ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative items.
   
   • None.

2. Nonlegislative items.

   a. Selection procedures in cross country.

      (1) **Recommendation.** That the selection procedure for at-large teams to the NCAA Division II Men’s and Women’s Cross Country Championships be changed to allow the top three finishers in a region to automatically advance to the championships and the remaining eight teams to be selected based on defined criteria.

      (2) **Effective date.** September 1, 2017.

      (3) **Rationale.** For team selections, the current selection procedure takes into account only the prior year’s championship performance for teams that do not automatically qualify for the championships by finishing in the top three of their respective region. By moving to a selection process with defined criteria, only performances from the current season will be evaluated and rewarded. The new selection process would include the following selection criteria: regional finish; head-to-head competition between teams under consideration; results against common Division II opponents; regional meet point gap ratio; and late-season meet point gap ratio. For individual selections, the top two individuals from each regional not part of a qualifying team will automatically advance. Any individual who finishes in the top five at the regional not on a qualifying team will also automatically advance. The remaining eight individuals would be selected by comparing regional place and strength of each region (see Attachment A for full description).

      (4) **Estimated budget impact.** None.

      (5) **Student-athlete impact.** Since the current selection procedures rely on historical data, a successful and winning season for a team has no bearing on postseason advancement. The new process rewards the current year’s performance.

b. Seeding the regional champions in softball.
(1) **Recommendation.** That the eight regional winners be seeded after the super regionals using the regional Rating Percentage Index (RPI), which is one of the current selection criteria for softball.

(2) **Effective date.** September 1, 2016.

(3) **Rationale.** Seeding the eight teams that advance to the finals site increases the likelihood that the top teams will advance through the championship. The regional RPI is the most reliable criteria to seed the teams since it takes strength of schedule into account.

(4) **Estimated budget impact.** None.

(5) **Student-athlete impact.** Seeding the teams at the finals site provides the most competitive matchups for the student-athletes at the championship.

c. **Preliminary-round date formula in softball.**

(1) **Recommendation.** That the date formula for the regionals and super regionals be adjusted as follows: Regionals will be held the Thursday through Saturday before super regionals, and super regionals will be held the Thursday and Friday before finals. This moves the regionals and super regionals one day earlier.

(2) **Effective date.** 2017 Division II softball championship.

(3) **Rationale.** Last year, the softball committee received approval for a date formula change in Festival years to accommodate the best-of-three championship series and travel requirements. At that time, the committee noted it would evaluate the midweek regionals and super regionals to determine if that timing worked better for the championship overall. After receiving feedback from teams and hosts, the committee learned that this date formula makes travel easier between rounds and also increases hotel availability since more competition occurs during the week. The current date formula dictates that regionals will be either Thursday through Saturday or Friday through Sunday (depending on no-Sunday play institutions) and that super regionals will be the Friday and Saturday before finals.

(4) **Estimated budget impact.** None.

(5) **Student-athlete impact.** In some cases, student-athletes will be able to attend graduation in person due to this date formula.

d. **Preliminary-round date formula in men’s and women’s tennis.**
(1) **Recommendation.** That the date formula for the Division II Men’s and Women’s Tennis Championships regionals be adjusted to Saturday-Sunday (Friday may be used for a three-day regional) and Monday-Tuesday two weekends prior to finals.

(2) **Effective date.** 2017 Division II men’s and women’s tennis championships.

(3) **Rationale.** Currently, the men’s and women’s tennis regional dates overlap, with competition rounds Saturday-Tuesday. A growing number of institutions employ one head coach for both men’s and women’s tennis. In an instance where one such institution qualifies both its men’s and women’s teams for the regionals, the head coach is forced to split time between the two regional sites or choose which gender to coach for the entirety of the regional competition. The regional start days for each gender will mirror the national championship start days for each gender. As such, men’s and women’s regional competitions may be held several states away from one another during a given year, making travel between the two sites nearly impossible for a head coach. This proposal aims to improve the experience for those men’s and women’s tennis student-athletes who, under the current date formula, may not have their head coach on site with them at the regional competition. (See Attachment B for the proposed schedule of events.)

