This document contains questions and answers to assist the NCAA membership in its understanding of selected proposals. The April 6, 2017 update includes the removal of Question No. 2 from Proposal No. 2016-18 (the question was no longer applicable), the removal of a typographical error in Question No. 2 from Proposal No. 2016-29, and clarification of the answers for Question Nos. 1 and 2 from Proposal No. 2016-67.

NCAA Proposal No. 2016-18 Athletics Eligibility – Delayed Enrollment – Seasons of Competition -- Tennis -- One-Year Grace Period

**Question No. 1:** Does this proposal apply retroactively?

**Answer:** If adopted, the proposal may be applied retroactively to a student-athlete with eligibility remaining in his or her five-year period of eligibility as of August 1, 2017.

Proposal No. 2016-20 Athletics Eligibility – Eligibility Requirements for Male Students to Practice with Women's Teams -- Summer Athletic Activities

**Question No. 1:** Does this proposal permit an incoming male student to participate in summer athletic activities with a women's team?

**Answer:** No. Such a student would not have been enrolled full time at the conclusion of the regular academic term immediately preceding the summer.

Proposal No. 2016-21 Recruiting -- Definitions and Applications -- Recruiting Person Days -- Basketball -- Exception -- After Commitment

**Question No. 1:** If an institution's basketball coach visits a high school to meet with a committed prospective student-athlete, does the visit still count as an evaluation for all other prospective student-athletes in basketball at that educational institution?

**Answer:** Yes. The visit would still count as an evaluation for all other prospective student-athletes in basketball at that high school.

Proposal No. 2016-28 Recruiting – Permissible Recruiters – General Exceptions -- On-Campus Contact with Former Student-Athletes

**Question No. 1:** How is a "former student-athlete" defined?
Answer: For this proposal, a former student-athlete is an individual who was a student-athlete at the institution but no longer is.

Question No. 2: Would contact be permissible if the former student-athlete is a representative of the institution's athletics interests?

Answer: Yes. Such contact would be permissible.

Question No. 3: May recruiting conversations occur between the former student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete?

Answer: Yes.

Question No. 4: May the athletics department pay expenses to bring the former student-athlete to campus to meet with prospective student-athletes?

Answer: No. An institution is generally not permitted to provide expenses to former student-athletes for any purpose. However, an institution may provide expenses to a former student-athlete to attend an event in which he or she is being honored.

Question No. 5: During an official or unofficial visit, may a former student-athlete participate in recreational activities with a prospective student-athlete?

Answer: Yes, provided the former student-athlete's participation in the recreational activities is not organized or directed by the athletics department coaching staff. Additionally, the former student-athlete may not report back to the athletics department coaching staff regarding the prospective student-athlete's participation in the recreational activity.
Proposal No. 2016-29 Recruiting – Permissible Recruiters – General Exceptions -- On-Campus Contact with Former Student-Athletes

Question No. 1: May the contact with a prospective student-athlete be initiated by the admissions office or any other nonathletics entity?
Answer: No.

Question No. 2: What is considered contact not made for recruiting purposes?
Answer: Contact made for recruiting purposes includes any activity designed to solicit the enrollment of a prospective student-athlete.

Question No. 3: Would contact be permissible if the former student-athlete is a representative of the institution's athletics interests?
Answer: Yes, provided such contact is not made for recruiting purposes and is not initiated by an institutional staff member.

Question No. 4: May the former student-athlete engage in interactions with the prospective student-athlete, but not engage in recruiting conversations per NCAA Bylaw 13.02.14?
Answer: Yes. The former student-athlete and the prospective student-athlete may engage in normal conversations and other interactions, such as taking photographs and signing autographs.

Question No. 5: During an official or unofficial visit, may a former student-athlete participate in recreational activities with a prospective student-athlete?
Answer: Yes, provided the former student-athlete's participation in the recreational activities is not organized or directed by the athletics department coaching staff, the former student-athlete does not engage in recruiting conversations with the prospective student-athlete and the former student-athlete does not report back to the athletics department coaching staff regarding the prospective student-athlete's participation in the recreational activity.

