata, Brian [At-Large]
- Hakes, Joe [Independents]
- Johnson, Greg [At-Large]
- Katz, R. Brit [MC chair] EX OFFICIO
- Kilgallon, Scott [At-Large]
- Kline, Julie [Membership Committee]
- Kuchowski, Keri [NADIIAA]
- Marin, Angela [At-Large]
- Mazza, Lori [MC]
- Melendy, Lisa [At-Large]
- Onderko, Joe [Commissioner]
- Porter, Kayla [SAAC]
- Shank, Matthew [PC]
- Schram, Kandis [MC]
- Trachte, Kent [PC]
- Wells-Mangold, Kellen [At-Large]

PC/MC Joint Legislative Steering Committee

Composition: 2 PC/2 MC presidents/2 MC ADRs/PC/MC chairs
- Docking, Jeff [PC chair]
- Davis, Robert [MC]
- Fritz, Bill [PC]
- Hill, Shantey [MC chair]
- Kimball, Chris [MC]
- Millerick, Tim [MC]
- Shank, Matthew [PC]
- Shields, Dennis [MC]
JOINT MC/PC COMMITTEES AND SUBCOMMITTEES AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Strategic Planning and Finance Committee
Composition: 4PC/5MC [one commissioner]
CHAMPIONSHIPS CHAIR/1 SAAC/2 AT LARGE [one commissioner] [Note: Two members must be conference representatives.] – 13 Members
- Baumann, Angela [At large]
- Cain, Sean [MC/SAAC]
- Chuks, Jennifer [Championships Committee Chair]
- Cummings-Danson, Gail [MC]
- Ellis, David [At large]
- Davis, Bob [MC]
- Drugovich, Margaret [PC]
- Henderson, Sue [PC vice chair] CHAIR
- Hirsh, Sharon [PC]
- Huntington, Rob [PC]
- Roy, Kate [MC vice chair]
- Hill, Shantey [MC] EX OFFICIO
- Shields, Dennis [MC]
- Walsh, Joe [MC]
NCAA DIVISION III
PRESIDENTS AND MANAGEMENT COUNCILS
SUMMARY OF FALL 2017 QUARTERLY MEETINGS

KEY ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS:

2018 Convention Legislation.................................................................Page Nos. 15-19
Diversity and Inclusion Working Group.............................................Page Nos. 21-22
2018 Division III Membership Survey..............................................Page No. 23
Championships Alcohol Pilot.............................................................Page No. 24
### Division III Management Council
**October 16-17, 2017**
**Indianapolis, IN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTENDEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nnenna Akotaobi, Swarthmore College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevie Baker-Watson, DePauw University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Bankston, Old Dominion Athletic Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Benning, Midwest Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gail Cummings-Danson, Skidmore College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shantey Hill, St. Joseph’s College, participated via teleconference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brit Katz, Millsaps College, vice chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Kimball, California Lutheran University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Leighton, University of New England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Mooney, Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Roy, Lyndon State College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandis Schram, Maryville College (Tennessee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Tompson-Wolfe, Westminster College (Missouri)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise Udelhofen, Loras College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Van Aken, Elmhurst College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Vienna, Emory University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Walsh, Great Northeast Athletic Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Warren, Defiance College, SAAC representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Young, Carleton College</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Division III Presidents Council
**October 26-27, 2016**
**Indianapolis, IN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTENDEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Javier Cevallos, Framingham State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Beth Cooper, Springfield College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Cureton, University of Northwestern, chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Docking, Adrian College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuart Dorsey, Texas Lutheran University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Drugovich, Hartwick College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Fritz, College of Staten Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Henderson, New Jersey City University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Hirsh, Rosemont College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Huntington, Heidelberg University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brit Katz, Millsaps College, MC chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Lindgren, Randolph-Macon College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Shank, Marymount University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ABSENTEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABSENTEES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sean Cain, Adrian College, SAAC representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Davis, Jr., University of Scranton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER PARTICIPANTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chris Brown, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Burnsed, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Calandro, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie Campbell, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joni Comstock, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Dutcher, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Gibson, Susquehanna University, Pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Hartung, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jori Jasper, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay Jones, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Kresge, NCAA, recording secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angel Mason, Pomona-Pitzer Colleges, Pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise McCleary, NCAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER PARTICIPANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER PARTICIPANTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Katrice Albert, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Brown, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Burnsed, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joni Comstock, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Dutcher, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Emmert, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Hartung, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jay Jones, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Kresge, NCAA, recording secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver Luck, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise McCleary, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Myers, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Remy, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cari Van Senus, NCAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OTHER PARTICIPANTS, continued…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Michelle Morgan, University of St. Thomas (Minnesota), Pathway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Myers, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff O’Barr, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Parsons, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Remy, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Robinson, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Schnase, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Skaggs, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naima Stevenson, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilfert, NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Williams, The College of New Jersey, Pathway</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Note: This summary reflects only actions (formal votes or “sense of meeting”) in accordance with the established policy governing minutes of all NCAA entities. The only discussion included is that ordered by the chair or a member of the group.]

1. WELCOME AND ANNOUNCEMENTS.

   October 16-17 Management Council. The meeting was called to order at 8:05 a.m. October 16 and 8:20 a.m. October 17, by the chair, Brit Katz. The chair welcomed the Council and the Pathway participants.

   October 24-25 Presidents Council. The meeting was called to order at 3:05 p.m., October 24 and 9:17 a.m., October 25, by the chair, President Jeff Docking. The chair welcomed the Council to the meeting.

2. REVIEW OF RECORDS OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS.


      Presidents Council. No action necessary.

   b. Presidents Council Meeting – August 8-9, 2017.

      Management Council. No action necessary.

      Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the summary of its August 8-9, 2017, meetings.
3. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AFFECTING DIVISION III.

a. Division III Joint Presidents Council/Management Council Committees or Subcommittees.

(1) Convention-Planning Subcommittee.

(a) 2018 NCAA Convention - Educational Session Topics.

Management Council. The Council approved the following Division III educational session topics for the 2018 Convention:

i. Athletics Integration: Establishing a Campus-Wide Culture of Compliance.


iii. NADIIIAAA: Providing Support for Student-Athletes, Coaches and Staff Around Mental Health Needs.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Division III Governance-Related Sessions.

Management Council. The Council reviewed the subcommittee’s report noting the following governance-related sessions for the 2018 Convention:

i. Athletics Direct Report Institute. This session is closed (by nomination only). The selection committee chose 42 athletics direct reports. The institute will take place Wednesday, January 17, from 2 to 5:30 p.m. and Thursday, January 18, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m.

ii. Division III Student Immersion Program. A subcommittee of the Diversity and Inclusion Working Group chose forty applicants to attend the NCAA Convention. This program will begin Wednesday, January 17, from 3 to 5:30 p.m. and conclude with a debrief session Saturday, January 20, from 11 a.m. to noon.

iii. Chancellors/Presidents Programming.
  • Presidents and Chancellors Engagement Programming. This session is open to all chancellors and presidents in Divisions I, II and III and will take place Thursday, January 18, from 8 to 9:30 a.m. All presidents and chancellors within the first 12 months of their presidency that attend will receive a $200 honorarium.
  • Presidents and Chancellors Luncheon. This session is open to all Division III presidents and chancellors and will take place Thursday, January 18, from 11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.

iv. Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) Programming.
  • Special Olympics Unified Sports Activity. This activity is scheduled for Thursday, January 18, from 1 to 3 p.m.
and will be open to all Convention attendees. Special Olympics Indiana is working with the Division III SAAC to determine the sport activity.

- **Programming for Students.** SAAC has identified several sessions that will be beneficial to Division III student attendees. As of September 18, 47 students, beyond national SAAC members, are registered for Convention.

v. **Association-wide Session.** The NCAA’s Office of Legal Affairs will conduct a session on Thursday, January 18, 2:30 to 4 p.m.

vi. **Issues Forum.**
- Discussion and Q&A session: The subcommittee reviewed and confirmed the following discussion topics:
  - Part II: Ethics and Athletics – Establishing a Positive Departmental Culture Grounded in Ethical Decision-Making.
  - Enrollment Management and Financial Pressures (e.g., budgets, resource disparity between institutions).
    - Are there legislated solutions to relieve these financial pressures (e.g., recruiting calendars, etc.); and
    - How do the current pressures intersect with the Division III philosophy?
  - Convention Legislative Proposals Q&A/Open Forum.

vii. **Business Session.**
The subcommittee endorsed the following format:

8 to 8:15 a.m.  Welcome.
8:15 to 8:45 a.m. Updates from the FAR Engagement and the LGBTQ Working Groups.
8:45 to 11 a.m. Voting on 2018 Legislative Proposals.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

---

**(2)**  

**Strategic Planning and Finance Committee.**

**Management Council.** The Council reviewed the division’s budget report as of September 30, 2017 and noted the following: (1) Championships overall were under budget; (2) Additional revenue of $1.3 million from selling the NCAA controlling interest in Arbiter; and (3) Non-championship initiatives were over with the approved expenses for Gameday the DIII Way and related partnership with the Disney Institute.

In addition, the Council reviewed the division’s long-term budget forecast and noted a projected surplus through the current broadcast agreement (2023-24). The Council noted the previously approved membership dues increase (2016 NCAA Convention legislation) was not included in the budget forecast. The Strategic
Planning and Finance Committee will review the current and future forecast at its March in-person meeting and make a recommendation to the Councils regarding the implementation of the membership dues increase for 2018-19.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

(3) **Joint Legislative Steering Subcommittee.**

**Management Council.** The Council reviewed the subcommittee’s report from its July 31 teleconference. No action was necessary,

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

b. **Management Council Subcommittees.**

(1) **Subcommittee for Legislative Relief.**

(a) **Approval of NCAA Division III Management Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief Policies and Procedures.**

**Management Council.** The Council approved the updated policies and procedures document to clarify that three subcommittee members constitutes a quorum.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

(b) **Mount St. Joseph Waiver Appeal.**

**Management Council.** The Council upheld the subcommittee’s decision to deny the waiver appeal submitted by Mount St. Joseph University. For the 2017-18 season, the subcommittee provided a waiver to Mount St. Joseph to conduct the Lauren Hill Tip-Off Classic as a regular season contest prior to the first permissible start date. A condition of the waiver required that each participating institution lose an exempted exhibition contest which Mount St. Joseph appealed. In denying the waiver appeal, the subcommittee noted a lack of compelling mitigation.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

(2) **Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee.**

**Management Council.** The Council reviewed the subcommittee’s report. No action was necessary.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.
c. Division III Committees.

(1) Championships Committee.

(a) Noncontroversial Legislation – NCAA Bylaw 219.1.4.2 – Division III Committees – Composition Requirements – NCAA Women’s Ice Hockey Committee Composition.

Management Council. The Council approved the committee’s recommendation that the composition requirements for the Women’s Ice Hockey Committee be revised as follows “5, consisting of including three members from the East region and two members from the West region/Independents (one coach from each region).”

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Term Extension for the Division III Men’s Golf Committee.

Management Council. The Council approved the committee’s recommendation that William Gorman’s term be extended by one year to better stagger committee composition. Mr. Gorman’s term, along with two other committee members, was set to expire August 31, 2018. The recommendation extends his term a year.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Days off Between the Team and Individual Portions of the Men’s and Women’s Tennis Championships.

Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee’s recommendation that a day off be added between the team competition and the start of the singles/doubles portion of the Division III Men’s and Women’s Tennis Championships. The team competition dates will remain on Monday through Wednesday, with the off day on Thursday and the singles and doubles competition taking place Friday through Sunday. Due to the overall financial impact, the Council approved forwarding this request to the Strategic Planning and Finance Committee.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(d) Championships Participation – Obligation to Compete.

Management Council. The Council noted the committee reviewed and supported, in principle, a recommended policy adjustment regarding occasions when NCAA member schools decline to participate in an NCAA championship for various reasons (e.g., a state-imposed travel ban, school-imposed restriction, etc.). The recommendation requires a team that opts not to participate in the NCAA championship to notify the...
championships staff at least 48 hours before the published deadline for conferences to submit their automatic qualifier. The recommendation also introduces to-be-determined penalties (e.g., fines and/or withholding from championship participation for the following year) and appellate procedures for failure to meet the deadline or withdrawing from the championship at any point after selections are announced. Staff noted that because this is an Association-wide matter, the proposal will not be finalized until championships oversight groups in Division I and II also complete their review.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(e) Wrestling – Regional Alignment.

Management Council. The Council noted the committee approved recommended regional alignments effective with the 2017-18 season that accommodate growing sport sponsorship and help ensure geographic proximity, balanced championship access, conference affiliation, and competitive considerations.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(2) Committee on Infractions.

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(3) Financial Aid Committee.

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(4) Infractions Appeals Committee.

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(5) Interpretations and Legislative Committee (ILC).

(a) Approval of Official Interpretation – Independent Medical Care – Athletics Director Serving as a Coach.

Management Council. The Council approved the following official interpretation:
Independent Medical Care – Athletics Director Serving as a Coach (III). The Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee confirmed that a director of athletics who is also a coach may not serve as the sole supervisor nor have sole hiring/firing authority over an institution’s primary athletics health care provider.

Therefore, a director of athletics who is also a coach may serve as a supervisor for the primary health care provider, provided the supervisory responsibilities are shared with another institutional staff member that is not a coach. It would also be permissible for a director of athletics who is a coach to maintain supervisory responsibilities over the primary health care provider, if an institution creates an appeal or oversight mechanism, external to the athletics department, to evaluate the merits of any negative employment decisions. Finally, an institution could permissibly create an administrative “firewall” to ensure that decision-making by primary athletics care providers is always autonomous and unchallengeable.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Approval of Official Interpretation – Scrimmage, Exhibition, or Joint Practice Exemptions – Contest and Date of Competition Sports.

Management Council. The Council approved the following official interpretation:

Scrimmage, Exhibition, or Joint Practice Exemptions – Contest and Date of Competition Sports (III). The Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee confirmed that the two annual exempted exhibitions, scrimmages or joint practices shall be applied as a contest (single game) or date of competition (any contest(s) on a single date). The contest or date of competition classification is dependent on whether a sport calculates its maximum limits via contests or date of competitions.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(c) Incorporation of Official Interpretation – Organized Competition – Player Agreement Before Initial Collegiate Enrollment (III).

Management Council. The Council approved the incorporation of the following official interpretation [Reference: 4/21/17, Item No. 2] into the legislation.

Organized Competition – Player Agreement Before Initial Collegiate Enrollment (III). The Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee confirmed that a player agreement to compete with an amateur team, where no payment is provided, is not competition pursuant to the signing of a contract that would constitute participation in organized competition before initial collegiate enrollment. Therefore, an individual in this circumstance shall not be charged with a season of participation nor be required to serve an academic year of residence.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Management Council. The Council referred back the committee’s recommendation to sponsor Convention legislation to permit a student-athlete to practice and compete while enrolled in less than a full-time program of studies during the final term or quarter of the student’s baccalaureate degree program before participating in an experiential learning requirement (e.g., student teaching, internship, clinical, capstone project, etc.), provided the student-athlete is carrying (for credit) all courses necessary to complete degree requirements other than that experiential learning requirement. The Council requested additional information in regards to the number of related interpretative requests and legislative relief waivers.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.


Management Council. The Council forwarded to the Presidents Council a recommendation to designate that votes for all proposals at the 2018 Convention be taken using the roll-call method, regardless of the proposal’s grouping (President Council or General) and approve the voting order and groupings. [See Page No. 19; Item b]

While the Presidents Council is responsible for establishing the order of proposal voting, as well as the method for conducting those votes, the Interpretations and Legislation Committee conducts an initial review and makes a recommendation on both issues. Since the electronic voting units and technology permit the recording of all votes in an expeditious manner, the committee agreed that votes for all proposals (presidential and general groupings) should be taken using the roll-call method, as it provides greater transparency for the membership.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the Management Council’s recommendation.

(f) Review Division I and II Official Interpretations.

Management Council. The Council noted the committee reviewed official interpretations issued in Divisions I and II between February 1 and August 31, 2017, and identified one interpretation [Division I Official Interpretation Reference 7/11/2017, Item No. 2] for additional discussion. This interpretation identified permissible nutritional supplements an institution may provide to student-athletes. Currently, Division III institutions may not provide nutritional supplements to student-athletes unless they are part of a permissible meal incidental to participation. The
committee determined that student-athlete well-being should guide this discussion and requested that staff gather feedback from the Sports Science Institute (SSI) and the Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports (CSMAS) for review at a future meeting.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(g) Recruiting Advertisements.

Management Council. The Council noted the committee reviewed the question of what constitutes a recruiting advertisement for the purposes of Bylaw 13.4.2.1 (Recruiting Advertisements). The committee agreed that the application of Bylaw 13.4.2.1 is specific to promotions designed to solicit the enrollment of prospective student-athletes but noted that the location of the advertisement is the distinguishing factor in analyzing if an advertisement violates the legislation. As such, the committee instructed staff to continue with permissive responses when the advertisement in question is not placed at events involving prospective student-athletes, two-year or high school game programs, or aired during two-year college or high school contests. The committee agreed that a more holistic review of the limitations on advertisements and promotions may be needed.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(h) Receipt of Educational Expenses – Pre-enrollment.

Management Council. The Council noted the committee reviewed the question of whether a prospective student-athlete can receive secondary educational expenses for his or her athletics ability without impacting his or her eligibility. The committee reviewed the pre-enrollment legislation in both Divisions I and II and agreed that a more flexible legislative standard similar to Divisions I and II may be warranted. The committee advised staff to draft a proposal based on the Division I legislation for review at a future meeting.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(6) Membership Committee.

• College of St. Elizabeth Appeal.

Management Council. The Council approved the committee’s recommendation to deny the College of St. Elizabeth’s waiver request regarding Bylaw 20.11.3.8 (minimum contests and participants). Specifically, the institution failed to meet minimum sports-sponsorship requirements in women’s tennis. In denying the waiver, the committee noted a lack of compelling mitigation. Due to the waiver being denied, the institution will be placed on probation for a five-year period beginning September 1, 2017.
Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(7) Nominating Committee.

(a) Governance Committee Reappointments.

Management Council. The Council approved the following committee reappointments:

(c) Division III Committee on Infractions – Tracey Hathaway, compliance coordinator, University of Massachusetts, Boston (term two – three-year reappointment); and Gerald Houlihan, attorney, Matteoni, O’Laughlin and Hechtman (public member) (term two – three-year reappointment).

(d) Division III Infractions Appeals Committee – Phill Talavinia, director of athletics, Bluffton University (term two – three-year reappointment).

(e) Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee – Amy Bakus, director of athletics, Case Western Reserve University (four-year reappointment).

(f) Division III Membership Committee – William Fell, director of athletics, U.S. Merchant Marine Academy (four-year reappointment); and Susan Stuebner, president, Colby-Sawyer College (two-year reappointment).

(g) Division III Nominating Committee – Stephen Briggs, president, Berry College (one-year reappointment).

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(b) Governance Committee Appointments.

Management Council. The Council approved the following committee appointments:

- Division III Management Council (five vacancies)
  - Bridget Belgiovinne, director of athletics, Wellesley College.
  - Gerard Bryant, faculty athletics representative, John Jay College of Criminal Justice.
  - Lori Mazza, director of athletics, Western Connecticut State University.
  - Timothy Millerick, vice president for student affairs and athletics, Austin College.
  - Dennis Shields, chancellor, University of Wisconsin-Platteville.

- Division III Championships Committee (one vacancy) – Timothy Fitzpatrick, director of athletics, U.S. Coast Guard Academy.
• Division III Financial Aid Committee (one vacancy) – Greg Carroll, director of athletics, Morrisville State College.
• Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee (one vacancy) – Angie Morenz, commissioner, St. Louis Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.
• Division III Membership Committee (one immediate vacancy replacing Keith Cecil, and one January 2018 vacancy).
  o January vacancy – Steven Rackley, director of athletics, Alma College.
• Division III Nominating Committee (two vacancies).
  o Teelah Grimes, director of compliance, University of Valley Forge.
  o Michael Harrison, faculty athletics representative, Framingham State University.
• Playing Rules Oversight Panel (one immediate vacancy replacing Doug Zipp) – Ronda Seagraves, director of athletics, Concordia University Texas.
• Division III Strategic Planning and Finance Committee (two vacancies).
  o Angela Baumann, commissioner, Massachusetts State Collegiate Athletic Conference.
  o David Ellis, executive vice president and chief financial officer, Becker College.
• Division III Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (eight vacancies).
  o Colonial States Athletic Conference – Samantha Kastner, softball, Notre Dame of Maryland University.
  o Minnesota Intercollegiate Athletic Conference – Kiana Verdugo, track, Hamline University.
  o New England Small College Athletic Conference – Annabelle Feist, rowing, Williams College.
  o Northwest Conference – Mikayla Costello, swimming, Willamette University.
  o Presidents’ Athletic Conference – Francesca Capaldi, softball and tennis, Bethany College (West Virginia).
  o University Athletic Association – Eugjae (NJ) Kim, baseball, Emory University.
  o Massachusetts State Collegiate Athletic Conference – Emily Goodwin, softball, Massachusetts Maritime Academy.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.
(8) **Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC).**

**Management Council.** The Council reviewed the committee’s report. No action was necessary.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

(9) **Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee.**

**Management Council.** The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

**d. Association-Wide Committees.**

(1) **Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports (CSMAS).**

(a) **Noncontroversial Legislation – NCAA Bylaw 21.2.2.1 – Committees – Association-Wide Committees – General Committees – Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports, Committee on – Composition – Division I Representation.** [See page No. 20, c (1)]

**Management Council.** The Council adopted noncontroversial legislation to amend Bylaw 21.2.2.1 (composition) to increase the size of the Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports from 22 to 23 members; further, to specify that the additional member shall be a member of the Division I Council.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

(b) **Legislation – NCAA Bylaw 31.2.3.1 – Executive Regulations – Eligibility for Championships – Ineligibility for Use of Banned Drugs – Banned Drugs.**

**Management Council.** The Council provided feedback on the 2019 NCAA Convention legislative proposal that would align the NCAA’s current banned drugs at championships with the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). The Council requests a comparison chart when it considers a formal endorsement of this proposal during its April 2018 meeting.