(4) **Estimated budget impact.** None.

(5) **Student-athlete impact.** Student-athletes in both men’s and women’s tennis will be positively impacted by this change, as institutions with one head coach for both genders will no longer experience having a team at a regional site without their head coach for the duration of the event due to overlapping schedules.

c. **Seeding the regional champions in women’s volleyball.**

(1) **Recommendation.** That the regional winners be seeded before the Elite Eight.

(2) **Effective date.** 2016 Division II women’s volleyball championship.

(3) **Rationale.** Seeding will allow for the top teams to be placed on opposite sides of the bracket. The volleyball committee will use current selection criteria, along with a national RPI, to seed teams one through eight after the regionals have been completed.

(4) **Estimated budget impact.** None.

(5) **Student-athlete impact.** Seeding the teams at the finals site provides the most competitive matchups for the student-athletes at the championship.

f. **Regional adjustments in wrestling.**
(1) **Recommendation.** That Drury University and Bellarmine University, both of which have added wrestling as a varsity sport, be placed in the Central Super Region (Super Region III) and Midwest Super Region (Super Region II), respectively. In addition, that Ouachita Baptist University move from the Central Super Region to the West Super Region.

(2) **Effective date.** September 1, 2016.

(3) **Rationale.** The recommended placements are the most logical fit for Drury and Bellarmine, and moving Ouachita Baptist would allow all four super regions to have 15 members apiece. (See Attachment C for the proposed super regional composition.)

(4) **Estimated budget impact.** None.

(5) **Student-athlete impact.** None.

g. **Live video streaming at the super regionals in wrestling.**

(1) **Recommendation.** That live video streaming be required at the four super-regional sites.

(2) **Effective date.** September 1, 2016.

(3) **Rationale.** This recommendation mitigates the potential competitive advantage super-regional sites may gain by not live streaming. The wrestling committee wants to negate any perceived incentive not to live stream, since live streaming at the super-regional sites is a key element in marketing and promoting the sport. The committee also believes the recommendation helps ensure a consistent experience for participants at all super regionals. Because super-regional sites are predetermined, the committee believes there is ample time for hosts to adequately plan for the live-streaming requirement.

(4) **Estimated budget impact.** Minimal, if any, as most super-regional sites already provide live streaming. Most, if not all, hosts selected also would already have the technical capability, since live streaming has become a standard practice. Since these sites are predetermined, this also allows for ample planning.

(5) **Student-athlete impact.** The recommendation standardizes live streaming among the four super-regional sites, thus ensuring more exposure for the sport and competitive fairness at the super-regional sites.

h. **Committee appointments.** Ratify the following sport committee and playing rules committee appointments.
(a) Baseball rules. Appoint James C. Jackson, director of athletics, Cameron University, to replace Jason Trufant, senior associate director of athletics, Dowling College, due to Mr. Girard having left Dowling. The appointment would be effective immediately.

(b) Women’s golf. Appoint Susan Vail, head women’s golf coach, Wheeling Jesuit University, to replace Rebecca Mailloux, head women’s golf coach, Grand Valley State University, due to term expiration. The appointment would be effective September 1, 2016.

(c) Men’s and women’s track and field and cross country rules. Appoint Larry Mortensen, director of athletics, Adams State University, to replace C. Anthony Grant, assistant director of athletics, Millersville University of Pennsylvania, due to Mr. Grant having resigned from the committee. The appointment would be effective immediately.

(d) Women’s volleyball. Appoint Josh Collins, head women’s volleyball coach, Southwestern Oklahoma State University, to replace Wendy McManus, associate director of athletics, Northwestern Oklahoma State University, due to Ms. McManus having left Northwestern Oklahoma State. The appointment would be effective immediately.

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.**

1. **Welcome and review of agenda.** Championships Committee Chair Lisa Sweany welcomed the group and reviewed the agenda.

2. **June 2016 meeting report.** The committee approved the report from its June 28-29, 2016, meeting as submitted.