Question No. 6: May the athletics department pay expenses to bring the former student-athlete to campus to meet with prospective student-athletes?
Answer: No. An institution is generally not permitted to provide expenses to former student-athletes for any purpose. However, an institution may provide
expenses to a former student-athlete to attend an event in which he or she is being honored.

Proposal No. 2016-31 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls -- Permissible Callers Five Days Before Official Visit -- Any Athletics Staff Member

**Question No. 1:** Are the telephone calls required to be about the logistics of the official visit?

**Answer:** No.

**Question No. 2:** Would it be permissible for managers to make these telephone calls prior to the official visit?

**Answer:** Yes.

Proposal No. 2016-32 Recruiting -- Telephone Calls and Electronic Correspondence in Conjunction with an Unofficial Visit

**Question No. 1:** Are the telephone calls and electronic correspondence required to be about the logistics of the unofficial visit?

**Answer:** No.

**Question No. 2:** Does this proposal apply to any age of prospective student-athlete?

**Answer:** Yes.

**Question No. 3:** What is the definition of an "unofficial visit" that would trigger this exception to the phone and electronic communication rules?

**Answer:** An unofficial visit is a visit to a member institution by a prospective student-athlete made at the prospective student-athlete's own expense.
Proposal No. 2016-34 Recruiting -- Evaluations – Men's Basketball -- FIBA Regional Championships Outside Recruiting and Evaluation Periods

**Question No. 1:** Does the proposal permit coaches to attend tryouts for regional championships that are approved, sponsored or conducted by FIBA?

**Answer:** No.

**Question No. 2:** Is it permissible for an institution's coach to evaluate at such events during a dead period?

**Answer:** Yes.

Proposal No. 2016-35 Recruiting -- Evaluations – Women's Basketball – Coaches' Attendance at National Team Tryouts

**Question No. 1:** Does attendance at these events by a women's basketball coach count against the limit on recruiting-person days?

**Answer:** Yes, if the event occurs during the academic year.

**Question No. 2:** Is it permissible for an institution's coach to evaluate at such events during a dead period?

**Answer:** Yes.

Proposal No. 2016-39 Recruiting -- Contacts and Evaluations – Coaches' Attendance at Qualifying Events for Elite and Junior Level International Events -- Basketball

**Question No. 1:** Does attendance at these events by a basketball coach count against the limit on recruiting-person days?

**Answer:** Yes, if the event occurs during the academic year.

**Question No. 2:** Is it permissible for multiple coaches from the same institution to attend these events?

**Answer:** Yes.

**Question No. 3:** Does the Division I coach need to be a current head coach?
Answer: No. He or she may be a head or assistant coach at his or her institution and may be a head or assistant coach of a national team.

Proposal No. 2016-43 Recruiting – Official Visit – Number of Official Visits – Women's Basketball – 24 Visits During a Two-Year Period

Question No. 1: Which year is the first year of the "rolling" two-year period?

Answer: The first year will be 2017-18. Therefore, the number of visits that will be available in 2018-19 will be based on the number of visits provided in 2017-18.

Question No. 2: How is the "rolling" two-year period applied?

Answer: Once the second year under the legislation begins, the rolling two-year period will include the current year and the previous year, rather than separate succeeding two-year periods. Beginning with 2018-19, the number of visits available during any given year depends on the number of visits provided during the previous year.

For example, if an institution provides 10 official visits in 2017-18, the institution would be permitted to provide up to 14 official visits in 2018-19. If the institution were to provide the 14 permissible official visits for 2018-19, then the institution would be permitted to provide up to 10 official visits for 2019-20. The 2019-20 year would not start a new separate two-year period in which the institution could provide up to 24 official visits.

Question No. 3: How does the "head coaching change" exception apply with the proposal?