**Presidents Council.** No action was necessary.

(c) **Concussion Safety Protocol.**

**Management Council.** The Council discussed CSMAS’s request, approved by the Board of Governors, for greater consistency among all NCAA members related to concussion safety protocol review. The Council discussed the use of the NCAA Concussion Safety Protocol Checklist
as well as possible next steps. Currently, per legislative mandate, the Division I “Autonomy Five” institutions annually submit their concussion protocol checklist to an independent review board. The Council will discuss next steps at a future meeting.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(d) Standing Review Committee.

Management Council. The Council noted the committee created a standing subcommittee to assist with review and endorsement of future interassociation recommendation documents. This subcommittee will be composed of current committee members, and will include a physician, an athletics trainer, a researcher, an athletics administrator, a governance representative and a student-athlete. The student-athlete position will have a one-year term that rotates through each division, while all other positions would be on staggered, two-year terms.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(2) Committee on Sportsmanship and Ethical Conduct.

Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee’s report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(3) Committee on Women’s Athletics (CWA).

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(4) Honors Committee

Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee’s report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(5) Minority Opportunities and Interests Committee (MOIC).

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.
(6) **Olympic Sports Liaison Committee (OSLC).**

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(7) **Playing Rules Oversight Panel.**

Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee’s reports. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(8) **Postgraduate Scholarship Committee.**

Management Council. The Council reviewed the committee’s report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(9) **Research Committee.**

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(10) **Walter Byers Scholarship Committee.**

Management Council. The committee had no formal report. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

### 4. PROPOSED LEGISLATION FOR THE 2018 CONVENTION.

a. **Review of 2018 Convention Legislation.**

**2018 Division III Convention Proposal Positions.** The Councils reviewed responsibilities associated with Convention proposal speaking assignments and took formal positions on membership-sponsored proposals as presented below.

(1) **Division III Committees – Eligibility of Membership – Student-Athlete Advisory Committee – Change in Composition.**

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council opposes the original membership proposal and approved the Management Council’s recommendation to sponsor an
amendment-to-amendment designed to spur more interaction with SAAC representatives from all Division III conferences without increasing the committee’s size. The proposal would provide funding for SAAC representatives from all conferences (and the group of independents) to attend a summer meeting and play a more active role throughout the year.

Management Council. The Council recommended to Presidents Council an amendment-to-amendment to formally designate a student-athlete liaison from each partner conference that does not have a member currently serving on national SAAC. This student-athlete, who will be termed a “partner conference SAAC student-athlete liaison” will have regular conference calls with the SAAC members as well as designated opportunities for in-person SAAC attendance. The liaison appointment would occur through a recommendation by the conference commissioner in consultation with the national SAAC executive committee and NCAA staff.

Nominations Committee. The Nominations Committee opposes the original membership proposal. Increasing the committee size to 44 members will significantly impact the effectiveness of the committee and further result in a substantial budget impact. Increasing the number of members also increases the number of SAAC members that must be selected each year, resulting in large slates of nominees. This increase directly impacts the workload of the Nominating Committee since it is charged with identifying diverse committee members (e.g., sport, gender, ethnicity). Finally, some conferences struggle with submitting a full slate of nominees; this problem could be compounded by doubling the size of the committee.

(2) Playing and Practice Seasons – Annual Contest Exemptions – Alumni Contest.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

Management Council. The Council took no position. Alumni contests serve a distinctly different purpose than preseason scrimmages as they allow current players and alumni to stay connected through friendly competition. Further, they allow institutional admission and development offices to stay connected with alumni. Regardless, current rules don’t preclude an alumni contest, and thus the proposal would add an exempted contest. Further, with the current standardization of exemptions only being implemented in Sept. 2017, it’s too soon to assess the true impact of this newly created legislation.

Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee. The subcommittee recommended the Management Council support this proposal. While the proposal does add an additional exemption to the legislation, the alumni competition is generally not competitive in nature and serves a different purpose than that of scrimmages, exhibitions and regular season competition. Additionally, the legislation would benefit the development/advancement offices at an institution, by creating additional opportunities to engage alumni.
(3) **Playing and Practice Seasons – Basketball – First Permissible Contest – November 8.**

*Presidents Council.* No action was necessary.

*Management Council.* The Council supports this proposal. The proposal establishes an earlier first contest date in basketball, which would allow for more balance in institutions’ schedules. More game dates would be allowed in the first semester, which could create fewer contests in the second semester and a better balance between semesters.

*Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee.* The subcommittee recommended the Management Council support this proposal. The proposal would allow for more flexibility in scheduling throughout the season, which would allow institutions to provide student-athletes with additional time off during the winter break or at other times throughout the season. Further, the legislation is permissive in nature and institutions would not be required to conduct their first contest on November 8. Although in support of the proposal, the subcommittee recognized that the proposal may impact the availability of facilities and personnel with fall sports concluding around the same timeframe.

*Men’s Basketball Committee.* The committee recommended the Management Council support this proposal. The committee recognized that the proposal allows more flexibility in scheduling contests while not lengthening the season. The committee recognized that the proposal has an impact on the facility availability for other fall sports completing their season.

*Women’s Basketball Committee.* The committee recommended the Management Council support this proposal. In particular, the committee noted that the proposal does not extend the season beyond 19 weeks. Further, it provides teams flexibility in scheduling and reduces the chance of needing to participate in three contests within one week. Finally, the committee noted that the legislation is permissive and therefore an institution would not be required to start on November 8.

(4) **Playing and Practice Seasons – Ice Hockey – First Permissible On-Ice Practice Date – Second Monday in October.**

*Presidents Council.* No action was necessary.

*Management Council.* The Council supports this proposal. The Council agreed that an earlier first on-ice practice date in ice hockey will allow student-athletes and teams more time to properly prepare for contests within the existing 19-week season.

*Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee.* The subcommittee recommended the Management Council support this proposal. The proposal would allow ice hockey student-athletes to be better prepared for on-ice competition without expanding the overall length of the playing season.
Women’s Ice Hockey Committee. The committee recommended the Management Council support this proposal. The committee cited safety concerns based on data from athletic trainers and the desire to provide additional time for teams to prepare and condition at the beginning of the season. The committee noted that the proposal does not lengthen the season and does not mandate when institutions must use their 19 weeks, but rather provides institutions the flexibility of beginning on-ice practices one week earlier. The committee also noted the proposal could result in a cost savings if institutions choose to begin practices earlier in the fall instead of having the team return to campus in January before classes resume (when student-athletes are already in playing condition) and possibly incurring costs for housing and food. The committee did discuss concerns about a possible negative effect on student-athletes who participate in more than one sport, but the committee ultimately supported the proposal to help ensure the safety of student-athletes during preseason training sessions.

Men’s Ice Hockey Committee. The committee recommended the Management Council support this proposal. This proposal will better prepare ice hockey student-athletes for the first game. Currently, there is not adequate practice time available prior to the first permissible contest date. This would allow for proper acclimatization for any new players to their respective programs. Furthermore, this would better prepare the players whose programs are participating in allowable scrimmages or exhibitions. Currently, student-athletes could play in a scrimmage or exhibition with as little as five days to prepare. Having an acclimatization period of ten days seems more beneficial to the student-athlete’s safety. Finally, the committee recognized that this proposal would have a budgetary impact for institutions that do not own their own arena, but still believed it was in the best interest of student-athletes.

b. Proposal Groupings. [See Page No. 10, Item (5) (e)]

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council approved the recommendation of Management Council regarding the 2018 Convention proposal groupings and voting order. The Council also approved roll-call voting for all proposals.

Management Council. The Council recommended the following proposal grouping and voting order for the 2018 Convention as well as designating roll-call voting for all proposals.

Presidents Council Grouping:

2018-1 – Eligibility – Academic Misconduct and Impermissible Academic Assistance.


2018-3 – Division III Committees – Eligibility of Membership – Student-Athlete Advisory Committee – Change in Composition.
2018-3-1 – Division III Committees – Eligibility of Membership – Student-Athlete Advisory Committee – Partner Conference Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Liaison.

2018-4 – Eligibility – Graduate and Postbaccalaureate Eligibility – Students Graduating from Division III Institutions.

2018-5 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Football – Establishing Preseason Start Date 25 Days from First Permissible Saturday Contest.

General Grouping:

2018-6 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Annual Contest Exemptions – Alumni Contest.


2018-8 – Playing and Practice Seasons – Ice Hockey – First Permissible On-Ice Practice Date – Second Monday In October.


c. Review Noncontroversial Legislation Approved by the Management Council.


Management Council. The Council approved, in legislative format, a proposal to clarify that circumstances where a student-athlete participates in an impermissible institutional promotional activity and does not receive above actual and necessary expenses should be institutional violations and not affect the student-athlete’s eligibility.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

(2) NC – 2018-5 – Association-Wide Committees – Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports – Division I Council Representation – Voting Members. [See Page No. 14, d (1) (a)]

Management Council. The Council approved, in legislative format, a proposal to increase the size of the Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports from 22 to 23 members; further, to specify that the additional member shall be a member of the Division I Council.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.
d. **Review Modifications of Wording Approved by the Management Council.**

- **M-2018-1 – Championships – Ineligibility for Use of Banned Drugs – Penalty – “Illicit Drugs.”**

  Management Council. The Council approved, in legislative format, a proposal to specify that a student-athlete who, as a result of a drug test administered by the NCAA, tests positive for use of a substance in the banned drug class illicit drugs shall be ineligible for competition during 50 percent of a season in all sports.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

5. **DIVISION III INITIATIVES AND UPDATES.**

a. **Ad Hoc Committee for Management Council Leadership Nomination Process**

  Management Council. The Council delayed discussion until its January meeting.

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

b. **2017 Division III Graduation Rates.**

  Management Council. The Council noted that Division III student-athletes continue to graduate at higher rates than their peers in the student-body, according to the most recent NCAA Academic Success Rate (ASR) data. The division’s federal graduation rate (FGR) is consistently 69% while the academic success rate (ASR) is consistently 87%. Over the eight-year data collection, 278 institutions (62% of the membership) have submitted data at least once. Football student-athletes, and in particular African-American football student-athletes, have significantly lower graduation rates than other sports. The FGR for African-American student-athletes was 36%. [See the Diversity and Inclusion Working Group report.]

  Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

c. **Diversity and Inclusion Working Group.**

  Management Council. The Council received a request from the working group to consider 2019 NCAA Convention legislation to establish the annual mandatory reporting of student-athlete graduation rates. The working group has been evaluating the viability and value of mandatory student-athlete graduation data collection, including weighing the potential administrative burden, in part because the graduation rates of African-Americans and football players has been considerably lower than other groups and sports for eight consecutive years. The working group believes mandatory data collection would provide the following benefits:

  1. A census of valid and reliable data allows for legally and scientifically defensible evidenced-based policies.
  2. Enhancement to the Institutional Performance Program (IPP), the free self-assessment and benchmarking tool provided by the NCAA.
(3) Institutions are currently required to submit similar data, per federal regulations, so the submission to the NCAA may not be overly burdensome.

(4) A mandatory collection will enable Division III to tell its very positive academic story and highlight its philosophy. The current data shows that overall Division III student-athletes graduate at a higher rate than the overall student-body, as well as student-athletes in other NCAA divisions.

The Council recommended the Presidents Council endorse the working group’s efforts to continue analyzing the existing data, and further discuss the value of a mandatory student-athlete graduation data collected. If supported by Presidents Council, the Council will continue to discuss this issue at its January meeting. The Council noted an area of concern may include administrative burden, and the importance of providing concrete suggestions to overcome this burden.

Presidents Council. The Council encouraged the working group to explore crafting a legislative proposal for the 2019 NCAA Convention regarding mandatory student-athlete graduation rate reporting. The Council noted that mandatory data collection could provide valid and reliable data to support evidenced-based policies; enhance the NCAA Institutional Performance Program; and enable the division to more clearly articulate its overall success and commitment to academics. The Council also noted that much of the data are similar to what institutions are currently required to submit to the federal government and to the Association, so any mandate may not prove to be overly burdensome.

d. Faculty Athletic Representatives (FAR) Engagement Working Group.

Management Council. The working group outlined its next steps and proposed recommendations: (1) Create an information sheet detailing the value added to the student-athlete experience through a highly engaged and long-tenured FAR; (2) Develop a best-practices guide for institutions and conference offices; (3) Develop and implement a seamless education model to orient new FARs and support them through their initial tenure in the position; and (4) Explore potential legislation to further codify the expectations of the role of the FAR in the governance and operation of athletics at the campus, conference and national level.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

e. LGBTQ Working Group.

Management Council. The Council heard that the working group recently distributed a series of surveys to better understand the current landscape and climate surrounding LGBTQ issues on Division III campuses and conferences. The working group will use the survey findings as it explores educational resources, visibility and recognition opportunities, and communication best practices. To date, there were more than 3,250 responses, including 2,500 student-athlete responses.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.
f. **Sportsmanship and Game Environment Working Group.**

Management Council. The Council received an update on the working group’s partnership with the Disney Institute and the newly created “Gameday: the DIII Way” program. It noted that the online toolkit was updated to include three pillars: (1) coaching/service recovery/conflict resolution; (2) training; and (3) communication. In addition, three items needed additional input: (1) online quiz or questions prior to training and repeat similar quiz after completion of the training to gauge if education of standard is successful; (2) campus self-evaluation tool pre-Gameday training; and (3) assessment of current and potentially modified approaches and policies with campus security and local law enforcement.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

g. **Athletics Direct Report (ADR) Institute.**

Management Council. The Council received an update on the ADR Institute, which will be conducted January 17-18, 2018, at the NCAA Convention. Objectives are unchanged since 2017, but several sessions have been updated. Currently, there are 41 registered to attend.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

h. **FAR Institute.**

Management Council. The Council received an update on the FAR Institute and noted attendance has decreased from year’s past.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

i. **2018 Division III Membership Survey.**

Management Council. The Council provided feedback to staff on the draft outline of the division’s 2018 membership survey, slated for February distribution. The survey, distributed every five years, provides the membership an opportunity to express opinions on the division’s current and future policies and procedures.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

j. **Division III Identity Initiative.**

Management Council. The Council received a status report on the Division III Identity Initiative including an update on the purchasing website, DIII/D3SIDA recognition award, social media, Special Olympics and Division III Week.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.
k. International Ice Hockey Pilot.

Management Council. The Council endorsed a Division III international ice hockey pilot that would alleviate the institutional burden for evaluating eligibility for first-year international ice hockey players. In the pilot, the NCAA Eligibility Center would review the participation history of men’s and women’s ice hockey players in the 2018-19 season. The pilot parameters and feedback will be shared with the Strategic Planning and Finance Committee for final review and approval, as there is an anticipated $10,000 budget impact.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council also endorsed the pilot.

l. Feedback from Conference Meetings.

Management Council. The Council reviewed reports from conference visits. No action was necessary.

Presidents Council. No action was necessary.

6. ASSOCIATION-WIDE UPDATES AND ISSUES.

a. Board of Governors (BOG) Update.

(1) Sexual Violence Prevention Policy.

Management and Presidents Council. The Councils received a report on the newly approved sexual violence prevention policy, which requires institutions on an annual basis to have the president, athletics director and Title IX investigator attest to the fact that the institution has provided education on sexual violence to athletics staff and student-athletes.

(2) Committee to Promote Cultural Diversity.

Management and Presidents Council. The Councils received an update noting the BOG voted to make this a permanent committee. The roster, comprised of nine individuals, three from Division III, will be finalized in 2018.

(3) Championships Alcohol Pilot.

Management and Presidents Council. The Councils received an update on the current championships alcohol pilot and discussed next steps. Pilot data from the first two years have shown a reduction in alcohol-related citations and incidents. Spectators appear to be less likely to binge drink in the parking lot since they have access to alcohol in the venue. The championships alcohol pilot only allows the sale of beer and wine at select Division I championships, including the joint men’s lacrosse championship with Divisions II and III. The sale of alcohol can only occur at venues that already sell alcohol, have the proper security, and can only take place in concession areas. Staff noted that revenue is minimal as most venue contracts
retain concession sales. However, potential revenue from alcohol sales could encourage more bids from potential hosts. The Council requested staff to collect and share data that more closely delineates the sale of alcohol and spectator experience.

The Division III Championships Committee also discussed the pilot and possible next steps during its September in-person meeting. The committee tasked staff to conduct some fact finding (e.g., how many Division III schools currently sell alcohol, how many of Division III future venues sell alcohol, how many venues would meet the minimum championship alcohol pilot parameters, etc.). The committee will review this data during its February in-person meeting and provide an update to the Councils in April. Staff reminded the Councils that the sale of alcohol at championships is a federated decision, and it would take a separate membership vote to permit the sale of alcohol at championships in Division III.

b. **Sport Science Institute (SSI) updates.**

Management and Presidents Council. The SSI staff shared the Uniform Standards of Care Process recently approved by the Board of Governors. This process provides a more defined process and the intentional engagement of the governance structure and membership around interassociation recommendations, best practices and guidelines. Staff also shared SSI’s strategic priorities timeline. The timeline is a collaboration effort between SSI and the governance staff. While the timeline is fluid, SSI has ensured that it will inform the Councils of changes, as its goal is to keep the Councils informed of SSI’s strategic priorities and anticipated outcomes. The Councils also heard an update on the Substance Abuse Prevention and Intervention Tool Kit distributed this summer to the membership. In 2014, the Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport Committee (CSMAS) requested the Councils support the elimination of street drug testing at championships, as it didn’t believe this type of testing was a true deterrent. The Councils didn’t support the request and noted the need for more educational tools to address substance abuse prevention and intervention. This tool kit satisfies this specific request by the Councils. SSI noted that CSMAS, during its December meeting, again may discuss the elimination of street drug testing at championships. CSMAS will update the Councils on its discussion in April.

c. **Research and Analysis of Student-Athlete Insurance and Health Care Delivery Processes.**

Management Council. The Council received an update noting the NCAA has been conducting research and surveys with members of the Association, including Division III, to ultimately develop a resource of effective practices to assist institutions with student-athlete insurance. Key initial survey findings to improve effectiveness include institutions conducting a primary insurance verification and developing a relationship with local insurance providers. Next steps include the NCAA digesting the research and data, and publishing a matrix resource in six months.

d. **Litigation Update.**

Management Council. The Councils received a litigation update. No action was necessary.
e. **Governmental Relations Report.**

Management and Presidents Councils. The Councils accepted the Governmental Relations Report. No action was necessary.

7. **2018 COMMITTEE AND SUBCOMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS.**

Management Council. The Council reviewed the current 2017 Committee/Subcommittee assignments. Council members that would like to request a new assignment for 2018, should notify the governance staff. Council leadership will then review the proposed assignments and make final decisions.

8. **DIVISION III PRESIDENTS COUNCIL NOMINATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE.**

Presidents Council. The Council reviewed the subcommittee’s September 8 teleconference report and approved the following:

- Reappointed Teresa Amott and Stuart Dorsey for additional four-year terms (January 2018 – January 2022).
- Appointed Kathleen Murray, Whitman College to the Council filling the current vacancy formerly held by Dave Wolk (January 2019). Council noted this position would be eligible for an additional four-year term.
- Appointed Sue Henderson as vice-chair elect (January 2018) and extended her term for an additional year (January 2020).
- Appointed the following to the Council for four-year terms (January 2018 – January 2022), pending approval by the division’s presidents:
  - Tiffany Franks, Averett University [USA South Athletic Conference];
  - Fayneese Miller, Hamline University [Minnesota Intercollegiate Athletic Conference]; and
  - Kent Trachte, Lycoming College [Middle Atlantic Conference].

9. **OTHER BUSINESS.**

- **Discuss Presidents/Chancellors Advisory Group Membership Policy.**

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council discussed the role and makeup of the Division III Presidents/Chancellors Advisory Group, noting that it allows conferences not represented on the Presidents Council or Management Council to have presidential voices heard regarding key Division III issues. Currently, presidents can be appointed to the Presidents Advisory Group if their conference already has a member on the Presidents Council or Management Council, provided the second president represents a minority group (race, gender, sexual orientation, etc.) to ensure a diversity of viewpoints.

The Presidents Council will continue its evaluation of how to better ensure President’s Advisory Group members have the opportunity to make meaningful contributions to the Council, and to better prepare them for potential roles on the Presidents Council.
10. **ADJOURNMENT.**

Management Council. The Council meeting adjourned at 5:20 p.m. Monday, October 16 and 11:58 a.m. Tuesday, October 17.

Presidents Council. The Presidents Council meeting adjourned at 6:02 p.m. Tuesday, October 24 and 10:37 a.m. Thursday, October 25.
REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION III
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
NOVEMBER 16, 2017, ELECTRONIC MAIL

ACTION ITEM.

• None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

• Gerald Young’s Term Extension on the Committee on Infractions. The Administrative Committee approved the request to extend the term of Committee on Infractions (COI) member Gerald Young, professor of physical education, athletics and recreation, and athletics director at Carleton College, through the conclusion of a major infractions case scheduled for a hearing in February 2018.

Young is the NCAA Division III Management Council representative on the COI and served as the committee’s chair during a prior term. His current term expires on January 20, 2018. Extending his term through the conclusion of the major infractions case, including hearing and preparation of the written decision, will allow a full committee to prepare for, hear and adjudicate the case. In addition, Gerald’s years of experience on the COI and understanding of the issues involved in the case will greatly assist the committee throughout the processing of the case.