3. **Nominating committee report.** In addition to the sport and rules committee appointments in Nonlegislative Item 2h, the nominating committee noted that it is seeking additional nominations to fill several September 1, 2016, vacancies, including one on the Division II Women’s Soccer Committee. The Championships Committee had identified a recommendation for the Atlantic Region vacancy at its June meeting, but that person left the institution soon afterward, and the Championships Committee did not forward the recommendation. The Management Council asked the nominating committee to either consider its second choice or seek additional nominations. Given that the second choice had no RAC experience, the nominating committee agreed to seek additional nominations.

4. **Sport committee reports.**
a. **Baseball.** The Championships Committee approved Mark Clements, associate athletics director at Northwest Missouri State University, as chair of the Division II Baseball Committee for 2016-17.

b. **Softball.** Championships Committee members approved Jen Starek, head softball coach at the University of New Haven, as chair of the Division II Softball Committee for 2016-17.

c. **Men’s and women’s swimming and diving.** Championships Committee members noted the ongoing discussion in the swimming community to modify selection procedures to ensure that the fastest swimmers are chosen to participate in the championships. The swimming and diving committee believes that given the participant cap, particularly on the men’s side, the current selection model does not permit the committee to fill rows of individual events. This leaves the individual events open to already selected student-athletes who may not be the fastest individuals in specific individual events. Occasionally, even with selected swimmers entered into their optional events, the events are not being filled and points are being left unearned. The sport committee received approval to survey the membership about modifying the swimming selection model. Based on those survey results, the swimming and diving committee may submit a formal proposal to the Championships Committee in September.

d. **Women’s volleyball.** The Championships Committee approved requiring regional hosts to allocate at least 100 tickets to each participating team for the preliminary rounds of the championship, similar to the requirement in place for men’s and women’s basketball. The Championships Committee did ask the volleyball committee to consider a protocol to be in place should a top seed be unable to meet the additional criteria.

5. **Live streaming report.** Championships Committee members reviewed an updated report that includes data from the spring championships.

6. **Membership fund liaison.** Staff provided a follow-up from the committee’s discussion of the membership fund at its June meeting when the committee agreed to support not only continuing the fund, but also amending the fund’s guiding principles and using the budget to strengthen the division overall (not necessarily membership). Staff noted the Management Council’s identity subcommittee also supported those enhancements and that they would be submitted to the Planning and Finance Committee for review, along with a review of the Membership Fund Selection Committee composition. That review, which should be completed this fall, is expected to determine whether a Championships Committee representative will serve on the selection committee in the future.

7. **Other business.** The committee acknowledged outgoing members Lisa Sweany and Greg Gilbert, as this was their last teleconference before their terms expire August 31.

8. **Adjournment.** Ms. Sweany adjourned the teleconference at 2:55 p.m. Eastern time.
Committee Chair: Lisa Sweany, Armstrong State University; Peach Belt Conference.
Staff Liaison(s): Roberta Page, Championships and Alliances.
Molly Simons, Championships and Alliances.
Katie Willett, Academic and Membership Affairs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division II Championships Committee</th>
<th>August 4, 2016, Teleconference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attendees:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Anderson; Gulf South Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Britz; South Atlantic Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Dearing, Western Oregon University; Great Northwest Athletic Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ty Dennis, University of Minnesota, Mankato; Northern Sun Intercollegiate Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Duyst, California State University, Stanislaus; California Collegiate Athletic Association.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Gilbert, University of Findlay; Great Lakes Intercollegiate Athletic Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Johnson, Pittsburg State University; Mid-America Intercollegiate Athletics Association.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.J. Pumroy, Shepherd University; Mountain East Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Ruppert; Northeast-10 Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Shirley, Philadelphia University; Central Atlantic Collegiate Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Sweany, Armstrong State University; Peach Belt Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Vinson, Cameron University; Lone Star Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Absentees:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Gray, University of Alaska Fairbanks; Great Northwest Athletic Conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacqie McWilliams, Central Intercollegiate Athletic Association (Management Council chair).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Scales, Nyack College; Central Atlantic Collegiate Conference (Presidents Council liaison).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guests in Attendance:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Brown, NCAA Contractor.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCAA Staff Support in Attendance:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberta Page, Championships and Alliances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Simons, Championships and Alliances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Willet, Academic and Membership Affairs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Breece, Championships and Alliances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan DeSpain, Championships and Alliances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terri Steeb Gronau, Division II Governance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie Havens, Championships and Alliances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritza Jones, Division II Governance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Scovron, Championships and Alliances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Tressel, Championships and Alliances.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Tufano, Governance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NCAA Division II Cross Country National Championships Selection Procedure Proposal

Team Selections
A total of 32 teams and 24 individuals will be selected. The process for allocating the berths is outlined below.