Answer: There is no change to the exception. The number of additional visits available under the exception will depend on the number of visits available for the applicable year, which will depend on the number of visits provided in the previous year.

For example, if an institution used 14 visits in the previous year, the limit for the following year would be 10. If the first coach used at least eight visits, the new coach could use the ninth and tenth visits, and up to two more, based on 25 percent of 10 visits. However, for purposes of the two-
year period, the institution would have used only the 10 visits for the year. Note that no fraction of a visit (based on 25 percent of the applicable limit) may be rounded up. For example, 2.5 visits may not be rounded up to three.

Proposal No. 2016-45 Recruiting – Unofficial Visit -- Off-Campus Contact Within One Mile of Campus

**Question No. 1:** How is the one mile determined?

**Answer:** It is determined by a straight line from the outermost boundary on the institution's main campus as the crow flies.

**Question No. 2:** Does this proposal apply to an institution's branch campuses or just the main campus?

**Answer:** The proposal would not impact each institution's discretion to determine what is considered "campus."

**Question No. 3:** Does this proposal apply to all university property or just the main campus?

**Answer:** Each institution has discretion to determine what is considered "campus."

**Question No. 4:** During an unofficial visit, may an institution provide transportation to a prospective student-athlete to a location within one mile of campus?

**Answer:** No.

Proposal No. 2016-47 Recruiting -- Publicity Before Commitment -- Photograph of Prospective Student-Athlete

**Question No. 1:** May an institution provide a picture frame with the photograph?

**Answer:** No.

Proposal No. 2016-48 Recruiting -- On-Campus Evaluations -- Sports Other Than Football

**Question No. 1:** May a prospective student-athlete participate in an on-campus evaluation after the opening day of classes of the institution's fall term, but before the start of his or her sport's traditional season?

**Answer:** Yes.
Question No. 2: When may the on-campus evaluation occur if the prospective student-athlete's high school or preparatory school does not sponsor his or her sport?

Answer: The on-campus evaluation may be conducted at any time beginning June 1 immediately preceding the prospective student-athlete's junior year in high school until the opening day of the institution's fall term following the prospective student-athlete's senior year.

Question No. 3: When may the on-campus evaluation occur if prospective student-athlete does not participate on his or her high school or preparatory team?

Answer: The on-campus evaluation must still occur outside the prospective student-athlete's high school or preparatory school traditional season in the applicable sport.

Question No. 4: May more than one prospective student-athlete participate in an on-campus evaluation at the same time?

Answer: Yes. There is no limit on the number of prospective student-athletes who may participate at the same time.

Proposal No. 2016-51 Recruiting -- Camps and Clinics -- Campus Tours During Institutional Camps or Clinics

Question No. 1: Is there a process by which the campus tour must be approved?

Answer: No. This is left to the institution's discretion.

Proposal No. 2016-54 Recruiting -- Precollege Expenses -- Donation of Athletics Equipment -- Women's Rowing -- Transportation of Equipment

Question No. 1: May an institution transport a scholastic team's equipment under the same parameter's?
Proposal No. 2016-63 Academic Eligibility – Pre-Enrollment Academic Misconduct

Question No. 1: Does the proposal change the obligation for an institution to determine the validity of a prospective student-athlete's academic record?

Answer: No. The proposal maintains this obligation including, but not limited to, instances in which an institution receives notification, or has reason to believe a prospective student-athlete's high school, preparatory school or transfer academic record is not valid.

Question No. 2: Does the proposal change the role of the NCAA Student Records Review Committee and/or the NCAA High School Review Committee?

Answer: No.

Question No. 3: Does the proposal still apply to information submitted to the NCAA Eligibility Center or an institution's admissions office regarding a prospective student-athlete's academic record?

Answer: Yes. The NCAA Eligibility Center is part of the NCAA, and the admissions office (along with athletics) is part of the institution.

Question No. 4: Does the proposal require schools attended to be specified as part of the information provided to the NCAA or an institution regarding a prospective student-athlete's academic record?