Committee Chair: Jeff Docking, Adrian College
Staff Liaisons: Dan Dutcher, Division III Governance
Jay Jones, Division III Governance
Debbie Kresge, Division III Governance
Louise McCleary, Division III Governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>November 16, 2017</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Absentees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Docking, Adrian College</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al Cureton, University of Northwestern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shantey Hill, St. Joseph’s College (Long Island)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brit Katz, Millsaps College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy VanAken, Elmhurst College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAA staff: Dan Dutcher, Jay Jones, Debbie Kresge and Louise McCleary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION III ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE NOVEMBER 30, 2017, ELECTRONIC MAIL

ACTION ITEM.

• None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

• Waiver for Madison Burns to serve on the Division III Management Council. The Administrative Committee approved a waiver, on behalf of the Division III Management Council, allowing Madison Burns, a SAAC student-athlete from Randolph-Macon College, to serve on the Council.

Under NCAA Constitution 4.8.2.1 (Exception – Student-Athlete Advisory Committee), Division III legislation prohibits two individuals from the same institution from serving on the Presidents Council and Management Council simultaneously, but allows the Council to waive this prohibition in the case of SAAC nominees to the Management Council. This waiver is necessary as Robert Lindgren, president of Randolph-Macon College, is a current Division III Presidents Council member.

Staff noted no concerns with applying the exception at this time and felt that allowing SAAC to freely choose its own representatives through the standard selection process is important for insuring quality student representation on the Management Council.

Committee Chair: Jeff Docking, Adrian College
Staff Liaisons: Dan Dutcher, Division III Governance
                Jay Jones, Division III Governance
                Debbie Kresge, Division III Governance
                Louise McCleary, Division III Governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>November 30, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attendees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Docking, Adrian College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brit Katz, Millsaps College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy VanAken, Elmhurst College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAA staff: Dan Dutcher, Jay Jones, Debbie Kresge and Louise McCleary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACTION ITEM.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Governance Committee Appointment. The Administrative Committee approved the following committee appointment, effective January 2018:


   The appointment became available due to a recent Management Council nominee rescinding the nomination.

Committee Chair: Jeff Docking, Adrian College
Staff Liaisons: Dan Dutcher, Division III Governance
               Jay Jones, Division III Governance
               Debbie Kresge, Division III Governance
               Louise McCleary, Division III Governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 20, 2017</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Absentees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al Cureton, University of Northwestern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jeff Docking, Adrian College</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shantey Hill, St. Joseph’s College (Long Island)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brit Katz, Millsaps College</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Troy VanAken, Elmhurst College</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCAA staff: Dan Dutcher, Jay Jones, and Louise McCleary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DIVISION III PHILOSOPHY STATEMENT

Colleges and universities in Division III place the highest priority on the overall quality of the educational experience and on the successful completion of all students’ academic programs. They seek to establish and maintain an environment in which a student-athlete’s athletics activities are conducted as an integral part of the student-athlete’s educational experience, and an environment that values cultural diversity and gender equity among their student-athletes and athletics staff.

(a) Expect that institutional presidents and chancellors have the ultimate responsibility and final authority for the conduct of the intercollegiate athletics program at the institutional, conference and national governance levels;

(b) Place special importance on the impact of athletics on the participants rather than on the spectators and place greater emphasis on the internal constituency (e.g., students, alumni, institutional personnel) than on the general public and its entertainment needs;

(c) Shall not award financial aid to any student on the basis of athletics leadership, ability, participation or performance;

(d) Primarily focus on intercollegiate athletics as a four-year, undergraduate experience;

(e) Encourage the development of sportsmanship and positive societal attitudes in all constituents, including student-athletes, coaches, administrative personnel and spectators;

(f) Encourage participation by maximizing the number and variety of sport offerings for their students through broad-based athletics programs;

(g) Assure that the actions of coaches and administrators exhibit fairness, openness and honesty in their relationships with student-athletes;

(h) Assure that athletics participants are not treated differently from other members of the student body;

(i) Assure that student-athletes are supported in their efforts to meaningfully participate in nonathletic pursuits to enhance their overall educational experience;

(j) Assure that athletics programs support the institution’s educational mission by financing, staffing and controlling the programs through the same general procedures as other departments of the institution. Further, the administration of an institution’s athletics program (e.g., hiring, compensation, professional development, certification of coaches) should be integrated into the campus culture and educational mission;
(k) Assure that athletics recruitment compiles with established institutional policies and procedures applicable to the admission process;

(l) Exercise institutional and/or conference autonomy in the establishment of initial and continuing eligibility standards for student-athletes;

(m) Assure that academic performance of student-athletes is, at a minimum, consistent with that of the general student body;

(n) Assure that admission policies for student-athletes comply with policies and procedures applicable to the general student body.

(o) Provide equitable athletics opportunities for males and females and give equal emphasis to men’s and women’s sports;

(p) Support ethnic and gender diversity for all constituents;

(q) Give primary emphasis to regional in-season competition and conference championships; and

(r) Support student-athletes in their efforts to reach high levels of athletics performance, which may include opportunities for participation in national championships, by providing all teams with adequate facilities, competent coaching and appropriate competitive opportunities.

The purpose of the NCAA is to assist its members in developing the basis for consistent, equitable competition while minimizing infringement on the freedom of individual institutions to determine their own special objectives and programs. The above statement articulates principles that represent a commitment to Division III membership and shall serve as a guide for the preparation of legislation by the division and for planning and implementation of programs by institutions and conferences.
The college experience is a time of learning and growth – a chance to follow passions and develop potential. For student-athletes in Division III, this happens most importantly in the classroom and through earning an academic degree. The Division III experience provides for passionate participation in a competitive athletics environment, where student-athletes push themselves to excellence and build upon their academic success with new challenges and life skills. And student-athletes are encouraged to pursue the full spectrum of opportunities available during their time in college. In this way, Division III provides an integrated environment for student-athletes to take responsibility for their own paths, follow their passions and find their potential through a comprehensive educational experience.

### Audience Benefits

**Key benefits of the DIll experience**

- Continue to compete in a highly competitive athletics program and retain the full spectrum of college life.
- Focus on academic achievement while graduating with a comprehensive education that builds skills beyond the classroom.
- Access financial aid for college without the obligations of an athletics scholarship.
- Opportunities to play more than one sport.
- Be responsible for your own path, discover potential through opportunities to pursue many interests.

### Reasons to Believe

**Supporting features of DIll**

1. **Comprehensive educational experience.** Division III institutions develop student-athlete potential through a holistic educational approach that includes rigorous academics, competitive athletics and opportunity to pursue other interests and passions.

2. **Integrated campus environment.** About one-quarter of all students at Division III institutions participate in athletics. Those participating in athletics are integrated into the campus culture and educational missions of their colleges or universities:
   - Student-athletes are subject to admission and academic performance standards consistent with the general student body;
   - Student-athletes are not provided any special housing, services or support from their institution different from other students or student groups;
   - Athletics departments are regulated and managed through the same general procedures and practices as other departments of the institution.

This integration of athletics allows the student-athletes to take full advantage of the many opportunities of campus life and their entire collegiate experience.

3. **Academic focus.** Student-athletes most often attend a college or university in Division III because of the excellent academic programs, creating a primary focus on learning and achievement of their degree. The division minimizes the conflicts between athletics and academics through shorter playing and practice seasons, the number of contests, no red-shirting or out-of-season organized activities, and a focus on regional in-season and conference play.

4. **Available financial aid.** Three-quarters of all student-athletes in Division III receive some form of grant or non-athletics scholarship. Student-athletes have equal opportunity and access to financial aid as the general student body – but are not awarded aid based on athletics leadership, ability, performance or participation.

5. **Competitive athletics programs.** Student-athletes do not receive any monetary incentive (athletics scholarship) to play sports in college. They play for the love and passion of the game and to push themselves to be their best, creating an intense, competitive athletics environment for all who participate.

6. **National championship opportunities.** Division III has more than 170,000 student-athletes competing annually, with access to 38 different national championships. These competitions provide an opportunity for student-athletes to compete at the highest level and fulfill their athletics potential.

7. **Commitment to athletics participation.** Division III institutions are committed to a broad-based program of athletics because of the educational value of participation for the student-athlete. The division has a higher number and wider variety of athletics opportunities on average than any other division in the NCAA, emphasizing both competitive men’s and women’s sports.

### Audience

**Who we are addressing**

- Athletics departments are regulated and managed through the same general procedures and practices as other departments of the institution.

### Audience

**Who we are addressing**

- Those participating in athletics are integrated into the campus culture and educational missions of their colleges or universities:
  - Student-athletes are subject to admission and academic performance standards consistent with the general student body;
  - Student-athletes are not provided any special housing, services or support from their institution different from other students or student groups;
  - Athletics departments are regulated and managed through the same general procedures and practices as other departments of the institution.

This integration of athletics allows the student-athletes to take full advantage of the many opportunities of campus life and their entire collegiate experience.

### Reason to Believe

**Supporting features of DIll**

1. **Comprehensive educational experience.** Division III institutions develop student-athlete potential through a holistic educational approach that includes rigorous academics, competitive athletics and opportunity to pursue other interests and passions.

2. **Integrated campus environment.** About one-quarter of all students at Division III institutions participate in athletics. Those participating in athletics are integrated into the campus culture and educational missions of their colleges or universities:
   - Student-athletes are subject to admission and academic performance standards consistent with the general student body;
   - Student-athletes are not provided any special housing, services or support from their institution different from other students or student groups;
   - Athletics departments are regulated and managed through the same general procedures and practices as other departments of the institution.

This integration of athletics allows the student-athletes to take full advantage of the many opportunities of campus life and their entire collegiate experience.

3. **Academic focus.** Student-athletes most often attend a college or university in Division III because of the excellent academic programs, creating a primary focus on learning and achievement of their degree. The division minimizes the conflicts between athletics and academics through shorter playing and practice seasons, the number of contests, no red-shirting or out-of-season organized activities, and a focus on regional in-season and conference play.

4. **Available financial aid.** Three-quarters of all student-athletes in Division III receive some form of grant or non-athletics scholarship. Student-athletes have equal opportunity and access to financial aid as the general student body – but are not awarded aid based on athletics leadership, ability, performance or participation.

5. **Competitive athletics programs.** Student-athletes do not receive any monetary incentive (athletics scholarship) to play sports in college. They play for the love and passion of the game and to push themselves to be their best, creating an intense, competitive athletics environment for all who participate.

6. **National championship opportunities.** Division III has more than 170,000 student-athletes competing annually, with access to 38 different national championships. These competitions provide an opportunity for student-athletes to compete at the highest level and fulfill their athletics potential.

7. **Commitment to athletics participation.** Division III institutions are committed to a broad-based program of athletics because of the educational value of participation for the student-athlete. The division has a higher number and wider variety of athletics opportunities on average than any other division in the NCAA, emphasizing both competitive men’s and women’s sports.
ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative Items.
   - None.

2. Nonlegislative.
   a. Tennis Championship.
      (1) **Recommendation.** Approve the addition of a day off between the team competition and the start of the singles/doubles portion of the Division III Men’s and Women’s Tennis Championships. The team competition dates will remain Monday through Wednesday, with the off day on Thursday and the singles and doubles competition taking place Friday through Sunday.

      (2) **Effective date.** September 1, 2018.

      (3) **Rationale.** A day off would provide the student-athletes with additional recovery time to prepare for the singles and doubles competition. During the 2017 championships, the committees observed that a number of student-athletes competing in the team portion were also selected to compete in the individuals’ tournament. The committees feel that a day off would allow not only proper recovery time, but would also provide a more competitive environment for these student-athletes.

      Importantly, the NCAA travel department noted that additional per diem would not be a factor for travel parties under this recommendation. Student-athletes and nonstudent-athletes receive 4.5 days per diem for the team tournament and 4.5 days for the singles and doubles tournament. If a student-athlete competes in both, he or she would receive nine total days of per diem, which would cover the day off regardless of when that student’s competition ended. It also would not affect per diem on days of travel.

      The only budget impact would come from an additional day of per diem and lodging for the committees, NCAA staff members and officials. Based on last year’s costs and per diem, the committee believes this would require a $5,000 increase.

      (4) **Estimated budget impact.** $5,000.

      (5) **Estimated student-athlete impact.** The recommendation would positively affect student-athletes, as it would allow optimal recovery time during the championship week.
b. **International Ice Hockey Pilot.**

1. **Recommendation.** Approve funding for a one-year pilot in which the NCAA Eligibility Center will review the participation history of all Division III international first year ice hockey student-athletes.

2. **Effective date.** September 1, 2018.

3. **Rationale.** The Division III membership has noted the difficulty in certifying international student-athletes due to language barriers and unfamiliar educational systems. In response, staff has collaborated with the Eligibility Center (EC) to propose a sports participation review history of all international, first year men’s and women’s ice hockey players.

On August 1, 2018, or later, institutions that sponsor men’s and/or women’s ice hockey must submit the names of the international first-year student-athletes on their men’s and women’s ice hockey rosters to the EC. All student-athletes must complete the Eligibility Center’s free, online Profile Page to provide baseline information and obtain a unique NCAA ID number, and be accepted by and paid a deposit to the institution. Upon receipt of the roster, the EC will reach out to the international student-athletes for necessary additional information to review the sports participation history. The review will include an assessment of the teams and leagues with which a prospective student-athlete participated, evaluation of any compensation or other benefits associated with athletics participation and evaluation of possible agent involvement. Reviews will be completed in the order received and may require institutional involvement to address questions. The review will not include any evaluation of delayed collegiate enrollment (Division III Bylaw 12.1.4).

4. **Estimated budget impact.** $10,000 for approximately 74 participation history reviews at $135 each.

5. **Estimated student-athlete impact.** None.

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.**

1. **Report from the June 27, 2017, in-person meeting.** The committee approved the report from its June 27, 2017, in-person meeting.

2. **Budget.** The committee reviewed the 2016-17 final budget, the 2017-18 budget-to-actual report as of September 30, 2017, and the future budget model. The 2016-17 final budget reflects a $4.3 million overall surplus due to unanticipated revenue (allocation due to
investment sale for litigation settlement, allocation due to Arbiter sale, and championship travel expense adjustment). As anticipated, the nonchampionship budget was approximately $250K over budget due to expenses related to the Gameday the DIII Way sportsmanship initiative and partnership with the Disney Institute, which received prior approval from the governance structure.

3. Division III Conference Grant Program.

a. 2016-17 Conference Grant Spending Summary. During 2016-17, a total of $2,537,821 was distributed to 42 conferences plus the Association of Division III Independents. The amount each conference received ranged from $47,015 to $88,303 with an average distribution of $59,093. The conference distribution amount is annually calculated with a formula that utilizes the number of member schools within each conference.

b. Failure to Meet Grant Requirements. During the review of the impact forms, nine conferences did not properly use grant funds, based on the established conference grant policies and procedures. The subcommittee reviewed these findings and issued a warning letter regarding the following concerns:

   (1) Centennial Conference (Centennial).

   Issue: Tier One – Compliance. Within Tier One, there is an annual requirement to spend grant dollars in the area of rules compliance. The Centennial did not spend dollars in this area during 2016-17.

   Corrective Action: No exceptions are given that would allow zero expenses in the compliance area. This was a first-time offense in this area of Tier One. A warning letter issued.

   (2) City University of New York Athletic Conference (CUNYAC).

   Issue: Tier One – Faculty Athletics Representative (FAR). Within Tier One, there is an annual requirement to spend grant dollars in the area of FAR professional development. The CUNYAC did not spend dollars in this area during 2016-17.

   Corrective Action: As a result of this year’s identified issue, combined with previous Tier One warnings issued in the past five years, the subcommittee will withhold $690 of the Tier Four administrative stipend from the 2017-18 grant fund allocations. This amount represents 23 percent of the $3,000 allocated for the 2017-18 administrative stipend. Because the policy violations, in the past and in this instance, have occurred in Tier One, the 23 percent penalty is directly correlated to the overall percentage of value that is allocated to Tier One within the grant program.
(3) **College Conference of Illinois and Wisconsin (CCIW) – Waning Rescinded.**

**Staff note:** During the initial staff review, the CCIW appeared to have an issue within Tier One related to the use of ethnic minority professional development funds. Further documentation from the conference proved proper spending in this area. As a result, NCAA staff rescinded the warning.

(4) **Michigan Intercollegiate Athletic Association (MIAA).**

**Issue:** Tier Two. A conference school used $1,586 for equipment in its weight room. This usage constitutes a capital expense that does not advance the strategic goals of Division III, and should have been funded by the institution and not through strategic grant dollars. Beyond the limited exceptions in the areas of technology and athletic training room improvements that are identified in the policies, capital expenses are prohibited.

**Corrective Action:** This was the first-time offense of any kind in the past five years. Accordingly, a warning letter was issued.

(5) **New England Women’s and Men’s Athletic Conference (NEWMAC).**

**Issue:** Tier One – Campus Sports Information Director (SID). Within Tier One, there is an annual requirement to spend at least $1,000 in the area of campus SID professional development. The NEWMAC did not spend any dollars in this area during 2016-17.

**Corrective Action:** As a result of this year’s identified issue, combined with previous Tier One warnings issued in the past five years, the subcommittee will withhold $690 of the Tier Four administrative stipend from the 2017-18 grant fund allocations. This amount represents 23 percent of the $3,000 allocated for the 2017-18 administrative stipend. Because the policy violations, in the past and in this instance, have occurred in Tier One, the 23 percent penalty is directly correlated to the overall percentage of value that is allocated to Tier One within the grant program.

(6) **Northern Athletics Conference.**

**Issue One:** Tier One – Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC). Within Tier One, there is an annual requirement to spend grant dollars to support the conference SAAC equal to at least $200 times the number of institutions. The Northern Athletics Conference did not spend the required $2,400 and instead only spent $674 in this area.
Issue Two: The conference did not submit its report until Friday, August 4 at 8 a.m. While the commissioner cited some personal issues, there was no communication at all prior to the July 15th deadline. The commissioner was informed of the $500 per week late fine policy.

Corrective Actions: As a result of this year’s first identified issue, combined with previous warnings issued in the past five years, the subcommittee will withhold $690 of the Tier Four administrative stipend from the 2017-18 grant fund allocations. This amount represents 23% of the $3,000 allocated for the 2017-18 administrative stipend. Because the policy violations, in the past and in this instance, have occurred in Tier One, the 23% penalty is directly correlated to the overall percentage of value that is allocated to Tier One within the grant program.

Per grant policies, there is a fine of $500 per each week that the report is late. As such, the subcommittee will withhold $1,500 of the Tier Four administrative stipend from the 2017-18 grant fund allocations.

In total, $2,190 will be withheld from the Tier Four administrative stipend in the 2017-18 allocations.

(7) Presidents Athletic Conference (PAC).

Issue: Tier One – Ethnic Minority. Within Tier One, there is a biennial requirement to spend dollars in the area of “ethnic minority professional development.” The PAC did not spend any money in this area during 2015-16 or 2016-17.

Corrective Action: This was the first-time offense of any kind in the past five years. Accordingly, a warning letter was issued.

(8) Southern Collegiate Athletic Conference (SCAC).

Issue: Tier One – FAR. Within Tier One, there is annual requirement to spend grant dollars in the area of FAR professional development. The SCAC did not spend dollars in this area during 2016-17.

Corrective Action: This was the first-time offense in this area. Accordingly, a warning letter was issued.

(9) Upper Midwest Athletic Conference (UMAC).

Issue: Tier Two. A conference school used $500 to “improve the women’s locker room visual appeal.” This use constitutes a capital expense that does not advance the strategic goals of Division III, and should have been funded by the institution and not through strategic grant dollars. Beyond the
limited exceptions in the areas of technology and athletic training room improvements that are identified in the policies, capital expenses are prohibited.

Corrective Action: This was the first-time offense of any kind in past five years. Accordingly, a warning letter was issued.

c. Failure to Submit Third Party Review Form. The committee noted that one conference failed to submit its Third Party Review Form by the October 15 deadline. The conference grant policies and procedures dictate defined penalties that include an automatic Level II assessment. While the committee agreed that this assessment should occur, it also noted that it did not assume that funds had been used inappropriately or inconsistently with the grant policies and procedures.

d. Proposed Amendment to Tier One of the Conference Grant Policies. The committee approved the recommendation to modify language for Tier I. In the past, there has been continued confusion around the “odd year, even year” conference office requirements that are detailed within Tier One. The simplified policy rewording continues to meet the original intent of the strategic goal within this area of funding and maintains the limitation that no more than 25% of the Tier One total amount can be spent within the Conference Office Staff category.

The new policy language states conference office staff funds may include the following:

(1) Attendance at D3CA summer meetings;
(2) CoSIDA and/or ECAC SIDA meetings;
(3) NCAA Convention;
(4) NCAA Regional Rules Seminars;
(5) Women’s Leaders in College Sports Convention;
(6) NCAA Equity and Inclusion Forum;
(7) NCAA Women’s Leadership Symposium;
(8) Women’s Leaders in College Sports Institutes (Administrative Advancement, Leadership Enhancement and Executive); and
(9) Title IX seminars, generally.

The conference office must use some Tier One dollars to provide the conference SID with professional development programming at least every other year. No more than 25% of the Tier One total amount can be spent within the Conference Office Staff category.


a. 2017 CoSIDA Student Program. The committee received an update on this new
b. initiative. The budget for 2017 was $6,600 to fund six female and/or ethnic minority students with an interest in a career in athletics communication to attend the CoSIDA convention.

c. 2016-17 New Athletics Directors (AD) Orientation. Twenty-four participants participated in the new AD Orientation program in Orlando, June 2017. The overall participant feedback was positive.

d. 2017 Senior Woman Administrator (SWA) Program. Twenty-nine attended this year’s SWA program in conjunction with the Women Leaders in Sport Convention. The program budget was approximately $85,000.

e. 2017 Faculty Athletics Representatives (FAR) Institute. Twenty-one attended this year’s FAR Institute, a decrease of approximately nine from previous years. The program budget was $85,000.