Automatic Qualification
The top three teams from each regional meet will automatically advance to the championship finals (24 teams).

At-large Selection
Eight at-large teams will be selected by the NCAA Division II Men’s and Women’s Track and Field and Cross Country Committee using the below outlined selection criteria (in priority order). There is no limit to the number of at-large teams that may be selected from the same region.

The following are the criteria used by the committee to select at-large teams:

1. Regional place order/performance. A team cannot be selected ahead of a team that placed in front of them at their respective regional championship meet.

2. Head-to-head competition versus other teams under consideration for at large selection.
   a. Each of the eight teams under consideration in the current comparison will be viewed one duo at a time, 1 vs 1 (i.e. 1vs2, 1vs3, 1vs4, etc).
   b. For each of the 1 vs 1 comparisons, either there will be a tie, and the committee will move on, or, one team will be eliminated from consideration in the current selection comparison if that team emerges as having a direct losing record to the other team in consideration. (Rationale: The team with a losing record to any of the other 8 teams cannot be the best of the 8 teams, because at least that one team was better).
   c. An overall win-loss record of the full 8 teams is not to be used. (Rationale: a team that amasses a great quantity of wins over weak opponents is not necessarily better than a team with quality head to head wins.)

3. Results against common Division II opponents (through second tier).
   a. Each of the eight teams under consideration in the current comparison will be viewed one duo at a time, 1 vs 1 (i.e. 1 vs 2, 1 vs 3, 1vs4, etc).
   b. Second Tier: For each two team consideration, either there will be a tie, or one team will be eliminated from the current selection round if it has fewer net wins, to be calculated as follows:
• Each team will get a win for any direct victory against a common competitor.
• Each team will get a loss for any direct loss against a common competitor.
• Each team will get a win for any victory against a second degree common competitor (i.e. team A beats team B, team B beats team C, team C beats team D, so team A gets a win against team D).
• Each team will get a loss for any defeat against a second degree common competitor (i.e. team A loses to team B, team B loses to team C, team C loses to team D). If there are both wins and losses in the common competitor chain, this will not be counted for or against a team.
• The total number of losses as calculated above will be subtracted from the total number of wins, which will be the total “Net Wins”.
• Net Wins = (Direct wins + 2nd-Degree Wins) – (Direct losses + 2nd-Degree Losses)

Rationale for Second Tier:
• Net wins provides a more accurate assessment of a record rather than winning percentage, where 1-0 would be better than 10-1.
• Net wins rewards a team for winning against common competitors, but also penalizes a team for losing against a common competitor. If only wins were counted, 4-2 would be worse than 8-12.
• Net wins, when combined with 2nd degree comparisons, rewards a team for beating a very strong team, as that team is likely to generate many 2nd degree “wins” for the team in question.
• Including common comparisons to the 2nd degree allows for more data in a situation where limited data is often a sticking point in making good decisions.
• Including common comparisons to the 2nd degree allows teams that do not travel outside of their region to benefit from beating teams inside their region who have done well against their own common competitors.

4. Results against common non-Division II opponents.
• Each of the eight teams under consideration in the current comparison will be viewed one duo at a time, 1 vs. 1 (i.e. 1 vs. 2, 1 vs. 3, 1 vs. 4, etc).