Answer: Yes.

Question No. 5: Does the proposal apply to arranging for fraudulent academic credit or false transcripts, as well as fraudulence or misconduct in connection with entrance or placement examinations?

Answer: Yes. The proposal applies to all components of a prospective student-athlete's academic record including, but not limited to, core courses, grades, credits, transcripts, and entrance placement or standardized examinations.

Question No. 6: Does the proposal require an institution to report an NCAA pre-enrollment academic misconduct violation if arranging for a false or inaccurate
academic record for a prospective student-athlete does not impact the prospective student-athlete's eligibility (e.g., satisfaction of initial eligibility or applicable transfer requirements)?

**Answer:** Yes. The proposal would require an institution to report an NCAA pre-enrollment academic misconduct violation if a prospective student-athlete, student-athlete, representative of athletics interests or a current or former institutional staff member arranges for a false or inaccurate record for a prospective student-athlete, regardless of the impact on the prospective student-athlete's eligibility.

**Question No. 7:** Does the proposal apply to a scenario in which a current or former institutional staff member or booster (as defined per Bylaw 14.02.11) arranges for a false or inaccurate academic record for a two-year college prospective student-athlete (e.g., an institution's assistant coach completes an online course necessary for the prospective student-athlete's transfer eligibility)?

**Answer:** Yes.

**Question No. 8:** Does the pre-enrollment academic misconduct proposal apply to a prospective student-athlete's academic record earned both prior to initial full-time collegiate enrollment (e.g., high school record) and that which is earned prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution (e.g., two-year college record)?

**Answer:** Yes. A prospective student-athlete's academic record earned prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying institution, including any credit earned after triggering student-athlete status at the certifying institution per Bylaw 13.02.13, is currently subject to Bylaw 14.1.2.1 [formerly Bylaw 10.1-(b)] and will remain subject to the pre-enrollment academic misconduct legislation if the proposal is adopted.

**Question No. 9:** If an institutional staff member completes a course via an online institution for an incoming two-year college transfer student-athlete during the summer prior to transfer, would the pre-enrollment academic misconduct proposal apply if the incoming student-athlete is concurrently enrolled in summer school at the certifying institution?

**Answer:** Yes. The pre-enrollment academic misconduct proposal applies to the falsification of any component of a prospective student-athlete's academic record earned prior to initial full-time enrollment at the certifying
institution, including credit that may be earned after student-athlete status is triggered via enrollment in the certifying institution's summer term.

Proposal No. 2016-66 Academic Eligibility – Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements – Hours Earned or Accepted for Degree Credit – Optional Minors and Certificate Programs

**Question No. 1:** Does the proposal allow credit hours that apply toward any minor to be used to meet credit-hour requirements (e.g., six-hour, nine-hour and 18-hour rules)?

**Answer:** Yes. Provided the minor is officially designated prior to the start of the term during which the minor credit hours will be used to meet applicable credit-hour requirements.

**Question No. 2:** In what manner must a minor or certificate program be "officially designated" in order to satisfy the proposal's requirements?

**Answer:** A minor or certificate program must be officially designated in accordance with the institution's own policies for minor and/or certificate program designation as of the start of the term.

**Question No. 3:** May a student-athlete attending an institution with no policy on minor or certificate program designation still use credit hours that apply toward optional minors and certificate programs?

**Answer:** Yes. In the absence of an institutional policy on minor or certificate declaration, an institution may still comply with the proposal by ensuring that an institutional staff member with academic authority outside athletics (e.g., registrar) certifies that the student-athlete's desired minor or certificate program was designated in a contemporaneous, documented manner as of the start of the applicable term.

**Question No. 4:** May an institution whose policies allow a minor or certificate program to be designated at any point during a student-athlete's undergraduate career use credit hours that apply toward an optional minor if the minor is designated during the middle of an in-progress term?