5. 2018 Division III Membership-wide Survey. The committee reviewed a first draft of the 2018 Division III Membership-wide Survey. The survey provides Division III institutions an opportunity to express their opinions concerning the current future policies and priorities of Division III and will be sent in the spring of 2018. The President and Management Councils will review a revised draft during their January meetings.

6. 2018 Division III Legislation – SAAC Composition. The committee reviewed a membership legislative proposal, as well as a Presidents Council sponsored amendment-to-amendment regarding the national SAAC committee composition. It noted that either proposal, if passed by the membership, will have a budget impact.

7. Update on Association-wide Strategic Plan. The committee received an update on the Association-wide strategic plan. The Association is currently in an RFP process to help with the development of this plan. The goal is to have a draft plan to share with the membership at the 2019 NCAA Convention.

8. Future Meetings. The Strategic Planning and Finance Committee will hold its in-person meeting Tuesday, March 27, from 8 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the NCAA national office.

9. Adjournment. The teleconference adjourned at 2:55 p.m.
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### Revenue:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Year-to-date</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Charter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division III 3.18% Revenue Allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28,543,863</td>
<td>30,140,829</td>
<td>1,596,966</td>
<td>3,834,694</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29,695,153</td>
<td>32,276,861</td>
<td>2,581,708</td>
<td>3,913,206</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31,155,829</td>
<td>4,035,647</td>
<td>(27,120,182)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Year-to-date</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Charter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division III Other Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106,715</td>
<td>106,715</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77,485</td>
<td>77,485</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46,250</td>
<td>46,250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Year-to-date</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Charter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28,543,863</td>
<td>30,247,544</td>
<td>1,703,681</td>
<td>3,834,694</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29,695,153</td>
<td>32,354,364</td>
<td>2,659,193</td>
<td>3,913,206</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31,202,079</td>
<td>4,035,647</td>
<td>(27,166,432)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Expenses:

#### Championship Expenses

**Men's Championships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Year-to-date</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Charter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseball</td>
<td>1,891,000</td>
<td>1,717,761</td>
<td>173,239</td>
<td>(76)</td>
<td>164,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>997,000</td>
<td>922,216</td>
<td>74,784</td>
<td>3,059</td>
<td>19,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Country</td>
<td>541,000</td>
<td>528,141</td>
<td>12,859</td>
<td>385,096</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>1,820,000</td>
<td>1,736,777</td>
<td>83,223</td>
<td>1,230,218</td>
<td>788,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf</td>
<td>541,000</td>
<td>537,671</td>
<td>3,329</td>
<td>7,231</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Hockey</td>
<td>316,000</td>
<td>266,829</td>
<td>49,171</td>
<td>6,086</td>
<td>80,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacrosse</td>
<td>446,000</td>
<td>460,983</td>
<td>14,983</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming &amp; Diving</td>
<td>1,046,000</td>
<td>992,540</td>
<td>53,460</td>
<td>577,945</td>
<td>43,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>615,000</td>
<td>572,733</td>
<td>42,267</td>
<td>4,61</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track, Indoor</td>
<td>369,000</td>
<td>639,425</td>
<td>270,425</td>
<td>9,870</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track, Outdoor</td>
<td>771,000</td>
<td>783,329</td>
<td>12,329</td>
<td>4,417</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>167,000</td>
<td>186,145</td>
<td>19,145</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrestling</td>
<td>381,000</td>
<td>377,109</td>
<td>3,891</td>
<td>2,083</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Men's Championships</td>
<td>10,614,000</td>
<td>10,272,481</td>
<td>341,519</td>
<td>2,310,171</td>
<td>1,096,235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Women's Championships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Year-to-date</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Charter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>1,304,000</td>
<td>917,882</td>
<td>386,118</td>
<td>3,848</td>
<td>1,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball Anniversary</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>107,364</td>
<td>92,636</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Country</td>
<td>561,000</td>
<td>537,154</td>
<td>23,846</td>
<td>380,580</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Hockey</td>
<td>431,000</td>
<td>371,431</td>
<td>59,569</td>
<td>200,022</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf</td>
<td>321,000</td>
<td>328,608</td>
<td>7,608</td>
<td>7,798</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Hockey</td>
<td>286,000</td>
<td>180,427</td>
<td>105,573</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>29,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacrosse</td>
<td>727,000</td>
<td>729,425</td>
<td>2,425</td>
<td>10,697</td>
<td>2,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowing</td>
<td>305,000</td>
<td>311,719</td>
<td>6,719</td>
<td>(388)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer</td>
<td>1,227,000</td>
<td>1,025,336</td>
<td>201,664</td>
<td>522,838</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball</td>
<td>1,418,000</td>
<td>1,259,431</td>
<td>158,569</td>
<td>(195)</td>
<td>30,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming &amp; Diving</td>
<td>528,000</td>
<td>527,694</td>
<td>(2,694)</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>647,000</td>
<td>620,365</td>
<td>26,635</td>
<td>(217)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track, Indoor</td>
<td>617,000</td>
<td>609,185</td>
<td>7,815</td>
<td>265 (265)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track, Outdoor</td>
<td>807,000</td>
<td>773,955</td>
<td>33,045</td>
<td>2,477</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volleyball</td>
<td>999,000</td>
<td>925,596</td>
<td>73,404</td>
<td>501,575</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Women's Championships</td>
<td>10,375,000</td>
<td>9,226,440</td>
<td>1,148,560</td>
<td>1,627,589</td>
<td>83,818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Year-to-date</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Charter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31,155,829</td>
<td>30,247,544</td>
<td>1,703,681</td>
<td>3,834,694</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31,202,079</td>
<td>4,035,647</td>
<td>(27,166,432)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Expenses (continued):

#### Non-Championship Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Initiative Conference Grants</td>
<td>2,541,000</td>
<td>2,521,326</td>
<td>19,674</td>
<td>2,496,547</td>
<td>2,541,000</td>
<td>2,537,821</td>
<td>3,179</td>
<td>2,540,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Division III Strategic Initiatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,795,100</td>
<td>2,791,532</td>
<td>3,568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women &amp; Minority Intern Program</td>
<td>890,000</td>
<td>811,812</td>
<td>78,188</td>
<td>831,690</td>
<td>1,130,000</td>
<td>1,088,724</td>
<td>41,276</td>
<td>1,038,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Alliance Matching Grant</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>547,997</td>
<td>52,003</td>
<td>530,239</td>
<td>708,600</td>
<td>608,420</td>
<td>100,180</td>
<td>588,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student-Athlete Leadership Conference</td>
<td>365,000</td>
<td>353,250</td>
<td>11,750</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>365,000</td>
<td>344,949</td>
<td>20,051</td>
<td>142,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division III Identity Program</td>
<td>446,000</td>
<td>293,460</td>
<td>152,540</td>
<td>94,175</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>342,743</td>
<td>17,257</td>
<td>145,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIII Diversity Initiatives</td>
<td>231,000</td>
<td>97,018</td>
<td>133,982</td>
<td>23,040</td>
<td>231,000</td>
<td>284,742</td>
<td>(53,742)</td>
<td>120,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division-wide Spirituality Initiative</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>523,325</td>
<td>(473,325)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360 Proof (formerly Drug Education and Research)</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>81,294</td>
<td>118,706</td>
<td>30,406</td>
<td>176,000</td>
<td>124,744</td>
<td>51,256</td>
<td>26,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADR Institute</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>95,286</td>
<td>(15,286)</td>
<td>24,632</td>
<td>107,500</td>
<td>93,769</td>
<td>13,732</td>
<td>17,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Division III Initiatives</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>5,802</td>
<td>4,198</td>
<td>9,591</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>3,855</td>
<td>2,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New AD and Commissioner Orientation</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>78,469</td>
<td>(28,469)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>71,942</td>
<td>(11,942)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIII FAR Institute</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>82,365</td>
<td>(2,365)</td>
<td>67,747</td>
<td>86,500</td>
<td>91,882</td>
<td>(5,382)</td>
<td>41,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus-based Student-Athlete Leadership Programs</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>80,023</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADIIA Partnership</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>42,196</td>
<td>9,804</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>52,023</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>25,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Convention</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>42,443</td>
<td>27,557</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>37,443</td>
<td>32,557</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-SIDA Partnership</td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>39,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division III Event Cancellation Insurance</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>44,953</td>
<td>10,047</td>
<td>41,463</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>48,460</td>
<td>(7,460)</td>
<td>40,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Reporting Honorarium</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>24,282</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>24,282</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>24,994</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Olympics Partnership (NCAA/NAIA/HER)</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>37,489</td>
<td>(2,489)</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>38,847</td>
<td>(3,847)</td>
<td>3,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWA Enhancement Grant Program (NCAA/NAIA/HER)</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>(1,200)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Spring-In-Person SAAC Meeting</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>19,077</td>
<td>(4,077)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,716</td>
<td>(716)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference Commissioners Meeting</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>9,460</td>
<td>10,540</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>16,237</td>
<td>3,763</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Working Groups</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>19,084</td>
<td>1,916</td>
<td>2,362</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>16,301</td>
<td>(301)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-SIDA DIII Day</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIII Administrator and Commissioner Meeting</td>
<td>3,407</td>
<td>(3,407)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>9,594</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>5,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory/Provisional Membership</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>6,045</td>
<td>3,955</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2017-18 Division III Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Championships Expense</td>
<td>5,988,000</td>
<td>5,399,191</td>
<td>628,809</td>
<td>4,217,343</td>
<td>6,255,600</td>
<td>6,520,320</td>
<td>(264,720)</td>
<td>4,846,129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Allocation</td>
<td>957,000</td>
<td>990,000</td>
<td>(33,000)</td>
<td>319,000</td>
<td>1,054,000</td>
<td>944,000</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>351,333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Championships Expenses</td>
<td>6,945,000</td>
<td>6,349,191</td>
<td>595,809</td>
<td>4,536,343</td>
<td>7,309,600</td>
<td>7,464,320</td>
<td>(154,720)</td>
<td>5,197,462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Division III Expenses</td>
<td>28,267,000</td>
<td>26,185,112</td>
<td>2,081,888</td>
<td>5,716,395</td>
<td>29,241,709</td>
<td>28,169,295</td>
<td>1,072,414</td>
<td>10,109,392</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Excess Revenue over Expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excess Revenue over Expense</td>
<td>276,863</td>
<td>4,062,432</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>453,444</td>
<td>4,185,051</td>
<td>(625,371)</td>
<td>(3,173,279)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### DIll Future Projections

**Assumptions:**
- Game Operations increases by X% each fiscal year based on FY2009-10 thru FY2015-16 average increases. Actual growth rate is 4.5% annually.
- Committee expenses increase by X% each fiscal year based on FY2011-12 thru FY2015-16 average increases. Actual growth rate is -2.1% annually.
- Team Transportation increases by X% each fiscal year based on cost per traveler analysis for FY2007-08 thru FY2015-16.
- Non-revenue, realized gains on investments due to liquidation for $200M one-time distribution and grants-in-aid settlement of $200M for 2016-17 - Reduction in DIll revenue related to investments due to smaller association-wide investment portfolio.
- Additional membership dues assessment is reflected as $0 in 2017-18 due to one-year deferral on implementation (approximately $519,000 if collected).
- Maintain 75%/25% ratio of championships to non-championships 'spending thru 2024 with done on reserve to cover certain champs enhancements over the same period.

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

**Division III Budget Projections**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue</td>
<td>$32,354,146</td>
<td>$31,282,075</td>
<td>$32,416,827</td>
<td>$33,911,829</td>
<td>$33,948,829</td>
<td>$34,051,829</td>
<td>$34,705,829</td>
<td>$34,847,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Revenue Increase</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expenses:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>$21,513,475</td>
<td>$20,976,639</td>
<td>$20,733,220</td>
<td>$20,054,105</td>
<td>$20,072,500</td>
<td>$19,874,805</td>
<td>$19,563,900</td>
<td>$19,968,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Championship Expenses</td>
<td>$19,044,000</td>
<td>$18,486,639</td>
<td>$18,283,220</td>
<td>$17,694,105</td>
<td>$17,712,500</td>
<td>$17,514,805</td>
<td>$17,203,900</td>
<td>$17,608,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net Change in Fund Balance (before supplemental spending):**

| 2016-17 | $4,185,672 | $1,964,421 | $2,515,815 | $2,574,427 | $2,885,229 | $1,057,897 | $749,216 | $245,813 |

**Supplemental items:**

| Supplemental Spending from Reserve | $1,599,000 | $1,618,000 | $1,668,000 | $1,720,000 | $1,773,000 | $1,828,000 | $1,885,000 |

**Net Change in Fund Balance (after supplemental spending):**

| 2016-17 | $4,684,672 | $1,964,421 | $2,515,815 | $2,574,427 | $2,885,229 | $1,057,897 | $749,216 | $245,813 |

**Notes:**
1. Mandated reserve is 50% of the annual DIll revenue allocation in cash beginning in fiscal year 2017-18. The division also holds a separate event cancellation insurance policy with a $5M limit.
2. Supplemental championships spending is earmarked for individual/sports ground transportation and returning travel party sizes to 2015-16 levels. This supplemental spending would be evaluated first for elimination in the event of an operating deficit.
3. All amounts for 2017-18 are budgeted amounts with the exception of other revenue and overhead. Overhead estimates were updated in December 2017 based on current information.
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**Division III Budget Projections**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue</td>
<td>$32,354,146</td>
<td>$31,282,075</td>
<td>$32,416,827</td>
<td>$33,911,829</td>
<td>$33,948,829</td>
<td>$34,051,829</td>
<td>$34,705,829</td>
<td>$34,847,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Revenue Increase</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>-5.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expenses:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>$21,513,475</td>
<td>$20,976,639</td>
<td>$20,733,220</td>
<td>$20,054,105</td>
<td>$20,072,500</td>
<td>$19,874,805</td>
<td>$19,563,900</td>
<td>$19,968,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Championship Expenses</td>
<td>$19,044,000</td>
<td>$18,486,639</td>
<td>$18,283,220</td>
<td>$17,694,105</td>
<td>$17,712,500</td>
<td>$17,514,805</td>
<td>$17,203,900</td>
<td>$17,608,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net Change in Fund Balance (before supplemental spending):**

| 2016-17 | $4,185,672 | $1,964,421 | $2,515,815 | $2,574,427 | $2,885,229 | $1,057,897 | $749,216 | $245,813 |

**Supplemental items:**

| Supplemental Spending from Reserve | $1,599,000 | $1,618,000 | $1,668,000 | $1,720,000 | $1,773,000 | $1,828,000 | $1,885,000 |

**Net Change in Fund Balance (after supplemental spending):**

| 2016-17 | $4,684,672 | $1,964,421 | $2,515,815 | $2,574,427 | $2,885,229 | $1,057,897 | $749,216 | $245,813 |
The Division III Management Council conducted its January 17 meeting in Indianapolis, Indiana. Listed below are specific items for review and consideration by the Presidents Council.

**ACTION ITEMS**

- None.

**NO ACTION REQUIRED**

The following do not require formal action and are being reported to the Presidents Council for informational purposes only.

1. **Mandatory Student-Athlete Graduation Rate Reporting.** The Management Council reviewed and endorsed in concept a recommendation to sponsor 2019 NCAA Convention legislation to require annual mandatory student-athlete graduation rate reporting. The initial recommendation for legislation came from the Division III Diversity and Inclusion Working Group. The working group has been evaluating the viability and value of mandatory student-athlete graduation rate data collection, including weighing the potential administrative burden, in part because the graduation rates of African-Americans and football student-athletes have been considerably lower than other groups and sports for eight consecutive years.

The benefits of mandatory reporting would be the following:

- Create reliable data that will allow for legally and scientifically defensible evidenced-based policies;
- Enhance institutional use of the Institutional Performance Program (IPP), the free self-assessment and benchmarking tool provided by the NCAA, as reporting will provide more data.
- Enable the Division III membership to better tell its very positive academic story and highlight its unique philosophy. The current data (submitted voluntarily by over 40 percent of Division III institutions) show that Division III student-athletes graduate at a higher rate than the overall student-body, as well as student-athletes in other NCAA divisions.

The Management Council anticipates reviewing this proposal in legislative format during its April meeting and may recommend the Presidents Council sponsor 2019 Convention legislation that would make student-athlete graduation rate reporting an annual requirement as well as a condition and obligation of membership. The Membership Committee would have oversight for this process. The Management Council did not conclude if or what penalty should exist for failure to submit. If adopted at the 2019 Convention, the effective date would be August 1, 2019, with the first report due June 1, 2020.
2. **Management Council Leadership Succession Process.** The Council discussed its chair and vice chair election process. It considered a process that would specify the vice chair as chair-elect, serving a one-year term and automatically ascending to the chair in year two. Ultimately, the Council voted to not change the current process and instead maintain the status quo.

3. **2018 Division III Membership Survey.** The Council approved the final draft of the division’s 2018 membership survey, slated for February distribution. The survey, distributed every five years, provides the membership an opportunity to express opinions on the division’s current and future policies and procedures, legislative standards and revenue allocations.

4. **Strategic Planning and Budget.** The Council approved a request to allow a day off between the singles and doubles competition at the men and women’s tennis national championship. It also approved nonchampionships funding for an international ice hockey pilot during the 2018-19 academic year. The pilot entails the NCAA Eligibility Center (EC) conducting a sport participation review history of all international first year men and women’s ice hockey players. The Council also approved a one-year waiver to complete the International Eligibility form for international ice hockey student-athletes that receive a participation review by the EC.

5. **Sport Science Institute (SSI) Updates.** Dr. Brian Hainline provided an update on SSI’s strategic priorities timeline, including the outcomes of the recent Mental Health Summit, the Task Force on Sleep and Wellness, promotion and use of the NCAA’s injury surveillance program, the upcoming Task Force on Football Data, as well as next steps from last year’s NATA-NCAA Summit. He noted the approval and funding of the second phase of the NCAA’s concussion study in partnership with the Department of Defense. SSI also is developing a template for the Divisions II and III membership that would help standardize NCAA concussion protocols and the use of the existing concussion checklist. The Council may see proposed legislation regarding this concept at its April meeting.

6. **Interpretations and Legislation Committee - 2019 Proposed Legislation.** The Council agreed to sponsor the committee’s recommendation for a 2019 legislative proposal to permit individuals to accept educational expenses for secondary education prior to collegiate enrollment from any individual other than an agent, professional sports team or a representative of an institution’s athletics interests.

7. **Waiver Appeal.** The Council denied an appeal from Salve Regina University regarding the missed deadline and late submission of its Institutional Self-Study Guide (ISSG). The Management Council upheld the decision of the Membership Committee.
8. **Financial Aid Reporting Process - Level I and II Reviews.** The Council received the committee’s report that identified 37 Level I reviews this fall, 20 less than last year. The committee took the following actions: (1) voted to take no action on 14 cases; and (2) voted to forward 23 Level I cases to a Level II review, 10 less than last year.

9. **Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) Elections.** The Division III SAAC elected its new officers – Matt Knigge, Vassar College, will be the chair and Parker Hammel, Wartburg College will be the vice-chair. The new Management Council liaison is Madison Burns, Randolph-Macon College. Sean Cain, Adrian College, is a returning Council member.

10. **Student-Athlete Reinstatement Community Service Guideline.** The Council approved the Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee’s modification to its current guideline regarding community service as a condition of reinstatement to include new variable standards, based on the value of the impermissible benefit.

11. **Senior Woman Administrator Research Findings.** NCAA office of inclusion staff provided the Council with the most recent data from the SWA survey distributed in the spring of 2017. The NCAA Committee on Women’s Athletics and inclusion staffs are currently creating related action plans and future membership engagement opportunities.

12. **Research Committee – Noncontroversial Legislation.** The Council adopted noncontroversial legislation to amend the duties of the Research Committee effective immediately.

13. **Gameday the DIII Way.** The Council received an update noting that the 2018 Convention is the official launch of the division’s new sportsmanship initiative – Gameday the DIII Way. In addition to two on-site training sessions, every delegate will receive a t-shirt as well as instructions on how to access more information and resources on ncaa.org. Over the coming months, the Sportsmanship and Game Environment Working Group will create an evaluation and feedback instrument as well as on-line training modules.

14. **FAR Engagement and LGBTQ Working Groups.** Both working groups will provide the Convention delegates with updates during the Division III Business Session. After a year of data gathering and research, both working groups anticipate implementing new resources, programs and initiatives next year.
Proposal No. 2018-1: ELIGIBILITY -- ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT AND IMPERMISSIBLE ACADEMIC ASSISTANCE

| Intent. | To define pre-enrollment and post-enrollment academic misconduct; clarify the individuals and activities to which the legislation applies; and clarify when an institution must report an academic misconduct violation. Specifically, academic misconduct as an NCAA violation is primarily conditioned first on a finding by the institution that its own policies have been violated, and then if the institutional violation involved any of the following: (1) an institutional staff member or athletics representative along with a student-athlete; (2) an erroneous declaration of eligibility; or (3) an alteration of a transcript or academic record (alteration by an institutional staff member would constitute academic misconduct regardless if it violated the institution’s policies). Further, if an institution’s policies were not violated and academic misconduct was not found, then establish an impermissible academic assistance analysis in lieu of an extra benefit analysis. |
| Proposed Speakers: |
| PC (move and support): Teresa Amott |
| PC (support): TBD |
| MC (support): Shantey Hill |

Source. NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council (Interpretations and Legislation Committee)].

Effective Date. August 1, 2018.