5. Regional meet point gap ratio - Comparing the team being considered for an at-large bid to the team that placed directly in front of them in the regional results.

a. Example: In Region A, 2nd Place Team scores 80 points, 3rd place team (under consideration) scores 100 points. $80/100 = 0.8$ ratio. In Region B, 2nd Place Team scores 180 points and 3rd place team scores 200 points. $180/200=0.9$ ratio. A higher ratio will be deemed the closer performance, so in this example, Region B’s 3rd place team would go over Region A’s 3rd place team.

b. Each of the eight teams under consideration in the current comparison will be viewed one duo at a time, 1 vs. 1 (i.e. 1 vs. 2, 1 vs. 3, 1 vs. 4, etc).
6. Late season (last seven (7) weeks of season including regional meet, Festival years would be the last nine (9) weeks of season including regional meet) meet point gap ratio, to be calculated as team score of the team immediately in front of the team under consideration divided by the score of the team under consideration.

- Each of the eight teams under consideration in the current comparison will be viewed one duo at a time, 1 vs. 1 (i.e. 1 vs. 2, 1 vs. 3, 1 vs. 4, etc).

*Finishing times will not be looked at due to differences in courses, altitudes, actual distances of races, etc. which cannot be compared head to head like a track performance.

**Late-season Performance**
The committee will use data (via regulation from TFRRS / Direct Athletics) from regular-season meets starting with the date that is seven weeks out from the NCAA Division II Regional Championships (with the exception of a Fall Sports Festival year when it will be nine weeks) that have at least four of the seven runners represented on a team that competed for a team in the regional championships (minimum race distance for women is 5k/3mile and for men 7k). Meaning no “B” team results can count against a team being considered for selection. For example a team cannot be penalized for running a split squad or having non-varsity runners competing at a meet.

**Breaking Team Ties**
In the case of a tie-breaker when comparing teams under consideration at a regular season meet/race that includes NCAA Division I, NCAA Division III, NAIA or NJCAA schools, then those schools must be taken out of the scoring comparisons by the committee unless used as a common opponent. For example, a team could lose to another team in a large meet with various non-Division II schools factored into the scoring, but still beat them head-to-head if the two teams were scored only against each other as a dual meet.

**Additional Individual Selections:**
After the 32 selected teams have been determined, a minimum 24 additional individuals per gender are selected to compete at the NCAA Championships.

1. The top two individuals who are not part of a qualifying team will automatically advance to the championship finals (16 individuals).

2. All individuals who finish in the top five at the regional meet and are not part of a qualifying team will automatically advance to the championship finals.

3. The remaining individuals will be selected at-large. **There is no limit to the number of at-large individuals that may be selected from the same region.** The top individual from each region not receiving an automatic qualifying spot, and not on a qualifying team, will be compared head to head using the same model utilized in the at-large team selection process. Place at the regional meet as well as strength of region are factored into the formula by the committee when comparing at-large individuals, using the following equation:

\[
\text{Individual score ratio} = \frac{\text{[# of team qualifiers from the region]}}{\text{[Individual regional placing]}}
\]
The individual with the highest score using the above equation will be selected first. This will account for and reward individuals competing well in particularly strong regions, as judged by the number of teams that region qualifies to NCAAs. When an individual receives an at-large selection, the next non-qualifying individual from that region will move up to the consideration round of eight individuals.

- Example:

  Region A Individual:
  - Individual finishes 15th
  - 5 teams qualify
  - $\frac{5}{15} = 0.33$

  Region B Individual:
  - Individual finishes 12th
  - 3 teams qualify
  - $\frac{3}{12} = 0.25$

Individual from Region A would qualify.

**Breaking Individual Ties**

If a situation occurs where two or more individuals are vying for the last qualifying spot, the tie will be broken by selecting the individual with the highest individual placement at his or her regional championship.
Monday, May 8
Noon – 1:25 p.m. – men’s practice.
1:30 – 2:55 p.m. – men’s practice.
7 p.m. – banquet with coaches meeting following banquet.

Tuesday, May 9
8 – 9:25 a.m. – women’s practice.
9:30 – 10:55 a.m. – women’s practice.
Noon – men’s matches (4).
4 p.m. – men’s matches (4).

Wednesday, May 10
8 a.m. – women’s matches (4).
Noon – women’s matches (4).
4 p.m. – men’s matches (4).

Thursday, May 11
9 a.m. – women’s matches (4).
1 p.m. – men’s matches (2).