**Answer:** No. In order to use credit hours that apply toward a minor or certificate program, designation must be completed by the start of the applicable term during which the hours will be used, regardless of an institutional policy that does not have time-specific parameters.
Question No. 5:  May credit hours that meet the proposal requirements be used to satisfy a student-athlete's percentage-of-degree benchmarks?

Answer:  No. The proposal only applies to a student-athlete's applicable credit-hour requirements (e.g., six-hour, nine-hour or 18-hour rules). Only minors that are a required element of the student-athlete's declared baccalaureate degree program may continue to be used to satisfy percentage-of-degree benchmarks.

Question No. 6:  May a certificate program that is only available upon completion of all other degree requirements (e.g., teaching certificate program) be used to meet the proposal requirements?

Answer:  Yes.

Proposal No. 2016-67 Academic Eligibility – Exceptions to Progress-Toward-Degree Rule – Graduate Student/Postbaccalaureate Exception – Six Hours of Degree-Applicable Credit

Transfer Student-Athlete – Graduate School Enrollment

Question No. 1:  Does the proposal change the enrollment requirements for a student-athlete who after completion of his or her baccalaureate degree transfers to another institution with remaining athletics eligibility?

Answer:  No. A student-athlete who completes his or her baccalaureate degree with remaining athletics eligibility may participate in intercollegiate athletics at an institution other than his or her undergraduate institution provided the student-athlete meets the transfer provisions and enrolls as a degree-seeking student in a graduate or professional degree program (e.g., master's degree or law school).

Question No. 2:  Does the proposal change the application of the existing six-hour requirement for a student-athlete who transfers to an institution other than his or her undergraduate institution for graduate school?

Answer:  Yes. The proposal requires that credit hours used to satisfy the six-hour requirement apply toward the student-athlete's graduate program (e.g., master's program). If the student-athlete is enrolled in a specific graduate degree program, the credit hours used must satisfy the student-athlete's specific graduate degree program requirements.
Question No. 3: If undergraduate credit may be used to meet the student-athlete's designated graduate degree program requirements, may such credit be used to satisfy the proposal's modification to the six-hour requirement?

Answer: Yes. Any credit that satisfies the student-athlete's graduate degree program requirements may be used to satisfy the six-hour requirement.

Question No. 4: May undergraduate credits that are prerequisites for a student-athlete's designated graduate degree program requirements be used to satisfy the proposal's modification to the six-hour requirement?

Answer: No.

Continuing Student-Athlete, Undergraduate Institution – Graduate School Enrollment

Question No. 5: Does the proposal change the graduate school enrollment requirements for a student-athlete who completes his or her baccalaureate degree with remaining athletics eligibility and remains enrolled at his or her undergraduate institution?

Answer: No. Bylaw 14.6 allows a student-athlete who completes his or her baccalaureate degree with remaining athletics eligibility to continue to participate in intercollegiate athletics at his or her undergraduate institution provided the student-athlete is recognized as a full-time graduate or professional student by the certifying institution.

Question No. 6: Is it required that a graduate student-athlete who remains at his or her undergraduate institution be admitted into a specific graduate degree program (e.g., be considered "degree-seeking") in order to participate in intercollegiate athletics?

Answer: No. A graduate student-athlete who remains at his or her undergraduate institution is not required to be enrolled in a specific graduate degree program as long as the institution recognizes the student-athlete as a full-time graduate student (e.g., "graduate student at-large").

Question No. 7: Does the proposal change the application of the existing six-hour requirement for graduate student-athletes?

Answer: Yes. The proposal requires that credit hours used to satisfy the six-hour requirement apply toward the student-athlete's graduate program (e.g., master's program). If the student-athlete is enrolled in a specific graduate
degree program, the credit hours used must satisfy the student-athlete's specific graduate degree program requirements. If the student-athlete is enrolled in a nondegree-seeking graduate program (e.g., graduate "at-large" program), the credit hours used must satisfy the student-athlete's graduate program requirements in accordance with institutional policy.