Rationale. The current regulatory structure regarding academic misconduct is confusing, unclear and imprecise and appropriate revisions to the academic misconduct legislation will serve to benefit individual institutions and the Association as a whole. Despite changes in the academic landscape, academic misconduct legislation has not been revised since 1983 when the legislation was added to the manual. Under the current regulatory structure, it can be unclear when academic misconduct involving student-athletes falls within the purview of the NCAA and when academic misconduct should be an institutional matter. Current terms, definitions and gaps in the academic misconduct legislation result in confusion and lack of clarity. The regulatory structure for academic misconduct is currently located in bylaws, interpretations and educational columns and should be consolidated in a single article (Bylaw 14). This proposal will expand the application of the academic misconduct legislation to any situation in which an institutional staff member is involved and replaces the current academic extra benefit analysis with a specific and limited definition of impermissible academic assistance. In addition, the proposal will require institutional policies and procedures regarding academic misconduct for the general student body.
Proposal No. 2018-2: ETHICAL CONDUCT -- SPORTS WAGERING ACTIVITIES -- SANCTIONS -- ELIMINATION OF LEGISLATED SANCTIONS

**Intent.** To eliminate the legislated penalty for sports wagering activities.

**Source.** NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council (Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee)].

**Effective Date.** Immediate.

**Rationale.** The student-athlete reinstatement process offers the opportunity for the NCAA Division III Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement and NCAA student-athlete reinstatement staff to review cases on an individual basis and consider the totality of the circumstances. For violations that trigger the legislated sports-wagering sanctions, the minimum penalty is automatic and cannot be appealed. To promote increased fairness, it is important to conduct a case-by-case review of these sports-wagering violations and also provide for an appellate opportunity. Further, if the proposal is adopted, the legislated sanctions will be incorporated into the Division III Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement guidelines, which would recognize the Association's continued emphasis on the severity of sports-wagering violations. Note: Bylaw 10.3.2 is a common provision across NCAA Divisions I, II and III.

**Proposed Speakers:**
- PC (move and support): William Fritz
- MC (support): Heather Benning

---

Proposal No. 2018-3: DIVISION III COMMITTEES -- ELIGIBILITY OF MEMBERSHIP -- STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE -- CHANGE IN COMPOSITION

**Intent.** Allow for each conference and the group of independents to have a representative on National SAAC.

**Source.** Allegheny Mountain Collegiate Conference, Great Northeast Athletic Conference and State University of New York Athletic Conference.

**Effective Date.** August 1, 2018.

**Proposed Speakers:**
- MC (oppose): Nnenna Akotaobi
- MC (oppose): Michael Vienna

**Governance Position:**
Rationale. Currently, conferences share the National SAAC representative on an alternating basis; however, with the growth and emphasis on student-athlete input, it is imperative that the interests of student-athletes within a conference are represented at the national level. By sharing the National SAAC representative with another conference, the interests of the student-athletes are not always served. For example, the SUNYAC currently shares its National SAAC Representative with the Empire 8. The conferences are completely different with the SUNYAC comprised of state universities and the Empire 8 comprised of private institutions.

Proposal No. 2018-3-1: DIVISION III COMMITTEES -- ELIGIBILITY OF MEMBERSHIP -- STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE -- PARTNER CONFERENCE STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE LIAISON

Intent. To create a Partner Conference Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Liaison structure, as opposed to increasing the size of the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

Source. NCAA Division III Presidents Council.

Effective Date. August 1, 2018.

Rationale. A committee with over 40 members will be inefficient. This amendment will retain the efficiency and effectiveness of the current SAAC while addressing the relevant communication and representation concerns set forth by the sponsors of the related proposal. It also avoids the excessive cost ($115,000) associated with that proposal.
Proposal No. 2018-4: ELIGIBILITY -- GRADUATE AND POSTBACCALAUREATE ELIGIBILITY -- STUDENTS GRADUATING FROM DIVISION III INSTITUTIONS

Intent. To permit a student that has graduated from an NCAA Division III institution to participate in intercollegiate athletics at the Division III institution of his or her choice provided: (1) The student is enrolled and seeking a second baccalaureate or graduate degree; (2) The student has eligibility remaining (i.e., seasons of participation); and (3) The participation occurs within the applicable 10-semester/15-quarter period set for in Bylaw 14.2.

Source. NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council, (Subcommittee for Legislative Relief)].

Effective Date. Immediate.

Rationale. Current legislation permits a graduate or postbaccalaureate student to participate only at the institution from which the student-athlete received his or her undergraduate degree. Allowing Division III students with eligibility remaining to continue participation at a Division III institution following completion of a baccalaureate degree allows those students to make academic and athletic choices that are in their best interests. Because these are Division III students, there is not the concern of student-athletes taking advantage of a redshirt year to participate at Division III institutions and thereby diluting the philosophical tenet that promotes athletic participation as primarily a four-year, undergraduate experience. This proposal is also consistent with the Division III membership's feedback from the 2017 NCAA Convention regarding NCAA Division III Proposal 2017-2. Finally, the current waiver conditions for graduate participation would continue to apply for all students that did not attend a Division III institution.

Proposed Speakers:
- PC (move and support): Elsa Nunez
- PC (support): Matt Shank
- MC (support): Kate Roy

| **Intent.** | To amend the football preseason legislation as follows: (1) The first permissible practice date shall be 25 days before the first permissible Saturday contest date (regardless of the institution's actual first contest date). Expenses may not be provided before this date; (2) A day off shall be provided during the first six days of preseason which includes the five-day acclimatization period; (3) A day off shall be provided during each remaining week of the preseason practice period (prior to the week of the first contest); (4) On-field activity (a practice session and a walk-through session) shall be limited to a combined total of four hours in length per day with a practice session not to exceed three hours; and (5) Footballs may be used during walk-through sessions following the five-day acclimatization period. |
| **Source.** | NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council (Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee)]. |
| **Effective Date.** | August 1, 2018. |
| **Rationale.** | The Interassociation Consensus Recommendations on Year-Round Football Practice Contact for College Student-Athletes “recommendations” included the discontinuation of two-a-day practices. The Division III Management Council adopted noncontroversial legislation to eliminate multiple on-field contact practices on the same day. The noncontroversial legislation, resulted in an inequitable start date formula and lost practice opportunities. This proposal seeks to provide equitable and sufficient practice opportunities for all football sponsoring institutions. Institutions would count back 25 days from the first contest date to determine the first permissible practice date. These 25 days would include any administrative days. The framework allows institutions to participate in up to 22 practice days while still providing student-athletes with a day off each week. Further, the proposal would allow up to four hours of on-field sessions which includes practices and walk through sessions. Currently, walk through sessions are limited to one hour but could be increased if the practice session is less than three hours. Finally, the proposal allows the use of footballs during the preseason, following the five-day acclimatization period. This proposal provides flexibility for institutions to implement the recommendations while providing a framework to ensure their student-athletes are adequately prepared for competition. |

| **Proposed Speakers:** | PC (move and support): Robert Huntington |
|                       | MC (support): Brad Bankston |
### Proposal No. 2018-6: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- ANNUAL CONTEST EXEMPTIONS -- ALUMNI CONTEST

**Intent.** To permit the stand-alone annual exemption of one alumni contest per sport during any segment/period, with the exception of football.

**Source.** Allegheny Mountain Collegiate Conference, Great Northeast Athletic Conference, Little East Conference, Michigan Intercollegiate Athletic Association, Middle Atlantic Conferences and Ohio Athletic Conference.

**Effective Date.** August 1, 2018.

**Rationale.** Proposal No. 2017-4 served to standardize the annual contest and date of competition exemptions. However, we believe the legislation went too far by eliminating the alumni contest as a stand-alone exemption. This proposal restores the alumni contest exemption as it existed in all sports that had them prior to the passage of Proposal No. 2017-4. Alumni contests are events that serve a distinctly different purpose than preseason scrimmages and exhibition games against organized teams (e.g., Division III member squads, foreign club teams), which are intended to prepare a team for regular season competition. Alumni contests are friendly competitions that are intended to build or maintain good will among former players and current student-athletes and to keep them connected with the institution through a friendly athletic activity. Often times these will occur in the non-traditional season or vacation periods. By standardizing an alumni game exemption separate and distinct from the preseason scrimmage/exhibition/joint practice exemptions, this legislation will more logically reflect the inherent difference between these two types of athletic activities and allow them to more properly meet their intended purposes.

**Proposed Speakers:**
None. Management Council took no position on this proposal and will therefore, not speak on this proposal.

### Proposal No. 2018-7: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE CONTEST -- NOVEMBER 8TH

**Intent.** In basketball, to establish the first permissible contest date as November 8. When November 8 falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Monday, a member institution may play its first contest on the Friday immediately preceding November 8.

**Proposed Speakers:**
MC (Support): Stevie Baker Watson
**Source.** Minnesota Intercollegiate Athletic Conference and State University of New York Athletic Conference.

**Effective Date.** August 1, 2018.

**Rationale.** This proposal establishes an earlier first contest date in basketball, which would allow for more balance in institutions' schedules. More game dates would be allowed in the first semester, which could create fewer contests in the second semester and a better balance between semesters. This proposal would establish a set first contest date that shortens the month-long preseason by one week. The proposal does not extend the 19-week playing and practice season, but allows for programs to better distribute weeks for practice and/or contests.

**Proposal No. 2018-8: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- ICE HOCKEY -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE ON-ICE PRACTICE DATE -- SECOND MONDAY IN OCTOBER**

**Intent.** In ice hockey, to establish the first permissible on ice practice date as the second Monday in October.

**Source.** Middle Atlantic Conferences and Minnesota Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.

**Effective Date.** August 1, 2018.

**Rationale.** This proposal establishes an earlier first on-ice practice date in ice hockey. The additional on-ice practices will allow student-athletes and teams to properly prepare for contests from a health and safety standpoint. Currently, teams have 10 on-ice days to prepare for a season during the academic year. This proposal will help ensure the safety of the student-athletes during pre-season training sessions. This proposal does not change the 19-week allowance, but allows for teams to better use their weeks to prepare student-athletes.

**Governance Position:**
The Division III Management Council and Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee support this proposal, as it provides additional on-ice practice opportunities without lengthening the playing season.
Proposal No. 2018-9: AMATEURISM -- PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES -- INSTITUTIONAL, CHARITABLE, EDUCATIONAL OR NONPROFIT PROMOTIONS -- EDUCATIONAL REQUIREMENT

| **Intent.** | To amend the promotional activities legislation by requiring institutions to provide educational material in lieu of obtaining a release statement from the authorized representative of the charitable, educational, nonprofit or government agency confirming the student-athlete's name, image or appearance will be used in a manner consistent with Bylaw 12 regulations. |
| **Source.** | NCAA Division III Management Council (Interpretations and Legislation Committee). |
| **Effective Date.** | August 1, 2018. |
| **Rationale.** | The current legislation requires an institution to have an authorized representative of the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency sign a release statement insuring the student-athlete's name, image or appearance is used in a manner consistent with the requirements of the promotional activities legislation. By eliminating the signature requirement for an authorized representative of the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency, while still requiring education on the requirements of the promotional activities legislation, will maintain the intent of the legislation and reduce the administrative burden. |

**Proposed Speakers:**

MC (move and support): Robert Davis
**2018 NCAA Convention Division III Business Session**  
**Mootnicity and Related Parliamentary Issues**

**NCAA Division III Presidents Council Grouping – Proposal Nos. 1-5.**

**General Notes.** The NCAA Division III Presidents Council has identified five proposals of particular interest to Division III chancellors and presidents. These proposals are included in the Presidents Council grouping and will be considered by roll call vote. All motions and votes related to these proposals also must be considered by roll call. Because the Presidents Council designated the proposals for roll call vote, only the Presidents Council may waive this designation and all other motions to change the voting method would be out of order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal Number</th>
<th>Procedural Issues</th>
<th>Mootnicity Issues</th>
<th>Miscellaneous Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 - 1</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 - 2</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>This is a common provision and needs to be passed by all three divisions voting separately to be effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 - 3</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>Once this proposal is moved and seconded, then one of the sponsors of Proposal No. 3-1 will move Proposal No. 3-1 and that will be seconded. The membership will then debate and vote on whether to amend the proposal per No. 3-1. If the amendment No. 3-1 is defeated, then the membership will vote on Proposal No. 3 as originally submitted. If the amendment is adopted, the membership will vote on Proposal No. 3 as amended by Proposal No. 3-1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-3-1</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>See notes for proposal 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-4</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-5</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>A motion to divide the proposal for separate votes would be contrary to the sponsor’s intent and would be ruled out of order.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NCAA Division III General Grouping – Proposal Nos. 6-9.

**General Notes.** This grouping contains four proposals. Each proposal will be considered by roll call vote. All motions and votes related to these proposals also must be considered by roll call. Because the Presidents Council designated the proposals for roll call vote, only the Presidents Council may waive this designation and all other motions to change the voting method would be out of order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal Number</th>
<th>Procedural Issues</th>
<th>Mootnicity Issues</th>
<th>Miscellaneous Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 - 6</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 – 7</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 – 8</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-9</td>
<td>Roll call vote.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional Notes.

Effective date: A motion for an alternative effective date on the convention floor would violate the principle of "prior notice" and therefore would be out of order. Alternative effective dates must be designated with the submission of the proposal or offered as an amendment-to-amendment.

Motion to divide: Any delegate intending to make a motion to divide one of the nine proposals is encouraged to contact a member of the NCAA academic and membership affairs staff for assistance with drafting of the motion before the Division III business session.
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Understanding How to Read the 2018 NCAA Convention Division III Official Notice.

1. **How to read the NCAA Division III legislative proposals.** When reviewing legislative proposals, it is important to note that:
   
a. The letters and words that appear in *italics and strikethrough* are letters and words in the current NCAA Division III rule that would be deleted with the adoption of the proposal;
   
b. The letters and words that appear in **bold face and underlined** are letters and words that would be added with the adoption of the proposal; and
   
c. The letters and words that appear in normal text are letters and words in the current Division III rule that would remain unchanged with the adoption of the proposal.

2. **What appears in the white pages of the NCAA Division III Official Notice?**

   The white pages of the NCAA Division III Official Notice contain the legislative proposals that will be voted on individually at the NCAA Division III business session. Anticipated questions and answers related to each of the proposals appearing in the white pages are contained in this question and answer guide.

3. **What is the difference between the Presidents Council grouping and the general grouping of proposals?**

   The NCAA Division III Presidents Council has determined that it will focus primarily on those national issues in Division III athletics that prompt widespread concern among Division III chancellors or presidents.

   The Presidents Council has identified five proposals that it believes are of particular interest to Division III chancellors or presidents and has included them in the Presidents Council grouping. The remaining proposals are included in the general grouping. All proposals have been identified by the Presidents Council for a roll-call vote.

4. **What appears in the blue pages of the Official Notice?**

   - The blue pages of the Official Notice contain three types of legislative proposals. The proposals appearing in the blue pages have already been adopted by the authority of the NCAA Division III Management Council. These proposals have an immediate effective date from the time of adoption. These groups of proposals will be ratified by the NCAA Division III membership during the Division III business session. If a delegate objects to the incorporation of any one of these legislative proposals, that objection should be raised prior to the ratification of the
package of proposals. (It is preferred that any delegate intending to raise an objection also inform a member of the NCAA academic and membership affairs staff of that intent before the Division III business session.) The Division III membership would then vote on the proposal in question via a separate action.

The question and answer document does not address proposals that are included in the blue pages. The blue pages, however, include an "additional information" section with each proposal that provides additional clarification regarding the proposal.

The three types of legislation contained within the blue pages are listed below.

1. **Interpretations to be incorporated in the 2018-19 NCAA Division III Manual.** These interpretations have already been accepted by the membership and the only issue that is before the membership is whether they should be set forth in the Division III Manual.

2. **Noncontroversial legislation adopted by the Management Council.** These proposals constitute all of the noncontroversial legislative changes the Management Council has adopted during the past year. The Management Council is permitted to adopt such legislation, if it is necessary, to promote the normal and orderly administration of the Association's legislation.

3. **Modifications of wording.** These proposals are modifications to current legislation that have been shown to be consistent with the intent of the membership in adopting the current legislation. To approve such a change, the Management Council has determined that sufficient documentation and testimony exists to establish clearly that the original wording of the legislation requires modification to better reflect the original intent.
Questions and Answers
2018 NCAA Convention Division III Legislative Proposals

NCAA Division III Proposal Number 2018-1 (2-1).

Title: ELIGIBILITY -- ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT AND IMPERMISSIBLE ACADEMIC ASSISTANCE.

Effective Date: August 1, 2018.

Source: NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council (Interpretations and Legislation Committee)].

Intent: To define pre-enrollment and post-enrollment academic misconduct; clarify the individuals and activities to which the legislation applies; and clarify when an institution must report an academic misconduct violation. Specifically, academic misconduct as an NCAA violation is primarily conditioned first on a finding by the institution that its own policies have been violated, and then if the institutional violation involved any of the following: (1) an institutional staff member or athletics representative along with a student athlete; (2) an erroneous declaration of eligibility; or (3) an alteration of a transcript or academic record (alteration by an institutional staff member would constitute academic misconduct regardless if it violated the institution's policies). Further, if an institution's policies were not violated and academic misconduct was not found, then establish an impermissible academic assistance analysis in lieu of an extra benefit analysis.

Question No. 1: How is the proposed legislation different from the current legislation?

Answer: This proposal identifies which institutional academic integrity issues must also be reported as NCAA violations. There are four primary areas where the proposed legislation is different than the current legislation. Those four are as follows:

1. The proposed legislation requires an institution to have policies and procedures that address issues of academic integrity for all students.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Legislation</th>
<th>Proposed Legislation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Policies and Procedures</td>
<td>Not required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The proposed legislation defines "institutional staff member" and expands a reportable academic misconduct to include when an institutional staff or booster is involved.

### Academic Misconduct: Staff Involvement

**Current:**
- Conduct violates the institution's academic misconduct policies & procedures
- Arrangement of Fraudulent Academic Credit or False Transcripts?

**Proposed:**
- Conduct violates the institution's academic misconduct policies & procedures
- Arrangement or falsification of Transcript or Academic Record
- Institutional Staff or Booster Involved?

**Decision Path:**
- Yes to either? → No to both?
- Yes to any? → No to all?

**Outcome:**
- NCAA 10.1.9(b) Violation
- No NCAA 10.1.9(b) Violation
- NCAA Academic Misconduct Violation
- No NCAA Violations

An "institutional staff member" is:

Any individual, excluding a student employee, who performs work for the institution or athletics department, regardless of whether the individual receives compensation for the work.

A student employee, however, is an institutional staff member if:

a. He or she has institutional responsibilities to provide academic services to student-athletes; or
b. He or she engages in academic misconduct or provides impermissible academic assistance at the direction of a nonstudent employee, a student employee who has institutional responsibilities to provide academic services to student-athletes or a representative of the institution's athletics interests.

3. The proposed legislation eliminates an academic integrity issue from being processed as an extra benefit and substitutes an impermissible academic assistance analysis.

**Extra Benefit v. Impermissible Academic Assistance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current:</th>
<th>Proposed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic assistance or academic exception provided is not generally available to other students on institution's campus on a basis unrelated to athletics ability.</td>
<td>Conduct does not violate institution’s academic misconduct policies &amp; procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes?</td>
<td>1. Substantial academic assistance or exception;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Not generally available to institution’s students;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Not permissible under Bylaw 16.9;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Provided by current or former institutional staff or representative of athletics interests; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Results in certification of eligibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No?</td>
<td>Yes to all?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No to any?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCAA Extra Benefit Violation</td>
<td>NCAA Impermissible Academic Assistance Violation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No NCAA Violation</td>
<td>No NCAA Violations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impermissible academic assistance analysis:**

Focuses on whether student-athletes have been treated consistently with students generally; whereas, the academic misconduct analysis primarily focuses on whether conduct violates an institution's academic integrity policies and procedures. An impermissible academic assistance violation occurs if **all** the following are present:

a. A current or former institutional staff member or representative of an institution's athletics interest provides the impermissible academic assistance;
b. The institution determines that academic misconduct has not occurred pursuant to its policies and procedures;

c. The academic assistance or academic exception provided is not generally available to the institution's student body or students involved in the course;

d. The assistance is substantial (Determining substantial is a fact specific determination looking at the amount of assistance provided to the student-athlete and whether that assistance was of significant value to the student-athlete.);

e. The assistance is not permitted in NCAA Division III Bylaw 16.3; and

f. The assistance leads to the certification of a student-athlete's eligibility to practice or compete.

4. Conduct that would constitute both academic misconduct and an extra benefit under the current legislation would, pursuant to the proposed legislation, be either academic misconduct or impermissible academic assistance but not both.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Legislation</th>
<th>Proposed Legislation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Misconduct Violation</td>
<td>Academic Misconduct Violation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra Benefit Violation</td>
<td>Impermissible Academic Assistance Violation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Potentially subject to two violations.
- Any extra assistance could be extra benefit.
- Extra benefit violation could involve assistance from anyone (e.g., general student).
- Institutional determination only informs academic misconduct finding.
- May not be subject to two violations.
- Limited "student-on-student" application.
- Provides desired clarity and consistency.
The proposed impermissible academic assistance legislation differs from the current extra benefit legislation as follows:

Currently, the extra benefit legislation applies when "academic benefits" are provided to student-athletes that are not generally available to the institution's students. Under the current legislation, institutions could be subject to both an academic misconduct violation and extra benefit violation. Additionally, an extra benefit violation could involve assistance between only students even when a student is not an institutional staff member, and the current legislation does not specify how much assistance could lead to an extra benefit violation. The impermissible academic assistance legislation replaces the application of the extra benefit analysis, providing necessary parameters and clarity to the treatment of academic benefits provided to student-athletes that are not generally available to an institution's student body.

Question No. 2: What are the different types of academic integrity-related offenses that are considered to be NCAA violations?