Friday, May 12
9 a.m. – women’s matches (2).
1 p.m. – men’s championship and awards ceremony.

Saturday, May 13
9 a.m. – women’s championship and awards ceremony.

*Match times will take precedence over practice times in the case of inclement weather.
DII Wrestling Recommended Regionalization for 2016-17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Super Region One</th>
<th>Main Conference/Region</th>
<th>Super Region Two</th>
<th>Main Conference/Region</th>
<th>Super Region Four</th>
<th>Main Conference/Region</th>
<th>Super Region Six</th>
<th>Main Conference/Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American International</td>
<td>NEC 10 - E</td>
<td>Coker</td>
<td>SAC - SE</td>
<td>Central Missouri</td>
<td>MIAA - C</td>
<td>Adams State</td>
<td>RMAC - SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Stroussburg</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>Belmont Abbey</td>
<td>Indiana - SE</td>
<td>Lindenwood</td>
<td>MIAA - C</td>
<td>California Baptist</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>Conference Carolinas - SE</td>
<td>Augusta</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>Chadron State</td>
<td>RMAC - SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutztown</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>Conference Carolinas - SE</td>
<td>Minnesota State-Mankato</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>Colorado Mesa</td>
<td>RMAC - SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercyhurst</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>Emmanuel</td>
<td>Conference Carolinas - SE</td>
<td>Minnesota State-Moorhead</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>Colorado Mines</td>
<td>RMAC - SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millersville</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>Ashland</td>
<td>GLIAC - MW</td>
<td>Minot State</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>Colorado State-Pueblo</td>
<td>RMAC - SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notre Dame</td>
<td>MEC - ATL</td>
<td>Tiffin</td>
<td>GLIAC - MW</td>
<td>Northern State</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>New Mexico Highlands</td>
<td>RMAC - SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh-Johnstown</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>Wisconsin-Parkside</td>
<td>GLVC - MW</td>
<td>Southwest Minnesota</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>San Francisco State</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seton Hill</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>GLVC - MW</td>
<td>St. Cloud St.</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>Simon Fraser</td>
<td>GNAC - W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shippensburg</td>
<td>PSAC - ATL</td>
<td>Findlay</td>
<td>GLAC - MW</td>
<td>Upper Iowa</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>Western State</td>
<td>RMAC - SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Liberty</td>
<td>MEC - ATL</td>
<td>Lake Erie</td>
<td>GLAC - MW</td>
<td>Mary</td>
<td>NSIC - C</td>
<td>Nebraska-Kearney</td>
<td>MIAA - C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeling Jesuit</td>
<td>MEC - ATL</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>Gulf South - S</td>
<td>Maryville</td>
<td>GLVC - MW</td>
<td>Newman</td>
<td>MIAA - C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIU-Post</td>
<td>ECC - E</td>
<td>North Carolina-Pembroke</td>
<td>PBC - SE</td>
<td>McKendree</td>
<td>GLVC - MW</td>
<td>Central Oklahoma</td>
<td>MIAA - C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alderson Broaddus</td>
<td>GMAC - MW</td>
<td>Newberry</td>
<td>SAC - SE</td>
<td>Truman State</td>
<td>GLVC - MW</td>
<td>Fort Hays State</td>
<td>MIAA - C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Valley</td>
<td>GMAC - MW</td>
<td>Bemidji State</td>
<td>GLVC - MW</td>
<td>Drury</td>
<td>GLVC - MW</td>
<td>Ouachita Baptist</td>
<td>GAC - C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Institutions adding
- Drury University
- Bellermine University

Institution dropping
- Anderson

Institution moving super regions
- Ouachita Baptist from Super Region III to Super Region IV
Regionalization Map Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Super Region I (15 Teams) - No changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Super Region II (15 Teams) - Adding Bellermine (new program - blue diamond).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Super Region III (15 Teams) - Adding Drury (new program - green diamond) and moving Ouachita Baptist to Super Region IV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Super Region IV (15 Teams) - Adding Ouachita Baptist (red square) from Super Region Region III.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Plus sign (➕) is Anderson University (SC) which is dropping the wrestling program.

** Stars indicate future super regional host sites.