**Question No. 8:** If undergraduate credit may be used to satisfy the student-athlete's graduate program requirements, may such credit be used to satisfy the proposal's modification to the six-hour requirement?

**Answer:** Yes. Any credit that satisfies the student-athlete's graduate program requirements may be used to satisfy the six-hour requirement.

**Continuing Student-Athlete, Undergraduate Institution – Postbaccalaureate Enrollment**

**Question No. 9:** Does the proposal change the postbaccalaureate enrollment requirements for a student-athlete who completes his or her baccalaureate degree with remaining athletics eligibility and remains enrolled at his or her undergraduate institution?

**Answer:** No. Bylaw 14.6 allows a student-athlete who completes his or her baccalaureate degree with remaining athletics eligibility to continue to participate in intercollegiate athletics at his or her undergraduate institution, provided the student-athlete enrolls in a second baccalaureate or equivalent degree or continues as a full-time student while taking coursework that would lead to the equivalent of another major or degree.

**Question No. 10:** Does the proposal require a postbaccalaureate student-athlete to designate a specific degree program?

**Answer:** No. The proposal continues to defer to institutional policy regarding available postbaccalaureate enrollment options and requirements. However, if a postbaccalaureate student-athlete designates a specific program of study (e.g., second baccalaureate degree, major or minor or certificate program), the credit hours used to satisfy the six-hour requirement must apply toward the student-athlete's program of study.

**Question No. 11:** Does the proposal change the current application of the six-hour requirement for postbaccalaureate student-athletes who do not designate a specific program of study but remain enrolled as full-time, nondegree-seeking students at his or her undergraduate institution?
Answer: No. Full-time postbaccalaureate student-athletes who do not designate a specific program of study may continue to use credit towards any degree program offered by the certifying institution to satisfy the six-hour requirement for postbaccalaureate student-athletes.

Proposal No. 2016-68 Academic Eligibility – Exceptions to Progress-Toward-Degree Rule – Accelerated Academic Progress Exception

Question No. 1: If a student-athlete falls below a 3.00 cumulative grade-point average following the fall term, is the student-athlete now held accountable for all other progress-toward-degree requirements in future terms?

Answer: No.

Question No. 2: May a student-athlete use the summer prior to the seventh term to meet the 3.00 grade-point average benchmark to use this exception?

Answer: Yes.

Proposal No. 2016-69 Academic Eligibility -- Progress-Toward-Degree Requirements -- Exceptions -- Cooperative Educational Work Experience and Study-Abroad Programs

Question No. 1: May credit hours earned from or during a qualifying cooperative educational work experience or study-abroad program be combined with the prorated nine hours under the proposal to satisfy the 18/27 credit-hour requirement?

Answer: No. The prorated nine hours may only be combined with credit hours earned during the remainder of the regular academic year when certifying the 18/27 credit-hour requirement. However, credit hours earned from or during the cooperative educational work experience or study-abroad program may be used to satisfy the 24/36 credit-hours, percentage-of-degree and grade-point-average requirements.

Question No. 2: Does a term or terms in which the exception is applied still count toward determining the applicable percentage-of-degree requirements?

Answer: Yes. For example, if a student-athlete uses the exception during his or her fourth semester of full-time collegiate enrollment, he or she would still be required to meet 40 percent prior to his or her third year of enrollment.
Question No. 3: May the proposal be applied to both domestic and international institutional study-abroad programs?

Answer: Yes, provided the other proposal criteria are satisfied.

Question No. 4: May the proposal be applied if a student-athlete participated with his or her intercollegiate team (e.g., practiced and/or competed) while pursuing a cooperative educational work experience or study-abroad program that otherwise meets the specified criteria?

Answer: Yes. Participation with the student-athlete's intercollegiate team does not change the application of the proposal. However, participation in practice or competition with a student-athlete's team or engagement in outside competition while pursuing a cooperative educational work experience or study-abroad program does impact the application of Proposal Nos. 2016-114 and 2016-115.