Answer: There are four types of academic integrity-related offenses that are considered to be a violation of the proposed legislation:

1. Academic misconduct (as defined and limited by the legislation);
2. The provision or arrangement of impermissible academic assistance by a current or former institutional staff member or representative of an institution's athletics interests to a student-athlete;
3. A departure from academic misconduct policies and procedures in the investigation and adjudication of alleged academic misconduct involving a student-athlete; and
4. Pre-enrollment academic misconduct.

The chart below is instructive in determining the analysis for an institution.
Question No. 3: Who determines whether certain conduct constitutes academic misconduct at a given institution?

Answer: The institution determines whether the conduct violated or breached its own policies and procedures regarding academic misconduct.

Question No. 4: What is alteration or falsification of a student-athlete's transcript or academic record?

Answer: Alteration or falsification of a student-athlete's transcript or academic record is changing or doctoring transcripts or arranging to receive credit for a course in which a student-athlete did not enroll or complete (i.e., fraudulent academic credit).

Question No. 5: If a student-athlete on my campus is suspected or accused of being involved in academic misconduct, must I immediately report the issue to the NCAA enforcement staff?

Answer: No. Before reporting, an institution has the responsibility and obligation to determine whether academic misconduct has occurred pursuant to institutional policies and procedures. However, it is not unusual for an institution to request assistance from the NCAA for interpretive or investigative issues.
Question No. 6: Once my institution has determined academic misconduct involving a student-athlete occurred, is my institution required to report each and every finding of academic misconduct to the NCAA?

Answer: No. Not every finding of institutional academic misconduct is a violation. An NCAA academic misconduct violation occurs when:

1. A student-athlete commits academic misconduct alone or in concert with another student(s) who is not an institutional staff member, and the academic misconduct results in:
   a. The alteration or falsification of a student-athlete's transcript or academic record; or
   b. An erroneous declaration of eligibility to participate in intercollegiate athletics and the student-athlete subsequently competes while ineligible.

2. A current or former institutional staff member or representative of athletics interests is involved in the academic misconduct involving a student-athlete, regardless of whether the misconduct involved alteration or falsification of a student-athlete's transcript or academic record or an erroneous declaration of eligibility.

Question No. 7: An institution's academic support services for student-athletes are provided by a unit that reports directly to the institution's provost, outside the athletics department. Would student tutors employed by this unit be considered "institutional staff members" for purposes of the academic misconduct and impermissible academic assistance legislation?

Answer: Yes. Those student employees whose responsibilities include providing academic services to student-athletes (e.g., tutors) would be considered "institutional staff members" for purposes of the academic misconduct and impermissible academic assistance legislation regardless of whether the academic support services for student-athletes are provided by a unit that reports to a department outside athletics.

Question No. 8: An institution's athletics department directs all of its student-athletes to use the tutoring services made available through its general nonathletics student support services center. Would student tutors employed by this nonathletics student support services center be considered "institutional staff members" for purposes of the academic misconduct and impermissible academic assistance legislation?
Answer: Yes. If the institution directs all of its student-athletes to seek tutoring services from the nonathletics student support services unit, the student tutors would be considered "institutional staff members" for purposes of the academic misconduct and impermissible academic assistance legislation.

Question No. 9: Would a student employee who offers general tutoring to all first-year students be considered an "institutional staff member" for purposes of the academic misconduct and impermissible academic assistance legislation?

Answer: No. Unless the student employee's responsibilities specifically include the provision of tutoring services for student-athletes, a student employee whose tutoring services are generally available to all students would not be considered an "institutional staff member" for purposes of the academic misconduct and impermissible academic assistance legislation.

Question No. 10: Can an institutional determination of academic misconduct between a student and student-athlete rise to the level of a violation under the academic misconduct legislation?

Answer: Yes, but only if the misconduct between the two students resulted in either of the following:

1. An erroneous declaration of eligibility to participate in intercollegiate athletics and the student-athlete subsequently competes while ineligible; or

2. The alteration or falsification of the student-athlete's transcript or academic record.

Question No. 11: What potential scenarios may result in an institution determining, pursuant to its policies and procedures, that academic misconduct has not occurred?

Answer: The following are examples of situations in which an institution is considered to have determined that academic misconduct did not occur:

1. There is no institutional policy addressing the particular conduct that occurred;

2. The institution is unable to make a determination that misconduct occurred;
3. The institution does not conduct or complete its review of the alleged academic integrity issue for any reason (e.g., because the student-athlete departed the institution); or

4. The institution makes a specific determination that the conduct was not academic misconduct.

Only a finding that academic misconduct has occurred pursuant to an institution's policies and procedures would preclude further analysis under the impermissible academic assistance legislation.

**Question No. 12:** Does the proposal change current pre-enrollment academic misconduct legislation?

**Answer:** No. The substance of pre-enrollment academic misconduct legislation remains the same. However, the proposal moves the pre-enrollment academic misconduct legislation from Bylaw 10 to Bylaw 14.

**Proposal Number:** 2018-2 (2-2).

**Title:** ETHICAL CONDUCT -- SPORTS WAGERING ACTIVITIES -- SANCTIONS -- ELIMINATION OF LEGISLATED SANCTIONS

**Effective Date:** Immediate.

**Source:** NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council (Student-Athlete Reinstatement Committee)].

**Intent:** To eliminate the legislated penalty for sports wagering activities.

**Question No. 1:** What is the current rule regarding student-athlete participation in sports wagering activities?

**Answer:** Student-athletes are prohibited from participating in sports wagering activities or from providing information to individuals involved in or associated with any type of sports wagering activities for sports in which the NCAA conducts championship competition, bowl subdivision football or emerging sports for women.
All sports wagering activity in violation of the rule outlined above constitutes an NCAA violation. Certain types of sports wagering activity result in a minimum penalty mandated by NCAA legislation.

Question No. 2: What types of sports wagering activities invoke the minimum legislated sanctions and what are those current legislated sanctions?

Answer: The current legislated minimum sanctions are set forth in Bylaw 10.3.2 (sanctions). Under Bylaw 10.3.2-(a), a student-athlete who engages in activities designed to influence the outcome of an intercollegiate contest in an effort to affect win-loss margins (i.e., "point shaving"), or who participates in any sport wagering activity involving the student-athlete's institution, permanently loses all remaining regular-season and postseason eligibility in all sports.

Bylaw 10.3.2-(b) states that a student-athlete who participates in any sports wagering activity through the Internet, a bookmaker or a parlay card is ineligible for all regular season and postseason competition for a minimum period of one year from the date the institution determines that a violation has occurred and shall be charged with the loss of a minimum of one season of competition. Additionally, violations of Bylaw 10.3.2-(b) must be reviewed individually by the NCAA Division III Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement to determine if a withholding penalty beyond one year is warranted.

Question No. 3: Does this proposal eliminate the prohibition on sports wagering?

Answer: No. This proposal eliminates the current, legislated minimum sanctions for sports wagering. Sports wagering will remain impermissible under NCAA legislation, and student-athletes will be deemed ineligible, but the adoption of this proposal provides the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement authority to develop appropriate guidelines for review of cases on an individual basis and consider the totality of the circumstances. Further, the existing legislated sanctions will be incorporated into the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement guidelines.

Question No. 4: Currently, if a student-athlete participates in sports wagering activity through the Internet, a bookmaker, or a parlay card, is the legislated minimum sanction automatically applied?

Answer: Yes. The legislative minimum sanction (i.e., ineligibility for one season and charge one season) is automatically applied. As governed by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, a student-athlete may receive a
withholding condition greater than the minimum legislated sanction when the circumstances warrant.

**Question No. 5:** Does an institution currently have an opportunity to appeal the legislated penalty for a sports wagering sanction on behalf of a student-athlete?

**Answer:** No appellate opportunity exists for the minimum legislated penalty. An institution can appeal a withholding condition greater than the legislated minimum penalty, but the appellate body (Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement) may not issue a withholding condition less than the minimum legislated penalty.

**Question No. 6:** Does the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement currently have discretion to reduce or waive the legislated sanction for sports wagering violations?

**Answer:** No. The legislated minimum sanction must be applied to all cases involving sports wagering activity through the Internet, a bookmaker or a parlay card, regardless of the amount wagered (e.g., $5 or $500).

**Application of Proposed Legislation.**

**Question No. 7:** If this proposal is adopted, will an institution be able to appeal a sports wagering sanction on behalf of a student-athlete?

**Answer:** Yes. If the proposal is adopted, an institution could appeal a sports wagering sanction to the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement.

**Question No. 8:** If this proposal is adopted, will each sports wagering violation be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine the appropriate sanctions?

**Answer:** Yes. This proposal would eliminate the automatic application of the legislated sanctions, and each case would be evaluated on an individual basis using the guidelines developed by the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement.

**Question No. 9:** What will happen to the current, legislated sanctions set forth in Bylaw 10.3.2?

**Answer:** The legislated sanctions will be removed from the legislation and incorporated into the Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement guidelines.
Question No. 10: Will this proposal be applied retroactively to violations of the sports wagering legislation?

Answer: No.

Question No. 11: Is the same proposal being presented in Divisions I and II?

Answer: Yes. Bylaw 10.3.2 is a common provision across all three divisions and requires a majority vote of each of the three divisions, voting separately, for adoption or amendment.

Proposal Number 2018-3 (2-9).

Title: DIVISION III COMMITTEES -- ELIGIBILITY OF MEMBERSHIP -- STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE -- CHANGE IN COMPOSITION

Effective Date: August 1, 2018.

Source: Allegheny Mountain Collegiate Conference, Great Northeast Athletic Conference and State University of New York Athletic Conference.

Intent: Allow for each conference and the group of independents to have a representative on National SAAC.

Question No. 1: What is the current composition of the NCAA Student-Athlete Advisory Committee?

Answer: The SAAC is comprised of 22 student-athletes. This is based on a partner conference system where the 43 conferences and the group of independent institutions are grouped into 22 pairings. There is a student-athlete on the committee from one of the conferences (or independent institutions) in each pairing. When a student-athlete cycles off the committee a student-athlete is chosen from the other partner conference.

Question No. 2: If this proposal is adopted, how will it impact the current rule?

Answer: This proposal would eliminate the partner conference structure and have a student-athlete from each conference and the group of independent institutions on the SAAC. Thus, this proposal will increase the composition of the committee from 22 student-athletes to 44 student-athletes.

Question No. 3: How will this proposal impact the national SAAC budget?
Answer: This proposal will have an approximate budget impact of $115,000 to account for the increase of approximately 20-23 student-athletes for attendance at three in-person meetings and attendance at the NCAA convention. These additional funds would come from Division III allocated funds.

Question No. 4: Currently, how are committee members selected to serve on SAAC?

Answer: Student-athletes submit their individual nomination and each Division III conference submits a list of recommended names to the NCAA Division III Nominating Committee. The Nominating Committee will then select the new SAAC members while considering the necessary composition requirements. Fifty percent of the positions shall be allocated for men and 50 percent allocated for women with at least 25 percent of all positions allocated for ethnic minorities.

Question No. 5: If this proposal is adopted, how will this change the SAAC selection process?

Answer: This proposal will not change the SAAC selection process. Members will continue to be selected through the Nominating Committee.

Proposal Number 2018-3-1.

Title: DIVISION III COMMITTEES -- ELIGIBILITY OF MEMBERSHIP -- STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE -- PARTNER CONFERENCE STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE LIAISON

Effective Date: August 1, 2018.

Source: NCAA Division III Presidents Council.

Intent: To create a Partner Conference Student-Athlete Advisory Committee Liaison structure, as opposed to increasing the size of the Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

Question No. 1: If this amendment is adopted, how will the Partner Conference SAAC liaisons be selected?

Answer: The selection of the Partner Conference SAAC liaisons will be determined by the SAAC in consultation with the NCAA staff and conference
commissioners. The SAAC liaison role will be further defined in the SAAC policies and procedures document.

**Question No. 2:** If this amendment is adopted, how will it change the current SAAC meeting structure?

**Answer:** Currently, SAAC members meet in-person in January, April, July and November and by teleconference in October. If the proposed amendment is adopted, the Partner Conference SAAC liaisons may attend the in-person July meeting, the October teleconference, the January meeting held in conjunction with the NCAA Convention and meetings when the SAAC representative is unable to attend.

This proposal will require that SAAC establish a communication process with designated SAAC members following the April and November SAAC in-person meetings. Finally, policies would also require regular review of the conference partner program and the appropriateness of existing partnerships (this review would include consultation with conferences).

**Question No. 3:** How will this proposal impact the national SAAC budget?

**Answer:** This proposal will have an approximate budget impact of $20,000 to account for the Partner Conference SAAC liaisons to attend the July SAAC meeting. These additional funds will come from the Division III budget. Conferences or institutions may pay for the liaison to attend the NCAA annual convention and are permitted to use conference grant dollars to do so.

**Question No. 4:** What is the process for voting on an amendment to a proposal?

**Answer:** The original proposal is moved and seconded and then the amendment is moved and seconded. The membership then votes on the amendment. If the membership approves the amendment, then the membership will vote on the original proposal as amended. If the membership defeats the amendment, then the membership votes on the proposal as originally submitted.

**Proposal Number 2018-4 (2-4).**

**Title:** ELIGIBILITY -- GRADUATE AND POSTBACCALAUREATE ELIGIBILITY -- STUDENTS GRADUATING FROM DIVISION III INSTITUTIONS
Effective Date: Immediate.

Source: NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council, (Subcommittee for Legislative Relief)].

Intent: To permit a student that has graduated from an NCAA Division III institution to participate in intercollegiate athletics at the Division III institution of his or her choice provided: (1) The student is enrolled and seeking a second baccalaureate or graduate degree; (2) The student has eligibility remaining (i.e., seasons of participation); and (3) The participation occurs within the applicable 10-semester/15-quarter period set for in Bylaw 14.2.

Question No. 1: Under the current rule, how may a graduate or postbaccalaureate student participate in intercollegiate athletics at a Division III institution?

Answer: Currently, a Division III student-athlete who has completed a baccalaureate degree may only participate in Division III intercollegiate athletics at the institution he or she most recently attended as an undergraduate and may only do so if he or she has eligibility remaining and all participation occurs within the applicable 10-semester/15-quarter period.

If a student-athlete would like to participate as a graduate or postbaccalaureate student at an institution other than the one he or she most recently attended as an undergraduate, the institution would have to submit a legislative relief waiver on behalf of the student-athlete. The most common waiver is for compelling and exemplary academic success. To qualify for this waiver a student-athlete must have received his/her undergraduate degree in less than four academic years with no breaks in full-time enrollment and a minimum cumulative grade-point average of 3.000. For student-athletes who do not meet these criteria, an institution may submit a waiver for students who demonstrate extenuating or extraordinary circumstances.

Question No. 2: If this proposal is adopted, how will it impact the current rule?

Answer: A student who has completed a baccalaureate degree at a Division III institution would be permitted to participate in intercollegiate athletics at the Division III institution he or she most recently attended as an undergraduate or another NCAA Division III institution provided the student: (1) Is enrolled and seeking a second baccalaureate or graduate degree; (2) Has eligibility remaining; and (3) Participation occurs within the applicable 10-semester/15-quarter period. Thus, a legislative relief waiver would no longer be necessary for these students, but the waiver criteria
would remain for students that attend institutions in other divisions or associations.

**Question No. 3:** If this proposal is adopted, how would it impact the recruiting regulations?

**Answer:** A Division III student-athlete may not be contacted by an athletics staff member at another Division III institution without first obtaining written permission to do so (may be a self-release). However, if a student has officially withdrawn or graduated from the previous institution and is no longer in season, permission to contact is not required. The proposal would not change these requirements.

**Question No. 4:** How many Division III institutions have graduate programs?

**Answer:** Based on U.S. Department of Education classifications of institutions, the NCAA staff estimates approximately half of Division III institutions offer at least one graduate level academic program (e.g. M.A., M.S., Ph.D.). There is significant diversity in these offerings across institutions in terms of the number and type of programs. For example, an institution may offer one masters level program in nursing while another offers 20 graduate programs in areas including business, education and the sciences.

**Question No. 5:** How many Division III student-athletes are participating in intercollegiate athletics while enrolled in graduate level programs?

**Answer:** Based on the 2015 NCAA Growth, Opportunities, Aspirations and Learning of Students Study, the NCAA staff estimates that currently less than one-half of one percent of Division III student-athletes are enrolled in a graduate level program.

**Question No. 6:** Would this proposal allow a student to participate if the student is enrolled in a certificate program?

**Answer:** No. This exception only applies for student-athletes enrolled in baccalaureate or graduate degree programs.

**Question No. 7:** Does this proposal apply to an institution who is reclassifying or a provisional member?

**Answer:** Yes. For purposes of this proposal, an institution in the reclassifying or provisional process is considered a Division III institution.
Question No. 8: How many credit hours must a student-athlete pursuing a second baccalaureate degree enroll in to be considered full time and eligible for intercollegiate athletics?

Answer: A student-athlete enrolled in a second baccalaureate degree must be enrolled in 12 semester or quarter hours to be eligible for intercollegiate athletics and considered full time by the institution.

Question No. 9: How many credit hours must a graduate student-athlete enroll in to be considered full time and eligible for intercollegiate athletics?

Answer: The number of credit hours for full time enrollment is determined by each institution for graduate programs.

Question No. 10: Does this proposal require a student-athlete to have continuous enrollment or may a student-athlete take time off after graduation before enrolling in a second baccalaureate or graduate program?

Answer: A student-athlete may take time off after earning his or her baccalaureate degree. For example, if a student graduates in May 2018 it is permissible for the student to take several years off and then enroll in a Division III graduate program during the 2021 fall term provided the student has eligibility remaining, and participation occurs within the applicable 10-semester/15-quarter period set forth in Bylaw 14.2.

Proposal Number 2018-5 (2-7).

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FOOTBALL -- ESTABLISHING PRESEASON START DATE 25 DAYS FROM FIRST PERMISSIBLE SATURDAY CONTEST

Effective Date: August 1, 2018.

Source: NCAA Division III Presidents Council [Management Council (Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee)].

Intent: To amend the football preseason legislation as follows: (1) The first permissible practice date shall be 25 days before the first permissible Saturday contest date (regardless of the institution's actual first contest date). Expenses may not be provided before this date; (2) A day off shall be provided during the first six days of preseason which includes the five-day acclimatization period; (3) A day off shall be provided during each remaining week of the preseason practice period (prior to
the week of the first contest); (4) On-field activity (a practice session and a walk-through session) shall be limited to a combined total of four hours in length per day with a practice session not to exceed three hours; and (5) Footballs may be used during walk-through sessions following the five-day acclimatization period.

Question No. 1:  How is the current football first practice date determined?

Answer: The first permissible practice date in football is determined by a counting method based on the institution's first day of classes and the first contest. The counting method is described in terms of "practice opportunities." It is important to recognize that this is only a counting method to determine the first permissible practice date, and not intended to identify the minimum required "practice opportunities." The calculation consists of 25 "practice opportunities" as follows:

1. Count one practice opportunity for each day beginning with the opening day of classes and one practice opportunity for each day classes are not in session in the week of the first scheduled intercollegiate contest.

2. Count practice opportunities on an alternating basis in a two-one-two-one format (i.e., the first of the remaining days is counted as two, the next day is counted as one, the next as two, etc.) up to and including the 20th opportunity.

3. Count one practice opportunity for each of the five days before the day of the 20th opportunity. The institution shall not count any days during the preseason when all institutional dormitories are closed, the institution's team must leave campus and practice is not conducted.

4. Count Sundays before the institution's opening day of classes and exclude Sundays after the institution's opening day of classes.

For example, if the first day of classes is August 20, 2018, and the first contest is September 1, 2018, then the first day of practice would be August 9, 2018. The counting method would include the following breakdown:

a. Fri 08/31/2018 - 1 One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

b. Thu 08/30/2018 - 1 One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.
c. Wed 08/29/2018 - 1 One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

d. Tue 08/28/2018 - 1 One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

e. Mon 08/27/2018 – (1) One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

f. Sun 08/26/2018 – (0) Sunday while classes are in session.

g. Sat 08/25/2018 – (1) One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

h. Fri 08/24/2018 – (1) One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

i. Thu 08/23/2018 – (1) One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

j. Wed 08/22/2018 – (1) One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

k. Tue 08/21/2018 – (1) One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

l. Mon 08/20/2018 – (1) One opportunity per day starting with the first day of class.

m. Sun 08/19/2018 – (2) Alternate opportunities 2-1-2-1 until 20.

n. Sat 08/18/2018 – (1) Alternate opportunities 2-1-2-1 until 20.

o. Fri 08/17/2018 – (2) Alternate opportunities 2-1-2-1 until 20.


q. Wed 08/15/2018 – (2) Alternate opportunities 2-1-2-1 until 20.

r. Tue 08/14/2018 – (1) Alternate opportunities 2-1-2-1 until 20.

s. Mon 08/13/2018 – (1) One opportunity for each acclimatization day.
t. Sun 08/12/2018 – (1) One opportunity for each acclimatization day.

u. Sat 08/11/2018 – (1) One opportunity for each acclimatization day.

v. Fri 08/10/2018 – (1) One opportunity for each acclimatization day.

w. Thu 08/09/2018 – (1) One opportunity for each acclimatization day.

x. Wed 08/08/2018 – Picture and equipment issue day. (No athletically related activity but can provide expenses.)

y. Tues 08/07/2018 – Move-in day. (No athletically related activity but may pay expenses for an evening meal and lodging.)

**Question No. 2:** If this proposal is adopted, how will it impact the first practice date?

**Answer:** The first permissible practice date will be determined by counting back 25 days before the first permissible Saturday contest date (regardless of the institution's actual first contest date).