Proposal No. 2016-72 Academic Eligibility -- Four-Year College Transfers -- Baseball and Basketball -- Midyear Enrollee

Question No. 1: Is a men's basketball graduate transfer student-athlete who enrolls midyear permitted to be immediately eligible if he competed for his previous institution during the fall term?

Answer: No. Such a student-athlete shall not be eligible for competition until the ensuing academic year.

Proposal No. 2016-75 Financial Aid – Room and Board – Training Table Meals

Question No. 1: Will the training table limitations listed in Bylaw 16.5.2-(c) remain in place if this proposal is adopted?

Answer: Yes.
Proposal No. 2016-79 Financial Aid -- Team Limits -- Head Count Sports -- Replacement of an Ineligible Student-Athlete

**Question No. 1:** Does this proposal apply to a student-athlete who voluntarily withdraws from the team but remains at the institution?

**Answer:** No.

**Question No. 2:** Does the aid need to be awarded to an incoming student-athlete or a student-athlete who is already on the team?

**Answer:** There are no limitations related to whom the aid may be re-awarded. It may be provided to either an incoming student-athlete or a student-athlete who is already on the team.

**Question No. 3:** May the athletics aid be re-awarded for any reason by which a student-athlete renders himself or herself ineligible (e.g., conduct issues) or is it limited to academic eligibility?

**Answer:** The athletics aid may be re-awarded for any reason by which a student-athlete renders himself or herself ineligible.

Proposal No. 2016-85 Playing and Practice Seasons -- Baseball -- Squad Size Limitation -- Replacements

**Question No. 1:** What are some examples of a voluntary withdrawal from the team due to circumstances beyond the control of the student-athlete?

**Answer:** Examples include "extraordinary" circumstances such as a life-threatening or incapacitating injury or illness suffered by a member of the student-athlete's immediate family; natural disasters (e.g., earthquake, flood); and extreme financial hardship as a result of a specific event (e.g., layoff, death in the family) experienced by the student-athlete or by an individual upon whom the student-athlete is legally dependent.

Proposal No. 2016-87 Playing and Practice Seasons – Women's Basketball -- Maximum Number of Contests

**Question No. 1:** If the proposal is adopted and an institution's women's basketball team plays in what used to be a Qualifying Regular Season Multiple-Team Event, then
is there a need to ensure every team in the event is meeting the QRMTE restrictions?

**Answer:** No.

**Proposal No. 2016-97 Playing and Practice Seasons – Foreign Tours – Tour to a U.S. Territory or Commonwealth**

**Question No. 1:** Is it permissible for a team to consider a trip to Washington, D.C. a foreign tour since it is not a state or a territory of the United States?

**Answer:** No. The proposal does not apply to Washington, D.C. or any state that also uses the title of commonwealth (e.g., Virginia, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania).

**Proposal No. 2016-99 Playing and Practice Seasons and Academic Eligibility – Transfer Regulations and Foreign Tours – Incoming Student Participation -- Summer Prior to Enrollment**

**Question No. 1:** May an incoming student-athlete who is on a disciplinary suspension at the previous institution participate on a certifying institution's foreign tour?

**Answer:** No.

**Proposal No. 2016-112 NCAA Membership -- Conditions and Obligations of Membership – Health and Safety Survey**

**Question No. 1:** What are the ramifications if an institution does not complete the survey?

**Answer:** Failure to complete the survey will result in a secondary violation. Repeated failure may result in membership-related ramifications.

**Question No. 2:** Will there be a specific deadline for completion?

**Answer:** Yes, a window of time will be identified for member institutions to submit their responses.
**Question No. 3:** Who is expected to complete/administer the survey on campus?

**Answer:** The athletics health care administrator is in the best position to respond to the survey. Primary athletics health care providers and other relevant university employees should be consulted as needed.

**Question No. 4:** Is this something that can be completed online?

**Answer:** The survey will be completely online. Answers from previous years will feed forward to decrease survey completion times in subsequent years.