For example, if the first day of classes is August 20, 2018, and the first contest is August 30, 2018, but the first permissible Saturday contest date is September 1, 2018, then the first day of practice would be August 7, 2018. The counting method would include the following breakdown:


2. Fri 8/31/2018 – day one.

3. Thu 08/30/2018 – day two.


5. Tue 08/28/2018 – day four.


7. Sun 08/26/2018 – day six.

8. Sat 08/25/2018 – day seven.


12. Tue 08/21/2018 – day 11.


17. Thu 08/16/2018 – day 16.


19. Tue 08/14/2018 – day 18.


23. Fri 08/10/2018 – day 22.


26. Tues 08/07/2018 – day 25. (First allowable practice and first allowable expenses.)

**Question No. 3:** If an institution has a bye week how will it impact the first permissible practice date?

**Answer:** A bye week will not change the first permissible practice date. The first permissible practice date formula will be 25 days before the first permissible Saturday contest date (regardless of the institution's actual first contest date).
Question No. 4: Is a team limited to 22 on-field practices before the first permissible Saturday contest date?

Answer: No. The number of on-field practices will vary depending on a team's first contest date and when the institution chooses to start practice.

Question No. 5: Currently, is it permissible for a football team to use footballs during the walk-through sessions following the five-day acclimatization period?

Answer: Current legislation does not permit the use of footballs during the walk-through sessions following the five-day acclimatization period. The Subcommittee for Legislative Relief provided blanket relief for the 2017 preseason to permit footballs during the walk-through session following the five-day acclimatization period. If the membership does not pass Proposal No. 2018-5, the use of footballs during walk-through sessions following the five-day acclimatization period will not be permitted.

Question No. 6: If this proposal is adopted, how many hours of on-field activity (practice session and walk-through) may occur?

Answer: On-field activity (a practice session and a walk-through session) shall be limited to a combined total of four hours in length per day with a practice session not to exceed three hours in length.

Question No. 7: Is a day off currently legislatively required during the preseason football practice period?

Answer: No. NCAA bylaws do not require a day off when classes are not in session or during the institution's preseason practice that occurs before the first day of classes. (The Interassociation Consensus Recommendations on Year-Round Football Practice Contact for College Student-Athletes include a recommendation for a day off per week.)

Question No. 8: If this proposal is adopted, how will it impact the day off legislation?

Answer: A day off shall be provided during the first six days of preseason which includes the five-day acclimatization period. Additionally, a day off shall be provided during each remaining week of the preseason practice period (prior to the week of the first contest). No physical activity may take place during a day off.

For example, here is a breakdown demonstrating when a day off from physical activity must take place:
1. Preseason Week 1 - Acclimatization period: a day off from physical activity must be taken during the six days of acclimatization.
   
   a. Tues 08/07/2018 – day one. (Day off)
   
   b. Wed 08/08/2018 – day two. (Acclimatization Day 1)
   
   c. Thu 08/09/2018 – day three. (Acclimatization Day 2)
   
   d. Fri 08/10/2018 – day four. (Acclimatization Day 3)
   
   e. Sat 08/11/2018 – day five. (Acclimatization Day 4)
   
   f. Sun 08/12/2018 – day six. (Acclimatization Day 5)
   
   g. Mon 08/13/2018 – day seven (Start of regular practice)

2. Preseason - Week 2: a day off from physical activity must be taken during one of these seven days.
   
   a. Tue 08/14/2018 – day eight.
   
   b. Wed 08/15/2018 – day nine.
   
   c. Thu 08/16/2018 – day 10.
   
   d. Fri 08/17/2018 – day 11.
   
   e. Sat 08/18/2018 – day 12.
   
   f. Sun 08/19/2018 – day 13.
   
   g. Mon 08/20/2018 – day 14.

3. Preseason – Week 3: a full day off is required during one of these days since the academic year has begun. (Note: since redefining at the end of this week there are only 6 days in this week.)
   
   a. Tue 08/21/2018 – day 15.
   
   b. Wed 08/22/2018 – day 16 and first day of classes.
   
   c. Thu 08/23/2018 – day 17.
d. Fri 08/24/2018 – day 18.

e. Sat 08/25/2018 – day 19.


4. Redefine the week.

5. Week of the first contest: a full day off is required during one of these days since the academic year has begun.


b. Tue 08/28/2018 – day 22.


d. Thu 08/30/2018 – day 24.

e. Fri 08/31/2018 – day 25.

f. Sat 09/01/2018 – Institution's first contest (and first permissible Saturday contest).

g. Sun 09/02/2018.

Question No. 9: What is considered physical athletically related activity?

Answer: Physical athletically related activities include (but are not limited to) weight training, strength and conditioning, and on-field activities. Film review, team meetings, and leadership programs are not considered physical athletically related activities.

Question No. 10: If this proposal is adopted, when is it permissible for a football team to report to campus for the first permissible practice date?

Answer: A team may not report prior to the first permissible practice date. Therefore, a team may not move into the residence halls, provide equipment or take a team picture until the first day of practice.

Question No. 11: If this proposal is adopted, is it permissible for a football team to begin their first acclimatization day on the first day of practice?
Answer: Yes.

Question No. 12: If this proposal is adopted, is it permissible for a football team to begin their preseason with a day off?

Answer: Yes. During the day off, the team may fill out compliance forms, participate in a medical exam and take a team picture.

Question No. 13: Under current NCAA legislation, what does it mean to redefine a week?

Answer: A week shall be defined by an institution as any consecutive seven-day period, regardless of the day on which the seven-day period begins. The playing season shall consist of consecutive weeks. Practice or competition during any part of a week shall be counted as a full week. An institution may not redefine its week except during a published vacation and holiday period during the academic year or during a final exam period and the team does not engage in practice or competition for at least seven consecutive days.

Question No 14: If this proposal is adopted, is it permissible for a football team to redefine a week in the playing season?

Answer: Yes, a football team may redefine its week after the acclimatization period or at the conclusion of the preseason practice period, but not both. Additionally, the team is not required to take an entire week off to redefine its week.

Question No 15: If this proposal is not adopted, how will institutions determine the first permissible practice date in football?

Answer: If the proposal is not adopted then the current football playing and practice season legislation would remain in place. (See Question No. 1 for the current method of determining the first permissible practice date). The governance structure would reconsider whether the waivers applicable to the 2017 season (i.e. use of footballs during walk throughs and allowing athletically related activities during the administrative days) should be implemented for the 2018 season.

Proposal Number 2018-6 (2-5).

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- ANNUAL CONTEST EXEMPTIONS -- ALUMNI CONTEST
Effective Date: August 1, 2018.


Intent: To permit the stand-alone annual exemption of one alumni contest per sport during any segment/period, with the exception of football.

Question No. 1: What is the current rule regarding annual exemptions?
Answer: During the playing season, a team may annually exempt a conference championship, a season-ending tournament and up to two exhibitions, scrimmages or joint practices.

An institution may exempt one contest or date of competition each year with an alumni team of the institution during any segment/period provided it is counted as one of the two exempted exhibitions, scrimmages or joint practices.

Question No. 2: What is a contest/date of competition exemption?
Answer: It is a contest or date of competition that does not count towards a team's maximum allowable number of contests or dates of competition.

Question No. 3: If this proposal is adopted, how would this proposal amend the annual exemption legislation?
Answer: A team may annually exempt a conference championship, a season-ending tournament and up to two exhibitions, scrimmages or joint practices, and would be permitted to also exempt one alumni contest.

Question No. 4: If this proposal is adopted, when may an alumni contest be conducted?
Answer: The alumni contest may occur during any segment/period of a team's playing and practice season. If the alumni contest occurs during the nontraditional segment for baseball, field hockey, lacrosse, soccer, softball or volleyball it must count as one of the 16 permissible days of athletically related activity.

Question No. 5: If this proposal is adopted, are any sports precluded from using the alumni contest exemption?
Answer: Yes, this exemption does not apply to the sport of football. However, a football team may conduct an alumni contest and count the contest as one of its maximum contests or as one of the two exhibitions, scrimmages or joint practices during the playing and practice season.

Question No. 6: If this proposal is adopted, may an institution substitute the alumni contest exemption for another exhibition, scrimmage or joint practice?

Answer: No. This proposed exemption would only allow an alumni contest and may not be substituted for another type of contest.

Question No. 7: If this proposal is adopted, does the alumni contest exemption apply separately to varsity and subvarsity (i.e. one for each squad)?

Answer: The alumni contest exemption would apply separately to varsity and subvarsity squads if the alumni contest is conducted during the traditional segment and no student-athlete participates in more than one exempted alumni contest (i.e., if a student-athlete participated in both a varsity and junior varsity alumni contests, one of those contests would count against the student-athlete's maximum contests). If the institution conducts the alumni contest during the nontraditional segment, then there could only be one alumni contest for both varsity and junior varsity combined. Each student-athlete is still limited to a specific maximum number of contests and dates of competition. A student-athlete would only be permitted to participate in one alumni contest.

Proposal Number: 2018-7 (2-6).

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- BASKETBALL -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE CONTEST -- NOVEMBER 8TH

Effective Date: August 1, 2018.

Source: Minnesota Intercollegiate Athletic Conference and State University of New York Athletic Conference.

Intent: In basketball, to establish the first permissible contest date as November 8. When November 8 falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Monday, a member institution may play its first contest on the Friday immediately preceding November 8.

Question No. 1: Currently, when may a men's or women's basketball team play its first contest?
Answer: A men's or women's basketball team may play its first contest on November 15. When November 15 falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Monday, a member institution may play its first basketball game on the Friday immediately preceding November 15.

Question No. 2: If this proposal is adopted, how will it impact the current rule?

Answer: If this proposal is adopted, the first contest date may be conducted on November 8. When November 8 falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Monday, a member institution may play its first basketball game on the Friday immediately preceding November 8. Thus, making the first contest occur one week earlier than what the current rule allows.

Question No. 3: How does this proposal impact the length of the playing and practice season?

Answer: This proposal does not impact the length of the playing and practice season. All practice and competition must still be included in the 19-week playing season.

Question No. 4: Will this proposal impact when an institution may play its two exempted exhibitions, scrimmages or joint practices?

Answer: No. A men's or women's basketball team will still be permitted to play up to two exempted exhibitions, scrimmages or joint practices which may take place at any time during the playing season, including before the first permissible contest date.

Proposal Number 2018-8 (2-8).

Title: PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- ICE HOCKEY -- FIRST PERMISSIBLE ON-ICE PRACTICE DATE -- SECOND MONDAY IN OCTOBER

Effective Date: August 1, 2018.

Source: Middle Atlantic Conferences and Minnesota Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.

Intent: In ice hockey, to establish the first permissible on ice practice date as the second Monday in October.

Question No. 1: Currently, when may a men's or women's ice hockey team conduct its first on-ice practice?
Answer: Currently, an ice hockey team shall not commence on-ice practice sessions before the third Monday in October.

Question No. 2: If this proposal is adopted, how will it impact the current rule?

Answer: The first on-ice practice may occur on the second Monday in October.

Question No. 3: How does this proposal impact the length of the playing and practice season?

Answer: This proposal does not impact the length of the playing and practice season. The off-ice practice must still be included in the 19-week playing season and may not occur before the first Monday in October.

Question No. 4: Currently, when may a men's and women's ice hockey team conduct its first contest?

Answer: An institution shall not play its first contest against outside competition before the second Friday following the first permissible practice date. This provides an eleven-day period of on-ice practice before the first official contest.

Question No. 5: How does this proposal impact the first contest date?

Answer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018-19 Season</th>
<th>Current Legislation</th>
<th>Proposed Legislation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First permissible off-ice practice date</td>
<td>October 1</td>
<td>October 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First permissible on-ice practice date</td>
<td>October 15</td>
<td>October 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First permissible contest</td>
<td>October 26</td>
<td>October 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposal Number: 2018-9 (2-3).

Title: AMATEURISM -- PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES -- INSTITUTIONAL, CHARITABLE, EDUCATIONAL OR NONPROFIT PROMOTIONS -- EDUCATIONAL REQUIREMENT

Effective Date: August 1, 2018.
Source: NCAA Division III Management Council (Interpretations and Legislation Committee).

Intent: To amend the promotional activities legislation by requiring institutions to provide educational material in lieu of obtaining a release statement from the authorized representative of the charitable, educational, nonprofit or government agency confirming the student-athlete's name, image or appearance will be used in a manner consistent with Bylaw 12 regulations.

Question No. 1: What is a promotional activity?

Answer: A promotional activity is any event in which a student-athlete participates to support the charitable or educational activities of a member institution or recognized entity thereof (e.g., fraternity, sorority or student government organization), a member conference or noninstitutional, charitable, educational or nonprofit agency or to support activities considered incidental to participation in intercollegiate athletics.

Examples of promotional activities include:

1. Student-athletes conducting a fundraiser for their winter training trip.
2. The SAAC hosting a Special Olympics event.
3. Student-athletes participating in a direct appeal (e.g., letter writing).
4. An institution using a crowdfunding site to raise funds for student-athletes to participate on a foreign tour.
5. An institution using a student-athlete's photo in social media and/or on the institution's website.
6. An institution conducting a fundraising night at a local restaurant with student-athletes promoting the event.

Question No. 2: What must an institution determine before a student-athlete participates in a promotional activity?

Answer: The institution shall determine the following (please note this is not an exhaustive list, but a general summary of the requirements in Bylaw 12.5.1.1):

1. Is the activity considered a promotional activity;
2. Will student-athletes be involved in the promotion;

3. Information and details about the promotional activity;

4. If the student-athlete has received written approval to participate in the promotional activity;

5. That the specific activity or project does not involve cosponsorship, advertisement or promotion of a commercial agency, except as follows in Bylaw 12.5.1.1–(b) (1-3);

6. That the name or picture of the student-athlete does not appear on an institution's printed promotional item that includes a reproduction of a product with which a commercial entity is associated if the commercial entity's, officially registered, regular trademark or logo also appears on the item;

7. That the student-athlete does not miss class;

8. That all money derived from the activity or project goes directly to the member institution, member conference or the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency;

9. That the student-athlete does not accept more than actual and necessary expenses related to participation in the activity;

10. That the student-athlete's name, picture or appearance is not used to promote the commercial ventures of any nonprofit agency; and

11. That the student-athlete has signed a release statement authorizing the use of his or her name, image, or appearance.

**Question No. 3:** Who must provide written approval before a student-athlete participates in a promotional activity?

**Answer:** The student-athlete must receive written approval from the institution's president or chancellor (or his or her designee) to participate in a promotional activity.

**Question No. 4:** What must be included in the written approval?
Answer: The written approval must ensure that the requirements of Bylaw 12.5.1.1 will be met regarding the student-athlete's participation in the promotional activity.

Question No. 5: What is the current legislation pertaining to educational requirements for promotional activities?

Answer: Prior to a student-athlete's promotion of, or participation in, a promotional activity for a member institution, member conference or a noninstitutional charitable, educational, nonprofit or government agency (e.g., the armed services), an authorized representative of the entity must sign a release statement ensuring that the student-athlete's name, image or appearance is used in a manner consistent with NCAA legislation.

Question No. 6: If this proposal is adopted, how will it impact the current rule?

Answer: This proposal will eliminate the signature requirement for an authorized representative of the charitable, educational or nonprofit agency. In place of the signature, institutions must provide information regarding regulations on the proper use of a student-athlete's name, image or appearance before the promotional activity occurs.

Question No. 7: How frequently must an institution provide educational material on the use of a student-athlete's name, image or appearance to an outside entity?

Answer: An institution should provide educational material to an outside entity for each activity to ensure that a student-athlete's name, image and appearance will be used in a manner consistent with the permissible promotional activities legislation. If the outside entity is part of ongoing promotional activity, educational material is only required annually.

Question No. 8: What is the penalty if an institution fails to send educational material prior to a student-athlete's participation in a promotional activity?

Answer: The institution would have to report a secondary violation to the NCAA enforcement staff. The eligibility of the involved student-athlete(s) would not be impacted.

Question No. 9: Would this proposal permit an outside entity to use the name, image or appearance in a manner contrary to the promotional activities legislation?

Answer: No.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>DIVISION III</th>
<th>ASSOCIATION-WIDE</th>
<th>ROOM LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, January 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 to 9 a.m.</td>
<td>PC/MC/SAAC Breakfast [Must be a member of these committees to attend]</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – White River Ballroom C-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 to 9:30 a.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Presidents and Chancellors Engagement</td>
<td>JW Marriott – Grand Ballroom 9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 to 9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Division III: Athletics Integration: Establishing a Campus-Wide Culture of Compliance</td>
<td>Association-Wide Programming</td>
<td>Convention Center – Room 125-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:15 to 11:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Division III Presidents Council Meeting [Must be a member of this committee to attend]</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – White River Ballroom A-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45 to 11:15 a.m.</td>
<td>Division III: Ethics and Athletics – Establishing a Positive Departmental Culture Grounded in Ethical Decision-making</td>
<td>Association-Wide Programming</td>
<td>Convention Center – Room 125-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.</td>
<td>Division III Chancellors/Presidents Luncheon</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – Grand Ballroom 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 to 2:15 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Association-Wide Programming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 to 4 p.m.</td>
<td>NADIII/A: Providing Support for Student-Athletes, Coaches, and Staff Around Mental Health Needs</td>
<td>Association-Wide Programming</td>
<td>Convention Center – Room 125-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 to 6 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>NCAA Plenary Session: State of College Sports [Doors open at 4:15 p.m.]</td>
<td>Convention Center – Sagamore Ballroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 7:30 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Delegates Reception</td>
<td>Westin – Grand Ballroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday, January 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 to 8 a.m.</td>
<td>Division III Delegate Breakfast</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – Grand Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 to 11 a.m.</td>
<td>Division III Issues Forum</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – White River Ballroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 to 1 p.m.</td>
<td>Association Luncheon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convention Center – Sagamore Ballroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Conference Meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 7 p.m.</td>
<td>Presidents &amp; Chancellors Reception</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – Grand Ballroom 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, January 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 to 8 a.m.</td>
<td>Division III Delegates Breakfast</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – Grand Foyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 a.m. to noon</td>
<td>Division III Business Session</td>
<td></td>
<td>JW Marriott – White River Ballroom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National Collegiate Athletic Association

Joint Meeting of the Division III Presidents Council,
Management Council and Student-Athlete Advisory Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:45 a.m.</td>
<td>1. Opening remarks. [Jeff Docking, Brit Katz and Kayla Porter]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 a.m.</td>
<td>2. SAAC report on key issues and introduction of new officers for 2018. [Porter]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:10 a.m.</td>
<td>3. 2018 legislative proposals. [Michael Rubayo]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Committee position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Questions and answers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:20 a.m.</td>
<td>4. Round table discussions. [All attendees, led by Alaina Woo]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Involving student-athletes in healthy discussions regarding social activism on campus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Helping Division III student-athletes strengthen relationships with faculty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 a.m.</td>
<td>5. Adjournment. [Porter]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

2018 Division III Issues Forum

JW Marriott
White River Ballroom

January 19, 2018
8 to 11:15 a.m.

8 to 8:15 a.m. 1. Welcome and Overview. [Jeff Docking, President, Adrian College]

2. Roundtable Discussions with Interactive Q&A.

8:15 to 9:10 a.m. a. Ethics and Athletics: Establishing a positive departmental culture grounded in ethical decision-making. [Alan Preti, Director, Institute for Ethical Leadership and Social Responsibility, Rosemont College, and Jeff Martinez, Director of Athletics, University of Redlands]

b. The Division III Enterprise: Opportunities and Challenges. [Docking and Maria Buckel, Director of Athletics, Fontbonne University]

9:10 to 10:05 a.m.

10:05 to 10:15 a.m. 3. Special Olympics Presentation. [Mitch Bonner, Special Olympics athlete]

10:15 to 11:10 a.m. 4. 2018 Legislative Proposals and Q&A. [Chris Brown, Associate Director of Academic and Membership Affairs for Division III, and Jeff Myers, Director of Academic and Membership Affairs for Division III]

11:10 to 11:15 a.m. 5. Final Remarks. [Docking]

11:15 a.m. 5. Adjournment.
AGENDA

The National Collegiate Athletic Association

Division III Chancellors and Presidents Luncheon

Grand Ballroom 1
JW Marriott

January 18, 2018
11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.

11:30 a.m. 1. Lunch served.

Noon to 12:15 p.m. 2. Welcome and remarks. [Jeff Docking, President, Adrian College]

12:15 to 12:45 p.m. 3. Roundtable discussions. [Docking]
   • Board of Governors update.
     a. Alcohol Championships Policy.
     b. Student-Athlete Mandatory Graduation Rates.

12:45 to 12:55 p.m. 4. Open forum.
   • 2018 Convention Legislation Q&A. [Jeff Myers]

12:55 to 1 p.m. 5. Closing remarks. [Docking]

1 p.m. 6. Adjournment.
AGENDA
The National Collegiate Athletic Association
2018 Division III Business Session

JW Marriott
White River Ballroom
January 20, 2018
8 to 11 a.m.

8 to 8:10 a.m. 1. Welcome and Announcements. [Jeff Docking, President, Adrian College]

8:10 to 8:25 a.m. 2. FAR Engagement Working Group Update. [Kurt Beron, Faculty Athletics Representative, University of Texas at Dallas]

8:25 to 8:40 a.m. 3. LGBTQ Working Group Update. [Neil Virtue, Head Swimming Coach, Mills College]

8:40 to 8:50 a.m. 4. Election of New Management Council Members. [Jennifer Myhre, head women’s soccer coach and Assistant Athletics Director, Anderson University]

8:50 to 9 a.m. 5. Acceptance of Convention Notice and Program. [Docking]

9 to 10:45 a.m. 6. Voting on Presidential and General Groupings. [Docking and Brit Katz, Vice President for Student Life, Millsaps College]

10:45 to 10:55 a.m. 7. Open Forum.

10:55 a.m. 8. Closing Remarks. [Docking]

11 a.m. 9. Adjournment.
INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. Welcome and announcements. NCAA Board of Governors chair Bud Peterson convened the meeting at approximately 8 a.m. and welcomed the group. He gave a special welcome to President Susan Herbst, President Ronald Machtley and President Denise Trauth, new members of the Board of Governors who were attending their first in-person meeting. NCAA staff confirmed that a quorum was present.

2. Consent Agenda. By way of a consent agenda, the Board of Governors approved the reports of its August 8, 2017, meeting, October 6, 2017, teleconference, and the roster for the Board of Governors Committee to Promote Cultural Diversity and Equity. (Unanimous vote)

3. NCAA president’s report. President Mark Emmert provided a brief summary of his views on key issues facing the Association, with a particular focus on the recent federal criminal complaints and the ongoing investigation into men’s college basketball by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the United States District Attorney for the Southern District of New York. The Governors affirmed their support for the important work of the Commission on College Basketball, which it established along with the NCAA Division I Board of Directors to fully examine critical aspects of Division I men’s basketball. The Governors expressed a commitment to act swiftly on recommendations from the commission.

4. NCAA policy regarding the availability of alcohol at NCAA championships. President Peterson reminded the Governors of the Association’s policy that prohibits the sale of alcohol at NCAA championship events. President Eric Kaler informed the board that the NCAA Division I Board of Directors Administrative Committee recently met via teleconference and approved an extension of a previously approved waiver of NCAA Bylaw 31.1.15 (availability of alcoholic beverages) for a pilot program to be conducted for in-stadium alcohol sales (beer and wine only) to the general public at select NCAA championships. The Administrative Committee also expressed support for a change in the alcohol policy to permit the introduction of legislation into the 2017-18 legislative cycle to eliminate the prohibition on alcohol sales at NCAA Division I championships, with an effective date of August 1, 2018.

It was VOTED
“That the Board of Governors revise the Association-wide policy for alcohol sales at NCAA championships and to permit each of the divisions to pursue legislation as it sees fit.” (Unanimous voice vote.)

5. Board of Governors engagement in social issues. NCAA Executive Vice President/Chief Legal Officer Donald Remy noted that the social issues matrix included in the meeting materials has been reviewed by the Governors in April and August. In addition, the divisional presidential bodies have reviewed the matrix and provided feedback.
It was VOTED “That the Board of Governors approve the matrix to be used as a guideline for future decision-making related to social issues facing the Association.” (Unanimous voice vote.)

6. **NCAA Board of Governors Finance and Audit Committee report.** Chancellor Philip DiStefano noted that the Finance and Audit Committee met the previous day with a primary focus on the results of the internal audit of fiscal year 2016-17. In addition, there were two agenda items on which to update the board.

   a. **2016-17 year-end fiscal update.** NCAA Chief Financial Officer Kathleen McNeely reviewed the financial transactions from the 2016-17 fiscal year that caused the Association to have a negative reserve balance. McNeely noted that the NCAA had a good year and was able to eliminate the reserve deficit due to the following: 1) Increase of $17 million in championships revenue, 2) Sixty million dollars in investment revenues, and 3) Sale of Arbiter Sports.

   b. **Grand Alliance: Educational Grand Challenge and Concussion Research Initiative Proposal.** McNeely reminded the board that the NCAA partnered with the U.S. Department of Defense more than three years ago to enhance the safety of student-athletes and military service members. This comprehensive clinical study of concussion initially was funded by use of NCAA unallocated assets. The next phase of the study, referred to as CARE 2.0, will examine the cumulative and persistent effects of concussions and repetitive head impact exposure. After reviewing the goals and objectives of CARE 2.0, the Finance and Audit Committee agreed to recommend that the board approve funding for the continued study.

   It was VOTED “That the Board of Governors approve the use of unallocated net assets totaling $12.5 million to fund CARE 2.0.” (Unanimous voice vote.)

7. **Law, Policy and Governance Strategic Discussion.**

   a. **Sports Science Institute/Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports.** NCAA Chief Medical Officer Brian Hainline reported to the board preliminary information related to the NCAA-DoD CARE consortium. Dr. Hainline briefly reviewed the proposed CARE structure and timeline for the expanded study of concussion in CARE 2.0 and beyond (i.e., CARE Long).

   b. **Government relations.** Donald Remy informed the Governors of several items reported in the quarterly government relations activity report. Remy also briefly reported on recent meetings in Washington to discuss the ongoing investigation into men’s college basketball.

   c. **Legal and litigation update.** NCAA Vice President and General Counsel Scott Bearby facilitated a privileged and confidential discussion regarding several matters of ongoing litigation.
8. **Update on NCAA strategic planning process.** President Glen Jones updated the board on the tentative plan for the Association’s strategic planning process, including securing a consultant, establishing a steering committee and a preliminary timeline for the work.

9. **NCAA Board of Governors Commission to Combat Campus Sexual Violence.** Lieutenant General Robert Caslen, Jr. noted that the commission met via teleconference in late September and discussed the outcomes of the August board meeting. Caslen noted that in January 2018, the commission will participate in a Think Tank with other higher education organizations to explore collaborative strategies for addressing individual accountability, and discuss data and possible research ideas.

10. **NCAA Board of Governors’ Executive Committee report.** President Bud Peterson reported on matters considered during the Board’s Executive Committee meeting the previous day.

11. **Executive Session.** The Governors concluded its meeting in executive session to discuss various administrative matters. The board also considered and unanimously approved a request from the Finance and Audit Committee to authorize funding that would directly benefit student-athletes of a Division I conference.

12. **Adjournment.** The meeting was adjourned at approximately 12 p.m.

13. **Future Meeting Dates.**


   b. April 24, 2018, Indianapolis.

   c. October 23, 2018, TBD.

   d. January 16, 2019, Atlanta.

*Board of Governors chair: G.P. “Bud” Peterson, Georgia Institute of Technology.*

*Staff liaisons:* Jacqueline Campbell, law, policy and governance

*Donald M. Remy, law, policy and governance.*
# Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eli Capilouto</td>
<td>University of Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTG. Robert Caslen, Jr.</td>
<td>United States Military Academy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Cureton</td>
<td>University of Northwestern-St. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip DiStefano</td>
<td>University of Colorado, Boulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Docking</td>
<td>Adrian College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Emmert</td>
<td>NCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Gray</td>
<td>University of Alaska, Fairbanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns Hargis</td>
<td>Oklahoma State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Herbst</td>
<td>University of Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake James</td>
<td>University of Miami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendell Jones, Jr.</td>
<td>Henderson State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Kaler</td>
<td>University of Minnesota, Twin Cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Britt Katz</td>
<td>Millsaps College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald K. Machtley</td>
<td>Bryant University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Olson</td>
<td>Daemen College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bud Peterson</td>
<td>Georgia Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Stanley</td>
<td>Stony Brook University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denise Trauth</td>
<td>Texas State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satish Tripathi</td>
<td>University at Buffalo, The State University of New York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Absentees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dianne Harrison</td>
<td>California State University, Northridge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## NCAA staff liaisons in attendance

- Jacqueline Campbell
- Donald Remy

## Other NCAA staff in attendance

- Katrice Albert, Scott Bearby, Joni Comstock, Diane Dickman, Dan Dutcher, Kimberly Fort, Dan Gavitt, Brian Hainline, Terri Steb Gronau, Kevin Lennon, Oliver Luck, Kathleen McNeely, Stacey Osburn, Dave Schnase, Cari Van Senus and Bob Williams

---

*Report is not final until approval of the Board of Governors.*
AGENDA

National Collegiate Athletic Association
Board of Governors

Grand Ballroom 7
JW Marriott Downtown
Indianapolis, Indiana

January 17, 2018
1:30 to 5 p.m.

1. Welcome and announcements. (President Bud Peterson)

2. Consent Agenda. (Action Item)
   b. Approval of the NCAA Division II Management Council representative to the NCAA Board of Governors Student-Athlete Engagement Committee. [Supplement No. 2]

3. NCAA president’s report. (Information) (President Mark Emmert)

4. NCAA Board of Governors Committee reports.
   • NCAA Student-Athlete and Engagement Committee. (Information) [Supplement No. 3] (Alaina Woo)

5. NCAA Board of Governors Finance and Audit Committee report. (Kathleen McNeely)
   a. First quarter fiscal year 2017-18 budget-to-actual. (Information) [Supplement No. 4]
   b. Long-term plan on CARE.Long testing and follow-up. (Information) [Supplement No. 5]
   c. Fiscal year 2016-17 audited financial statements. (Action Anticipated) [Supplement No. 6]
   d. Unreserved net assets. (Action Anticipated) [Supplement No. 7]
   e. NCAA 10-year financial plan. (Action Anticipated) [Supplement No. 8]

6. Law, Policy and Governance Strategic Discussion.
   a. Sports Science Institute/Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports. (Information) (Brian Hainline) [Supplement No. 9]

Consent agenda items: The Chair has determined that the following items are routine or noncontroversial items not requiring discussion or independent action. These items therefore will be presented as one agenda item. Possible action by a member of the Board of Governors: (1) Seek Chair or staff clarification prior to the October meeting; (2) Request that an item be removed for further discussion. (3) Approve all or remaining items in the consent package in one motion.
b. Legal and litigation update. *(Information)* (Scott Bearby) [Supplement No. 10]

c. Government relations. *(Information)* (Donald Remy) [Supplement No. 11]

7. NCAA Board of Governors’ Executive Committee report. *(Information)* (President Bud Peterson)

8. Executive Session.

Congressional Overview

This fall, discussions in Washington, D.C. have focused on revising the U.S. tax code. In the House, Republicans passed their version of a tax bill, H.R.1 on November 16, 2017 by a 227-205 margin. In the Senate, passing a tax reform measure faced stricter odds as Republicans control a mere 52 of the chamber’s 100 seats. However, on December 2, 2017, the Senate voted to pass their version of the bill, S. 1, by a 51-49 margin. Lawmakers will hold a conference in hopes of reconciling differences in the House and Senate tax bills and passing an agreed-upon measure. If an agreement is reached by the conference committee and, subsequently, approved by both chambers, the underlying legislation will advance to the President’s desk.

In addition to tax reform deliberations, Congress faces a quickly approaching deadline to reach a deal on funding the federal government through the 2018 fiscal year as the current stopgap measure provides funding through December 8, 2017. To avoid a government shutdown, lawmakers have been discussing another short-term funding proposal through the end of December 2017. With 2018 quickly approaching, priority issues for the coming year include a long-awaited infrastructure package, welfare reform, and a possible return to health care.

Federal Issues

Tax Reform

The higher education community has closely tracked provisions in both the House and Senate tax reform proposals that impact higher education, including those that affect athletic departments. The House and Senate proposals would eliminate the tax-deductibility of university donations attached to seat licenses for sporting events. There is concern that this elimination would negatively impact athletic departments by chilling donations that fund various college sports programs. Also, under the House proposal, a tax-exempt organization, which includes private and public universities, would be subject to a 20 percent excise tax on compensation in excess of $1 million to any of its five highest paid employees for a given tax year. The NCAA Office of Government Relations will continue to provide support to member schools and work with the higher education associations to monitor both the House and Senate proposals as they make their way through the conference process.

Promoting Diversity & Inclusion

On October 5, 2017, Rep. Suzanne Bonamici (D-OR) and five House Democratic colleagues sent a letter to the NCAA inquiring into the Association’s “Pledge and Commitment to Promoting Diversity and Gender Equity in Intercollegiate Athletics.” Joined by Representatives Cedric Richmond (D-LA), Eleanor Holmes Norton (D-DC), Earl Blumenauer (D-OR), Frederica Wilson (D-FL), and Maxine Waters (D-CA), the letter requested an update on participation in the pledge and asked the Association to inform Congress of efforts to develop hiring practices that promote
and improve diversity and gender equity amongst coaches and athletic department administrators in intercollegiate athletics.

On October 31, 2017, the NCAA responded to the six Representatives by detailing the NCAA’s role in bolstering an inclusive setting that fosters equitable participation for student-athletes and career opportunities for coaches and administrators. The response noted the NCAA Board of Governor’s recent Resolution Reaffirming Commitment to Cultural Diversity & Inclusiveness and provided recent participation data for the pledge. In addition to providing a list of the various NCAA resources, programs, and initiatives that aim to create a more diverse and inclusive environment within college athletics, the letter provided necessary context of the Association’s role with respect to a member institution’s personnel decisions and the autonomy colleges and universities have in making hiring, firing, and disciplinary decisions at their institution.

The NCAA Office of Government Relations has not received any additional questions stemming from the letter. The office will continue to update congressional offices on the work the Association achieves in this area and be available to field any questions that may arise.

**Student-Athlete Health and Safety**

Congress continues to display an interest in a range of health and safety matters related to amateur and professional athletics. Multiple bills have been introduced and considered by policymakers that affect the athletic community.

In March, Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) introduced S. 534, the *Protecting Young Victims from Sexual Abuse and Safe Sport Authorization Act of 2017* due to allegations of sexual abuse made against personnel involved with USA Gymnastics, USA Swimming, and USA Taekwondo. The proposal amends the Ted Stevens Amateur and Olympic Sports Act, which governs the U.S. Olympic Committee and their national athletic governing bodies to make it safe and easy for victims to report abuse and mandate oversight to ensure strong sexual abuse prevention policies are implemented and followed. The bill would also give the U.S. Center for Safe Sport oversight authority of all national governing bodies and would ensure that aspiring Olympic athletes can report allegations of abuse to an independent entity. S. 534 was approved by the Senate Judiciary Committee in June and passed the chamber on November 14, 2017. H.R. 1973, a similar proposal introduced by Rep. Susan Brooks (R-IN), passed the House on May 25, 2017.

On July 28, 2017, Rep. Mark DeSaulnier (D-CA) reintroduced H.R. 3580, the *Protecting Student Athletes from Concussions Act of 2017*. A similar bill was introduced in the 114th Congress and was supported by the NCAA, the NFL, the National Athletic Trainers’ Association, among others. H.R. 3580 seeks to address sports-related concussions in elementary and secondary school athletes. Among other things, the measure brings states into compliance with evidenced-based guidelines for concussion treatment by the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Academy of Neurology. Additionally, the proposal, under the direction of the U.S. Department of Education, would require states that receive federal education funding to develop concussion guidelines that educate these athletes, increase awareness of the signs of concussions, and supports recovery of
athletes who sustain an injury. After introduction, the measure was referred to the House Committee on Education and the Workforce where it awaits further debate. The NCAA supports H.R. 3580 and will continue to work with interested parties going forward.

**Sports Betting**

In June, the U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari to hear the long-running New Jersey sports betting case, *Christie, et al., v. NCAA, et al.*, to determine whether Congress may prohibit states from authorizing sports-gambling schemes under the Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act (PASPA). The case has garnered attention as a rare opportunity for the Court to define the limits of federalism and further interpret the 10th Amendment. Specifically, the Court will consider the legality of a New Jersey law that legalizes sports-gambling at casinos and racetracks within the state. Oral arguments occurred on December 4, 2017. New Jersey will be looking to sway the Court that PASPA unconstitutionally commandeers the state’s regulatory power. In contrast, the NCAA, NBA, NFL, NHL, and MLB have maintained that the state law runs counter to PASPA and is therefore invalid. The parties will now wait for the justices to reach a decision, which will likely occur by next summer.

**State Issues**

Only five states remain in session for this year. These states are Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin.

**Daily Fantasy Sports**

The NCAA and various interest holders have worked to ensure all daily fantasy sports proposals introduced in the states include a student sports carveout prohibiting contests from including college, high school, or youth athletics. Thus far, seventeen states have enacted bills or regulations regarding daily fantasy sports. Fourteen of these laws include the student sports carveout. Only New Jersey has passed a law without the carveout since NCAA involvement. The NCAA, the membership, and others will continue to work to ensure student-athlete well-being and the integrity of competition lead the conversation regarding sports wagering and daily fantasy sports.

**Student-Athlete Health and Well-Being**

This year, proposals have been introduced in California, North Carolina, and Connecticut that, if passed, would create commissions regarding the health and safety of college student-athletes. Although these state legislatures have adjourned for the year, the California and North Carolina measures are eligible to be reconsidered next year. The NCAA Office of Government Relations will continue to work with the Sports Science Institute to provide materials to assist in educating interest holders on the NCAA’s advancements and remain available to answer lawmaker’s questions on this topic.
Student-Athlete Employee Designation

Prior to adjournment, Illinois state Representative Thaddeus Jones (D) introduced HB 4157 and HB 4158. These measures would require public universities to classify student-athletes as employees if the athlete participates in one of the top three financially profitable athletic programs at a university. The bills would also require the university to pay the student-athlete a minimum salary of $25,000 a year and provide the university broad discretion in classifying other student-athletes as employees.

The session adjourned on November 9, 2017. However, legislation left pending is carried over and eligible to be considered in the subsequent session. Therefore, both HB 4157 and HB 4158 may be considered by the legislature in 2018. The NCAA Office of Government Relations will continue to monitor these bills in case there is any movement.

Revised Uniform Athlete Agents Act (RUAAA)

This year, Arizona, North Dakota, Oregon, Tennessee, Utah, and Nevada enacted provisions implementing the Revised Uniform Athlete Agents Act (RUAAA). The NCAA has been supportive of the RUAAA, which updates the Uniform Athlete Agents Act of 2000 by, among other things, expanding the definition of athlete agent, requiring an agent to notify an institution before communicating with a student-athlete to induce them into signing an agency contract, and creating a registration process that provides agents reciprocity. The NCAA Office of Government Relations will continue to monitor RUAAA proposals and help interested parties understand and advocate for such measures.

Higher Education Associations

NCAA Office of Government Relations staff continues to build strong relationships with various higher education associations. The American Council on Education (ACE), the Association of American Universities (AAU), the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU), and the National Association of Colleges and University Business Officers (NACUBO) among others, continue to provide guidance and support on issues of common interest. The NCAA Office of Government Relations staff looks forward to continuing these mutually beneficial relationships to better formulate and further the NCAA’s legislative goals.
NCAA Sport Science Institute and Committee for Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sport

Strategic Priorities Timeline

NOTE: all dates are estimates and may change in response to external factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Priority</th>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Anticipated Deliverable</th>
<th>Estimated Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Athletics Health Care Administration</td>
<td>NATA-NCAA Summit on the Organizational and Administrative Aspects of Athletic Health Care in College / University Settings</td>
<td>Will result in an interassociation consensus statement or summary report about key organizational and administrative aspects of athletics health care delivery. This document will be intended to contribute to an NCAA member school’s ability to meet evolving interassociation health and safety standards for college student-athletes. No public documents were produced during the meeting.</td>
<td>Interassociation recommendations*</td>
<td>Event date: Jan. 2017&lt;br&gt;Document drafting: through November 2017&lt;br&gt;Membership &amp; external review: Begins January 2018, expected to take several months.&lt;br&gt;CSMAS review and endorsement: June, 2018&lt;br&gt;External endorsement: August, 2018&lt;br&gt;BOG review and endorsement: October, 2018&lt;br&gt;Final deliverable: TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion</td>
<td>Task Force on Football Data</td>
<td>A closed meeting to review emerging data from the CARE Consortium and NCAA ISP.</td>
<td>Draft agenda for Third Safety in College Football Summit, anticipated for February 2019</td>
<td>Event date: February 26-27, 2018&lt;br&gt;Document drafting: N/A&lt;br&gt;Membership review: N/A&lt;br&gt;CSMAS review and endorsement: N/A&lt;br&gt;BOG review and endorsement: N/A&lt;br&gt;Final deliverable: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Project Details</td>
<td>Timeline Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion Safety Protocol Review Process</td>
<td>CSMAS identified and referred to this item to the BOG as a Uniform Standard of Care Issue</td>
<td>Issue Referral to BOG: June 2017&lt;br&gt;BOG Action: August 2017&lt;br&gt;Implementation date: January 2019 (timeline reflects possible legislative component)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Technology in Coaching and Athletic Health Care</td>
<td>SSI will host a meeting to discuss issues arising for both coaching and the delivery of athletic health care from the use of wearable technologies (e.g., global positioning systems; heart rate monitors).</td>
<td>Anticipated Event date: August, 2018&lt;br&gt;Document drafting: Membership review: CSMAS review and endorsement: BOG review and endorsement: Final deliverable:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doping &amp; Substance Abuse</td>
<td>SSI will host a discussion focused on pain management for the collegiate athlete.</td>
<td>Anticipated Event date: May, 2018&lt;br&gt;Document drafting: Membership review: CSMAS review and endorsement: BOG review and endorsement:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Force Name</td>
<td>Event date</td>
<td>Document drafting:</td>
<td>Membership review:</td>
<td>CSMAS review and endorsement:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>Event date: November 9-10, 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task Force to Advance Mental Health</td>
<td>Document drafting: November – March, 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td>Membership review: April, 2018</td>
<td>CSMAS review and endorsement: June, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Practice Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td>Education tools†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divisional representatives will be invited to participate.</td>
<td>Summary report will be provided to SVPC in January 2018.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Overuse, Sleep, and Performance     | Event date: May 1-2, 2017            | Document drafting: February - May, 2018 | Membership review: March 2018 | CSMAS review and endorsement: June 2018 | BOG review and endorsement: August 2018 | Final deliverable: |
| Task Force on Sleep & Wellness      | Educational tools†                   |                    |                    |                              |                             | September, 2018       |
| SSI hosted a task force on sleep and wellness May 1-2 2017, with representatives from scientific, higher education and sports medicine organizations to review current data and discuss existing best practices related to the sleep and wellness of student-athletes. | Peer-review journal article |                    |                              |                             |                             |                      |

*Will result in uniform standards of care for the Association; †Outcomes will be educational in nature, and will serve as a resource for member schools*

November 30, 2017